

REAL HIGGS PAIRS AND NON-ABELIAN HODGE CORRESPONDENCE ON A KLEIN SURFACE

INDRANIL BISWAS, LUIS ÁNGEL CALVO, AND OSCAR GARCÍA-PRADA

ABSTRACT. We introduce real structures on L -twisted Higgs pairs over a compact connected Riemann surface X equipped with an anti-holomorphic involution, where L is a holomorphic line bundle on X with a real structure, and prove a Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for the L -twisted Higgs pairs. Real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles, where $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of a connected semisimple complex affine algebraic group G , constitute a particular class of examples of these pairs. In this case, the real structure of the moduli space of G -Higgs pairs is defined using a conjugation of G that commutes with the one defining the real form $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ and a compact conjugation of G preserving $G^{\mathbb{R}}$. We establish a homeomorphism between the moduli space of real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles and the moduli space of representations of the fundamental group of X in $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ that can be extended to a representation of the orbifold fundamental group of X into a certain enlargement of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ with quotient $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, we show how real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles appear naturally as fixed points of certain anti-holomorphic involutions of the moduli space of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles, constructed using the real structures on G and X . A similar result is proved for the representations of the orbifold fundamental group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Real structures	6
2.1. Real structures on complex Lie groups and their representations	6
2.2. Real structures on Riemann surfaces and holomorphic bundles	7
2.3. Chern correspondence and real structures	9
3. Real twisted Higgs pairs, stability and equations	12
3.1. Real twisted Higgs pairs	12
3.2. Stability	13
3.3. Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation	15
4. Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for real Higgs pairs	18
4.1. Jordan–Hölder reduction	18
4.2. Stability implies the existence of solution	26
4.3. Polystability implies the existence of a solution	31
4.4. Existence of a solution implies polystability	31

Date: 30 September 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H60, 14D20, 14H52.

Key words and phrases. G -Higgs bundle, Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation, non-abelian Hodge theory, real bundle, anti-holomorphic involution.

5.	Real Higgs bundles and the non-abelian Hodge correspondence	32
5.1.	Real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles and Hitchin equations	33
5.2.	Real Higgs bundles and compatible representations of the orbifold fundamental group	34
6.	Involutions of moduli spaces	38
6.1.	Involutions of Higgs bundle moduli spaces	38
6.2.	Involutions of character varieties	39
	Acknowledgements	40
	References	40

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, much attention has been paid to the theory of Higgs pairs. The study of these objects is primarily motivated by various moduli problems arising from gauge theory, algebraic geometry, symplectic geometry and topology. To recall the definition of a Higgs pair, let X be a compact connected Riemann surface, G a connected reductive complex affine algebraic group, \mathbb{V} a complex vector space, $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ a holomorphic representation and L a holomorphic line bundle over X . A **L -twisted Higgs pair** (E, φ) **of type** ρ is a pair consisting of a holomorphic principal G -bundle E over X and a holomorphic section φ of $V \otimes L$, where $V := E(\mathbb{V})$ is the holomorphic vector bundle over X associated to E via ρ . In [GGM1], the notion of α -polystability for these pairs was introduced, where α is an element of the center of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} of a fixed maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$. The **Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence** in this context, also proved in [GGM1], has the following formulation:

Once we fix a Kähler form ω of X , a L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ) of type ρ is α -polystable if and only if there is a reduction h of structure group of the principal G -bundle E , to the maximal compact subgroup

$$K \subset G,$$

that satisfies the Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation

$$\Lambda F_h + \mu_h(\varphi) = -\sqrt{-1}\alpha, \tag{1.1}$$

where F_h is the curvature of the unique connection, on the principal K -bundle $E_K \subset E$ corresponding to the reduction h , which is compatible with the holomorphic structure of E , while Λ denotes the contraction of differential forms on X using the Kähler form ω , and μ_h is a moment map that depends on h .

The construction of the above mentioned moment map μ_h requires fixing a bi-invariant inner product B on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K)$, a K -invariant Hermitian product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathbb{V} as well as a Hermitian metric h_L on L . Examples of twisted Higgs pairs are quiver bundles, Higgs bundles and Hodge bundles among other objects (see [ABG, BrGP, BGGH, GGM1, GR] and references therein for examples and more on Higgs pairs).

