

Volume 39 Number 10 October 2018

Articles Policy visions of big data: views from the Global South Laura C. Mahrenbach, Katja Mayer and Jürgen Pfeffer	1861
Romania and the Third World during the heyday of the détente Cezar Stanciu	1883
The ethics of international service learning as a pedagogical development practice: a Canadian study Debra D. Chapman	1899
Perceptions, identities and interests in South–South cooperation: the cases of Chile, Venezuela and Brazil Guillermo Santander and José Antonio Alonso	1923
Evaluating Brazilian South–South Cooperation in Haiti Megan Pickup	1941
The BRICS and global governance: China's contradictory role Mark Beeson and Jinghan Zeng	1962
Disassembling the Square Kilometre Array: astronomy and development in South Africa Cherryl Walker and Davide Chinigò	1979
'To be or not to be' (like the West): modernisation in Russia and Iran Ghoncheh Tazmini	1998
Global international relations and the Arab Spring: the Maghreb's challenge to the EU J. N. C. Hill	2016





Perceptions, identities and interests in South-South cooperation: the cases of Chile, Venezuela and Brazil

Guillermo Santandera and José Antonio Alonsob

^aComplutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain; ^bDepartment of Applied Economy, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT

The relevance acquired in recent years by South–South cooperation seems to be connected with deeper structural transformations occurring in the international system. However, the variety of cooperation models promoted by new providers in the South requires the identification of complementary factors to help explain current patterns. A set of socio-cognitive elements, related to each country's perceptions, identities and interests, can yield greater understanding of the variety of South-South cooperation models. Such an approach is here applied to the cases of Chile, Venezuela and Brazil - three important providers from the South - in order to explore their different cooperation models.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 10 December 2016

Accepted 22 October 2017

KEYWORDS International development

cooperation South-South cooperation Chilean cooperation Venezuelan cooperation Brazilian cooperation

Introduction

South-South cooperation (SSC) has emerged as a major factor of change in the current international system. Born in the context of the North-South asymmetries defined in the post-war international order, the emergence (and in some cases re-emergence) of SSC is an expression of the reconfiguration of that order.

Over the last two decades, an increasing number of developing countries, mostly included in the World Bank's 'middle-income' category, have promoted (or in some cases strengthened) their own international development policy. As a consequence, new models and procedures of development cooperation have emerged, challenging traditional Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) donors' practices and widening the available sources of international support for developing countries. Even if these countries' financial contribution to total development cooperation, with some exceptions as China, is small, the active presence of these new providers compels the reconsideration of prior commitments among donors and of the governance mechanisms of the international cooperation system, basically located within the OECD.1

The existing literature has tended to identify SSC by way of features that set it apart from traditional North-South schemes. More precisely, it is supposed that SSC promotes more horizontal and balanced relationships among partners, rejects the application of policy



Strange, S. The Retreat of the State. The Diffusion of Power in the World Economy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.

Tejasvi, A. South-South Capacity Development: The Way to Grow? Capacity Development Briefs No. 20. Washington: World Bank Institute, 2007.

Tooze, R. "Ideology, Knowledge and Power in International Relations and International Political Economy." In Strange Power. Shaping the Parameters of International Relations and International Political Economy, edited by Thomas C. Lawton, James N. Rosenau, and Amy C. Verdun, 175–195. Aldershot, Ashgate, 2000.

Tooze, R. "Susan Strange, Academic International Relations and the Study of International Political Economy." New Political Economy 5, no. 2 (2000): 280–289.

UNDP. The Rise of the South: Human Progress in a Diverse World. The Human Development Report 2013. New York: United Nations Development Programme, 2013.

Van der Veen, A. M. Ideas, Interests and Foreign Aid. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.

Vigevani, T., and G. Cepaluni. Brazilian Foreign Policy in Changing times. The Quest for Autonomy from Sarney to Lula. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books, 2009.

Waltz, K. Theory of International Politics. New York: Random House, 1979.

Walz, J., and V. Ramachandran. Brave New World. A Literature Review of Emerging Donors and the Changing Nature of Foreign Assistance. CDG Working Paper No. 273. Washington: Center for Global Development, 2011.

Wendt, A. "The Agent-Structure Problem in International Relations Theory." International Organization 41, no. 3 (1987): 335-370.

Wendt, A. "Anarchy is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics." International Organization 46, no. 2 (1992): 391-425.

Wendt, A. Social Theory of International Politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

White, L. IBSA Six Years on: Co-Operation in a New Global Order. SAllA Policy Briefing No. 8. Johannesburg: South African Institute of International Affairs, 2009.

Williams, E. "The New Balancing Act: International Relations Theory and Venezuela's Foreign Policy." In The Revolution in Venezuela: Social and Political Change under Chávez, edited by T. Ponniah and J. Eastwood, 259–280. Harvard: Harvard University Press, 2011.

World Bank. Global Development Horizons 2011. Multipolarity: The New Global Economy. Washington: World Bank, 2011.

World Bank and IPEA (Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada). Bridging the Atlantic. Brazil and Sub-Saharan Africa South-South Partnering for Growth. Washington, DC: World Bank-IPEA, 2012.

WWICS. Emerging Powers: India, Brazil and South Africa (IBSA) and the Future of South-South Cooperation. (Special Report). Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2009.

Zehfuss, M. "Constructivism and Identity: A Dangerous Liaison." European Journal of International Relations 7, no. 3 (2001): 315-348.

Zimmermann, F., and K. Smith. "More Actors, More Money, More Ideas for International Development Cooperation." Journal of International Development 23 (2011): 723–738.