One of the main goals of this paper is to extend the above correspondence to the context where all the objects are equipped with real structures. By these we mean anti-holomorphic

involutions σ_X and σ_G on X and G , respectively, an anti-linear involution σ_V on V such that the holomorphic representation ρ is compatible with respect to σ_G and σ_V , as well as an anti-holomorphic involution σ_L on L over σ_X , which is anti-linear on the fibers. A (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure on a holomorphic principal G -bundle E over X is an anti-holomorphic automorphism σ_E of E over the involution σ_X of X , such that $\sigma_E(eg) = \sigma_E(e)\sigma_G(g)$ and $\sigma_E^2(e) = ec$, for all $e \in E$ and $g \in G$, where $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G} \cap \text{Ker}(\rho)$ with $Z_2^{\sigma_G}$ being the subgroup of the center $Z(G)$ of G consisting of all the elements of order two invariant under the involution σ_G . With these real structures in place, set

$$\sigma = (\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \sigma_L, \sigma_V, \pm).$$

A **σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair of type ρ** is a triple of the form (E, φ, σ_E) , where

- (E, φ) is a L -twisted Higgs pair of type ρ ,
- σ_E is a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure on E , and
- φ satisfies the condition $(\sigma_V \otimes \sigma_L)(\varphi) = \pm \sigma_X^* \varphi$, with σ_V being the involution of $V = E(V)$ induced by σ_E and σ_V .

A reduction of structure group $E_K \subset E$ of E to the maximal compact subgroup K is said to be σ_E -compatible if it is preserved by the self-map σ_E of E . We prove the following Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence (see Theorem 4.1):

Theorem 1.1. *A σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) of type ρ is polystable if and only if there is a σ_E -compatible reduction h of the structure group of E , from G to K , that satisfies equation (1.1).*

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first adapt the arguments in [GGM1] to reduce the proof to the case of stable Higgs pairs. The main difficulty here is to characterize the space of infinitesimal automorphisms for a stable σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) , taking into account our stability condition that involves a condition on the adjoint bundle $\text{Ad}(E)$. Once that is achieved, we adapt the arguments in [BGM] to prove the above theorem in the stable case. This approach involves finding a minimizing sequence of σ_E -compatible metrics for the integral of the moment map (1.1), converging weakly to the solution we are seeking.

Now, let $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real form of a connected semisimple complex affine algebraic group G . Let $H^{\mathbb{R}} \subset G^{\mathbb{R}}$ be a maximal compact subgroup, and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}$, the Lie algebra of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Lie algebra of $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is its orthogonal complement with respect to the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Let H and \mathfrak{m} be the complexifications of $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively.

A particular class of L -twisted Higgs pairs are the **$G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles**. For a $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle, the above line bundle L is the holomorphic cotangent bundle K_X of X , the structure group is H , while ρ is the adjoint representation $\iota : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$. In other words, a $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle is a pair (E, φ) , where E is a holomorphic principal H -bundle on X and φ is a holomorphic section of the holomorphic vector bundle $E(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes K_X$. We recall that the non-abelian Hodge correspondence for $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles produces a homeomorphism between the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of polystable $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles on X and the character variety $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of equivalence classes of reductive representations of the fundamental group of X in $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [GGM1]).

Another main result of this paper is the extension of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence to the context of **real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles**, where $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is, as above, a real form of a connected

semisimple complex affine algebraic group G . For this set-up, we need to consider a real structure σ_G on G which satisfies the condition that it commutes with a fixed compact conjugation τ and the conjugation μ of G defining the real form $G^{\mathbb{R}}$. Then σ_G preserves H while $d\sigma_G$ preserves \mathfrak{m} . Moreover, ι is a representation compatible with σ_G and $d\sigma_G$. Real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles are σ -real K_X -twisted Higgs pairs of type ι , where $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}} = d\sigma_G$, while $\sigma_L = \sigma_{K_X}$ is the natural anti-holomorphic involution of $L = K_X$ induced by σ_X . Note that the case of real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles generalizes the case of real Higgs bundles for a complex group studied in [BGH1], [BGH3] and [BHu], since a complex semisimple Lie group G can be viewed as a real form of $G \times \overline{G}$, where the anti-holomorphic involution is $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$.

To describe the other side of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence, let $\Gamma(X, x)$ be the orbifold fundamental group of (X, σ_X) for a base point $x \in X$. Let

$$c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H) \cap \text{Ker}(\iota) \cap Z(G^{\mathbb{R}}),$$

where $Z(H)$ and $Z(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ are the centers of H and $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively, and $Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H)$ is the subgroup of elements of order 2 in $Z(H)$ invariant under σ_G . Also, let $\widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}} = \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma_G, c)$ be the group whose underlying set is $G^{\mathbb{R}} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and the group operation is given by the rule

$$(g_1, e_1)(g_2, e_2) = (g_1(\sigma_G \tau^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}})^{e_1}(g_2)c^{e_1+e_2}, e_1 + e_2).$$

A representation $\widehat{\rho} : \Gamma(X, x) \rightarrow \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is called $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -**compatible** if it is an extension of a representation $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{R}}$ fitting in a commutative diagram of homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, x) & \xrightarrow{i} & \Gamma(X, x) & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \widehat{\rho} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G^{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{i'} & \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{q'} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

where i and i' are the inclusion maps and q and q' are the corresponding projections.

Let $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ be the variety consisting of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -conjugacy classes of $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -compatible representations $\widehat{\rho} : \Gamma(X, x) \rightarrow \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}$ whose restriction to $\pi_1(X, x)$ is reductive, that is, its conjugacy class is an element in $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$.

We prove the following (see Theorem 5.6):

Theorem 1.2. *There is a canonical homeomorphism between $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ and the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ of polystable $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 crucially uses Theorem 1.1 and an appropriate version of the Donaldson–Corlette theorem on the existence of harmonic metrics. It may be mentioned that Theorem 1.2 could be the starting point to identify higher Teichmüller spaces in this real context, as it is done in the usual theory of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles (see [GP] for a review).

Real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles appear in a natural way as fixed points of the involutions of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm} : \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \\ (E, \varphi) &\longmapsto (\sigma_X^* \sigma_G E, \pm \sigma_X^* \sigma_G \varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The obvious forgetful map induces a map from $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ to $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$, and we prove the following (see Proposition 6.1):

Proposition 1.3. *The image of $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ in $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ is contained in the fixed point set $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})^{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}}$. Furthermore, if we restrict the involution $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}$ in (1.3) to the subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ss}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$, consisting of stable and simple $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles, then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ss}}(G^{\mathbb{R}})^{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}}$ is contained in the image in $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of the union of moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ parameterized by elements $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H) \cap \text{Ker}(\iota)$, where $Z(H)$ is the center of H and $Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H)$ is the subgroup of elements of order two in $Z(H)$ invariant under σ_G .*

We also prove a similar result for $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$, where the involutions of the character variety $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of the fundamental group of X in $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm} : \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \rho &\longmapsto \sigma_G \circ \tau^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} \circ \rho \circ (\sigma_X)_* . \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

(See Proposition 6.2.)

When the group $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is complex, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ is a hyper-Kähler manifold and the fixed point sets of the involutions in (1.3) and (1.4) define branes, in the sense of [KW]. These branes have been studied in [BS], [BCFG], [BG], [FGOP], [GR], [GW] and [BGH2]. We note that their importance stems from their close relation with mirror symmetry and the Langlands correspondence.

In some sense, in this paper, we are considering *doubly real* Higgs bundles since we are studying real structures on Higgs bundles whose structure group is already real. This is perfectly possible since, although the group $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of a complex group G , $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles are holomorphic objects on X even if $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ does not have a complex structure, and hence the reality conditions can be defined by choosing another conjugation of G preserving $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ as well as a conjugation of X . As we have explained above, in the paper we go beyond Higgs bundles, studying real structures on more general Higgs pairs.

The article is organized as follows. First, we review in Section 2 the notions of real structures for the main objects that will be used in the subsequent sections, and we also analyze the Chern correspondence between holomorphic structures and connections in the presence of real structures. In Sections 3 and 4 we prove a Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for σ -real L -twisted Higgs pairs (here we follow [GGM1] closely). Firstly, after defining these pairs, we give some examples and recall the Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation. We then prove that a polystable σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair that is not stable admits a Jordan–Hölder reduction and, as a consequence of it, we reduce the proof to the stable case. Following that, we reformulate our problem in terms of finding a metric on which the integral of the moment map attains a minimum. Finally, we prove the converse which says that the existence of solutions of the Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation implies polystability. In Section 5, we prove the non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles, where $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of a connected semisimple complex Lie group G . We start by proving a bijective correspondence between $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ and the moduli space of triples consisting of a real structure on a C^∞ principal $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle, a compatible connection, and a real Higgs field satisfying the Hitchin equations. We also prove an appropriate Donaldson–Corlette correspondence, showing the bijection between the moduli space of solutions to Hitchin equations and the moduli

space of compatible reductive flat $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -connections, which in turn is in bijective correspondence with $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$. Finally, in Section 6, we describe the relationship between the fixed-point of the involutions in (1.3) and the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$, where c varies in $Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H) \cap \text{Ker}(\iota)$. By the non-abelian Hodge correspondence, proved in the previous section, with the additional condition that $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H) \cap \text{Ker}(\iota) \cap Z(G^{\mathbb{R}})$, we obtain a similar description for the fixed points of the involutions in (1.4) in terms of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$.

2. REAL STRUCTURES

2.1. Real structures on complex Lie groups and their representations. We recall that a **real structure** or **conjugation** on a reductive complex affine algebraic group G is an anti-holomorphic involution of G . We denote by $\text{Conj}(G)$ the set of conjugations on G , and also denote by $\text{Aut}_2(G)$ the set of holomorphic automorphisms of G of order two (and the identity map). In $\text{Aut}_2(G)$ and $\text{Conj}(G)$ we define the equivalence relation $\sigma \sim \sigma'$ if and only if there is some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ with the property that $\sigma' = \alpha^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \alpha$. Cartan proved in [Ca] that there is a bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conj}(G)/\sim & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}_2(G)/\sim \\ [\sigma] & \longmapsto & [\theta] , \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

which is constructed as follows: Fix a compact conjugation τ of G ; now given any

$$\sigma \in \text{Conj}(G),$$

there is an element $\sigma' \in \text{Conj}(G)$ such that $\sigma' \sim \sigma$ and $\theta := \sigma' \circ \tau \in \text{Aut}_2(G)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Given any $\sigma \in \text{Conj}(G)$, there is a maximal compact subgroup*

$$K \subset G$$

such that $\sigma(K) = K$.

Proof. Given a compact conjugation τ , by the bijection in (2.1) there is a conjugation

$$\sigma' := \alpha^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \alpha,$$

for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, such that $\sigma' \circ \tau = (\sigma' \circ \tau)^{-1}$, and therefore we have

$$\tau \circ \sigma' = \sigma' \circ \tau, \quad (2.2)$$

because τ and σ' are both involutions. Consider $\tau' := \alpha \circ \tau \circ \alpha^{-1}$; then $K := G^{\tau'}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G . For any $x \in G^{\tau'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'(\sigma(x)) &= \alpha \circ \tau \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \sigma' \circ \alpha^{-1}(x) = \alpha \circ \tau \circ \sigma' \circ \alpha^{-1}(x) \\ &= \alpha \circ \sigma' \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \tau \circ \alpha^{-1}(x) = \sigma(\tau'(x)) \end{aligned}$$

using Equation (2.2). This implies that $\sigma(G^{\tau'}) = G^{\tau'}$.

An alternative proof: Using the involution σ of G , construct the semi-direct product $G \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Let \tilde{K} be a maximal compact subgroup of $G \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\tilde{K} \cap G$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G which is preserved by σ . \square

Let G be a complex reductive affine algebraic group equipped with a real structure σ_G , and let \mathbb{V} be a complex vector space equipped with a real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$, that is, an anti-linear involution. A holomorphic representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ is $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -**compatible** if for every $g \in G$ and $v \in \mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(\rho(g)(v)) = \rho(\sigma_G(g))(\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(v)).$$

Example 2.2 (The adjoint representation). Let G be a complex group equipped with a real structure σ_G . Then the corresponding \mathbb{R} -linear automorphism $d\sigma_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a real structure on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . Since the adjoint action $\mathrm{Ad} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ commutes with σ_G , it follows that $\mathrm{Ad} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $(\sigma_G, d\sigma_G)$ -compatible representation.

Example 2.3 (The isotropy representation). Let $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real form of a connected reductive Lie group G , and let $\tau \in \mathrm{Conj}(G)$ be a compact conjugation. Denote G^{τ} by K . Let $\mu \in \mathrm{Conj}(G)$ be a conjugation such that the fixed point set $(G)^{\mu}$ is $G^{\mathbb{R}}$. A conjugation $\sigma \in \mathrm{Conj}(G)$ is called (μ, τ) -**compatible** if

- (1) $\sigma \circ \mu = \mu \circ \sigma$, and
- (2) $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma$.

If σ is a (μ, τ) -compatible conjugation, then $H^{\mathbb{R}} = G^{\mathbb{R}} \cap K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$, whose complexification H is preserved by σ . Moreover, the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and its complexification $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ are preserved by $d\sigma$. The adjoint action of $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$ (the isotropy representation) extends to the complexification, giving a representation $\iota : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ which is $(\sigma, d\sigma)$ -compatible.

2.2. Real structures on Riemann surfaces and holomorphic bundles. We recall that a **real structure** on a compact Riemann surface X is an anti-holomorphic involution

$$\sigma_X : X \rightarrow X.$$

The pair (X, σ_X) sometimes will be referred to as a **Klein surface**. Such pairs were first studied in [Kl] and [We]. A **morphism of Klein surfaces**

$$f : (X, \sigma_X) \rightarrow (X', \sigma_{X'})$$

is a holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow X'$, such that $f \circ \sigma_X = \sigma_{X'} \circ f$. A Kähler form ω on a compact Klein surface (X, σ_X) is called **real** if $\sigma_X^* \omega = -\omega$. If ω is a Kähler form on X , then $\omega - \sigma_X^* \omega$ is a real Kähler form on (X, σ_X) (see [BGH1, p. 4]).

Let (X, σ_X) be a Klein surface, and let $V \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. A **σ_X -real structure** on V is a C^∞ isomorphism $\sigma_V : V \rightarrow V$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) σ_V lifts σ_X , meaning that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\sigma_V} & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} & X \end{array}$$

is commutative,

- (2) σ_V is anti-holomorphic,
- (3) σ_V is \mathbb{C} -anti-linear on the fibers of V , and
- (4) $\sigma_V^2 = \mathrm{Id}_V$.

Such a pair (V, σ_V) will sometimes be referred to as a real holomorphic vector bundle. A homomorphism of real holomorphic vector bundles

$$f : (V, \sigma_V) \longrightarrow (V', \sigma_{V'})$$

is an \mathcal{O}_X -linear homomorphism $f : V \longrightarrow V'$ satisfying the condition that

$$f \circ \sigma_V = \sigma_{V'} \circ f.$$

Real holomorphic vector bundles were introduced by Atiyah in [At2], and they were subsequently studied in [BCFG], [BG], [BHH], [LS], [Sc1] and [Sc2]. The topological classes of these real bundles are described in [BHH, Section 4].

Let G be a reductive complex affine algebraic group equipped with a real structure σ_G , and let (X, σ_X) be a Klein surface. The center of G will be denoted by Z . Let

$$Z_2^{\sigma_G} \subset Z$$

be the subgroup of Z consisting of elements of Z of order two (and the identity element) that are invariant under the involution σ_G . Take any

$$c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G}.$$

Let E be a holomorphic principal G -bundle over X .

A (σ_X, σ_G, c) -**real structure** is a C^∞ diffeomorphism $\sigma_E : E \longrightarrow E$ such that the following four conditions holds:

(1) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\sigma_E} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} & X, \end{array}$$

is commutative, or in other words σ_E is a lift of σ_X ,

(2) σ_E is anti-holomorphic,

(3) $\sigma_E(e g) = \sigma_E(e) \sigma_G(g)$, for all $e \in E$, $g \in G$, and

(4) $\sigma_E^2(e) = c e$.

A pair (E, σ_E) satisfying the above four conditions will sometimes be referred to as a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -**real holomorphic G -bundle**.

A morphism of (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real holomorphic G -bundles

$$f : (E, \sigma_E) \longrightarrow (E', \sigma_{E'})$$

is a holomorphic isomorphism of principal G -bundles $f : E \longrightarrow E'$ such that

$$f \circ \sigma_E = \sigma_{E'} \circ f.$$

In the literature, such bundles are known as pseudo-real principal bundles (see [BGH1], [BGH3] and [BHu]). In [BGH3, Theorem 3.9], there is a description of the topological classes of pseudo-real principal bundles.

2.3. Chern correspondence and real structures. It will be of importance for us to think of a holomorphic principal bundle as a C^∞ principal bundle equipped with a complex structure. To explain this in detail, let G be a reductive complex affine algebraic group equipped with a real structure σ_G , and let (X, σ_X) be a Klein surface. Take any $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G}$, and let $\pi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow X$ be a C^∞ principal G -bundle over X . A (σ_X, σ_G, c) -**real structure** $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ on \mathbb{E} is a lift of σ_X to \mathbb{E}

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$$

satisfying the conditions (1), (3) and (4) in the definition of a real structure of a holomorphic G -bundle given above. An **almost complex structure** on \mathbb{E} is a G -invariant smooth section J of $\text{End}(T\mathbb{E})$, where $T\mathbb{E}$ denotes the real tangent bundle of the total space of \mathbb{E} , such that

- $J^2 = -\text{Id}$,
- $d\pi \circ J = J_X \circ d\pi$, where J_X is the complex structure of X , and
- the map $\mathbb{E} \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ giving the action of G on \mathbb{E} is almost holomorphic. This means that the differential of this map $\mathbb{E} \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ commutes with the almost complex structures; the almost complex structure of $\mathbb{E} \times G$ is given by J and the almost complex structure of G .

It can be shown that an almost complex structure on \mathbb{E} is automatically a **complex structure**. Indeed, the obstruction to integrability of an almost complex structure on \mathbb{E} is an element of $\Omega_X^{0,2}(\text{ad}(\mathbb{E}))$, and it vanishes identically due to the fact that $\Omega_X^{0,2} = 0$ as the complex dimension of X is one. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$ be the space of all complex structures on \mathbb{E} . A complex structure $J_{\mathbb{E}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$ is called $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -**real** if

$$J_{\mathbb{E}} \circ d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}} = -d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}} \circ J_{\mathbb{E}}. \quad (2.3)$$

We shall denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}})$ the space of all complex structures on \mathbb{E} that are $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -real. The following proposition is a straight-forward fact.

Proposition 2.4. *There is a bijection between $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}})$ and the space of all equivalence classes of (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real holomorphic principal G -bundles (E, σ_E) whose underlying smooth principal G -bundle is \mathbb{E} , such that the C^∞ isomorphism between E and \mathbb{E} takes σ_E to $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$. Two such (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real holomorphic principal G -bundles (E, σ_E) and (E', σ'_E) are called equivalent if the C^∞ isomorphism of principal G -bundles $E \rightarrow E'$ given by the identity map of \mathbb{E} is holomorphic and takes σ_E to σ'_E .*

Complex structures on \mathbb{E} are closely related to connections on \mathbb{E} . Let $\pi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow X$ be a C^∞ principal G -bundle (here G could be any Lie group). Recall that a **connection** on \mathbb{E} is a horizontal distribution $H \subset T\mathbb{E}$ such that, for all $e \in \mathbb{E}$,

$$T_e\mathbb{E} = (V\mathbb{E})_e \oplus H_e,$$

where $V\mathbb{E} := \text{kernel}(d\pi)$ is the vertical tangent bundle for the projection π , and the sub-bundle $H \subset T\mathbb{E}$ is preserved by the action of the group G on $T\mathbb{E}$ given by the action of G on \mathbb{E} . This is equivalent to having a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form A on \mathbb{E} (with values in \mathfrak{g}), that is, a smooth section of the bundle $T^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$; again we require this A to be invariant under the action of G , acting by a combination of the given action on \mathbb{E} and the adjoint action on \mathfrak{g} (equivalently, the map $T\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by A is G -equivariant). Also, A should restrict to the canonical right-invariant \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on the fibers of π . For details, see [KN, Ch. II].

Let now

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{V})$$

be a holomorphic representation of G on a complex vector space \mathbb{V} , and let $V = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V})$ be the associated smooth complex vector bundle. Recall that a connection A on \mathbb{E} defines a **covariant derivative** on V , that is a \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$d_A : \Omega^0(X, V) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(X, V)$$

that satisfies the Leibniz rule; here $\Omega^p(X, V)$ denotes the space of all smooth sections of $V \otimes \bigwedge^p T^*X$.

Now, take G to be a reductive complex affine algebraic group equipped with a real structure σ_G , and let (X, σ_X) be a Klein surface; also fix an element $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G}$.

Given a smooth principal G -bundle \mathbb{E} on X equipped with a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -**real structure** $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$, we can impose a reality condition for a connection on \mathbb{E} . A connection A on \mathbb{E} is said to be $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -**compatible** if the horizontal distribution $H \subset T\mathbb{E}$ corresponding to A satisfies the condition

$$d(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}})(H_e) = H_{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(e)}, \quad \text{for all } e \in \mathbb{E}.$$

This is equivalent to the condition that the corresponding \mathfrak{g} -valued one-form $A : T\mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is $(d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, d\sigma_G)$ -real, meaning

$$d\sigma_G \circ A \circ d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}} = A.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E})$ be the set of all connections on \mathbb{E} . We shall denote by

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E})$$

the subset consisting of all $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible connections.

Let

$$K \subset G$$

be a maximal compact subgroup of G preserved by the involution σ_G (see Proposition 2.1). Therefore, the involution $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ of \mathbb{E} defines an involution of the quotient space \mathbb{E}/K . A C^∞ reduction of structure group $h : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}/K$ of the principal G -bundle \mathbb{E} , from G to K , is called $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -**compatible** if the image of h is preserved by this involution of \mathbb{E}/K . Note that h is $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible if and only if the reduction of structure group of \mathbb{E} to the subgroup K

$$\mathbb{E}_K \subset \mathbb{E}$$

corresponding to h satisfies the condition $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathbb{E}_K) = \mathbb{E}_K$.

Let

$$\mathbb{E}_K \subset \mathbb{E}$$

be a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible reduction of structure group to the maximal compact subgroup K of G . We denote by $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K}$ the restriction of the involution $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ to \mathbb{E}_K . The space of all connections on the principal K -bundle \mathbb{E}_K will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K)$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K)$$

be the subset consisting of all $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K}$ -compatible connections. Recall that a connection $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K)$ lies in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K})$ if and only if the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}(A) \subset T\mathbb{E}_K$ for A is preserved by the involution of $T\mathbb{E}_K$ given by $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K}$.

There is a natural bijection between the space of complex structures $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$ on E and the space of connections $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K)$ on \mathbb{E}_K . This bijection is given by the **Chern map**

$$C : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K) \tag{2.4}$$

which is constructed as follows. For a complex structure $J \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$, the horizontal distribution on \mathbb{E}_K defined by the connection $C(J)$ is given by

$$J(T\mathbb{E}_K) \cap T\mathbb{E}_K \subset T\mathbb{E}_K.$$

For details see [At1, pp. 191–192, Proposition 5] and [Sin, p. 586].

If now \mathbb{E} has a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -real structure, and \mathbb{E}_K is a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible reduction of structure group, we have the following.

Proposition 2.5. *The Chern map defines a bijection between $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K})$.*

Proof. Let J be a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -real complex structure on \mathbb{E} . Let $\widetilde{C}(J) \subset T\mathbb{E}_K$ be the horizontal distribution for the connection $C(J)$ on \mathbb{E}_K , where C is the map in (2.4). So $\widetilde{C}(J)$ is the unique subbundle of $T\mathbb{E}_K$ satisfying the following three conditions:

- (1) $J(\widetilde{C}(J)) = \widetilde{C}(J)$, and
- (2) $\widetilde{C}(J) \oplus \text{kernel}(d\pi') = T\mathbb{E}_K$, where $\pi' : \mathbb{E}_K \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection, and
- (3) $\widetilde{C}(J)$ is preserved by the action of K on \mathbb{E}_K .

For any $e \in \mathbb{E}_K$, if $Y \in \widetilde{C}(J)_e$, then $Y = J(Z)$, for some $Z \in \widetilde{C}(J)_e$. By (2.3) we have

$$d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(Y) = d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(J(Z)) = J(d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(-Z)) \in J(T_{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(e)}(\mathbb{E}_K)),$$

but we also have $d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(Y) \in T_{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(e)}(\mathbb{E}_K)$. So $d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(\widetilde{C}(J)_e)$ is also preserved by $J(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(e)) \in \text{GL}(T_{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(e)}\mathbb{E})$. Consequently, from the above uniqueness property of $\widetilde{C}(J)$ it follows that

$$d\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(\widetilde{C}(J)) = \widetilde{C}(J);$$

recall that J is preserved by the action of G on \mathbb{E} . Therefore, we conclude that $C(J) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{E}_K, \sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K})$.

The converse is proved similarly. □

Let X be a Riemann surface with complex structure J_X and equipped with a real structure σ_X . Let G be a reductive complex affine algebraic group equipped with real structure σ_G , and let \mathbb{V} be a complex vector space equipped with real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ be a $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -compatible holomorphic representation. Let \mathbb{E} be a C^∞ principal G -bundle over X equipped with a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ as well as a complex structure J . The associated vector bundle $V = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V})$ is equipped with the following structures:

- an involution σ_V , induced by $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$, and
- a complex structure J_V whose Dolbeault operator is given by

$$\bar{\partial}_{J_V}\varphi := \frac{d\varphi + J_V \circ d\varphi \circ J_X}{2}$$

for all $\varphi \in \Omega^0(X, V)$ (considered as a map $\varphi : X \rightarrow V$ between complex manifolds).

If the complex structure J on \mathbb{E} is $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -real, then $\bar{\partial}_{J_V}$ anti-commutes with J_V , which is equivalent to the condition that (V, σ_V) is a real holomorphic vector bundle; see Proposition 2.4. If \mathbb{E} is equipped with a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible reduction of structure group $\mathbb{E}_K \subset \mathbb{E}$ to the

maximal compact subgroup K , then $A := C(J)$ is a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_K}$ -compatible connection, defining a covariant derivative d_A on V which is related to the Dolbeault operator by

$$\bar{\partial}_{J_V}\varphi = \bar{\partial}_A\varphi := \pi^{0,1}d_A\varphi$$

for $\varphi \in \Omega^0(X, V)$, where $\pi^{0,1}$ is the projection of complex 1-forms to $(0, 1)$ -forms.

Example 2.6 (Compatible Hermitian metric). Let \mathbb{E} be a C^∞ principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle, and let $V := \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the associated complex vector bundle of rank n on X for the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\sigma_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$ be the real structure on $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ that sends any matrix A to its conjugate matrix \bar{A} . Then a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}, c)$ -real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ on \mathbb{E} corresponds to a σ_X -real structure σ_V on V . Giving a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible reduction on \mathbb{E} to $U(n)$ is equivalent to giving an Hermitian structure $h : V \rightarrow \bar{V}^*$ on V such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{h} & \bar{V}^* \\ \downarrow \sigma_V & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma}_{V^*} \\ V & \xrightarrow{h} & \bar{V}^* \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

commutes, where $\bar{\sigma}_{V^*}$ is the transpose of the conjugate of σ_V . This condition is equivalent to the condition that fiberwise σ_V is an isometry.

In this situation, the Chern correspondence defines a bijection between the set of σ_V -compatible Dolbeault operators on V and the set of all σ_V -compatible unitary connections on (V, h) .

3. REAL TWISTED HIGGS PAIRS, STABILITY AND EQUATIONS

3.1. Real twisted Higgs pairs. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface, and let G be a connected reductive complex affine algebraic group. Let \mathbb{V} be a complex vector space and $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ a holomorphic representation. Let E be a holomorphic principal G -bundle on X . We denote by V the holomorphic vector bundle $E(\mathbb{V})$ associated to E via ρ . Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over X .

A **L -twisted Higgs pair of type ρ** is a pair (E, φ) consisting of a holomorphic principal G -bundle E over X and a holomorphic section φ of the holomorphic vector bundle $V \otimes L$, where V is defined above.

Let σ_X and σ_G be real structures on X and G , respectively. Let $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$ be a real structure on \mathbb{V} and $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ a $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -compatible holomorphic representation. This means that

$$\rho(g)(\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(v)) = (\rho(\sigma_G(g)))(v) \quad (3.1)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $v \in \mathbb{V}$. Let σ_E be a real structure on E .

Let Z be the center of G , and let

$$Z_2^{\sigma_G} \subset Z$$

be the subgroup consisting of all $t \in Z$, such that

- the order of t is two (if t is not the identity element), and
- $\sigma_G(t) = t$.

Take any $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G} \cap \ker \rho$. Let (E, σ_E) be a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real principal G -bundle over (X, σ_X) .

Consider the map $E \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow E \times \mathbb{V}$ that sends any (e, v) to $(\sigma_E(e), \sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(v))$. This map descends to an anti-holomorphic map

$$\sigma_V : V \rightarrow V,$$

because ρ satisfies (3.1). This map σ_V defines a real structure on the vector bundle V , because $c \in \text{Ker}(\rho)$. Let (L, σ_L) be a real holomorphic line bundle over (X, σ_X) . The tensor product $\sigma_V \otimes \sigma_L : V \otimes L \rightarrow V \otimes L$ is clearly a real structure on $V \otimes L$.

A $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \sigma_L, \sigma_V, \pm)$ -**real structure** on a L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ) of type ρ is an anti-holomorphic map $\sigma_E : E \rightarrow E$ such that (E, σ_E) is a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real holomorphic principal G -bundle over (X, σ_X) , and the section $\varphi \in H^0(X, V \otimes L)$ satisfies the equation

$$\sigma_V \otimes \sigma_L(\varphi) = \pm \varphi \circ \sigma_X =: \pm \sigma_X^* \varphi. \quad (3.2)$$

Denote by $\sigma = (\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \sigma_L, \sigma_V, \pm)$. The triple (E, φ, σ_E) will sometimes be referred to as a **σ -real twisted Higgs pair of type ρ** .

We give some concrete examples of real Higgs pairs.

Example 3.1. Let (G, σ_G) be a reductive complex affine algebraic group equipped with an anti-holomorphic involution. Let $(L, \sigma_L) = (K_X, \sigma_{K_X})$ be the canonical line bundle of X equipped with the anti-holomorphic involution induced by σ_X . Set $(\mathbb{V}, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}}) = (\mathfrak{g}, d\sigma_G)$. The adjoint representation $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ is $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -real. In this case, **σ -real K_X -twisted Higgs pairs of type Ad** are known in the literature as **pseudo-real G -Higgs bundles** (see [BGH1], [BGH3] and [BH_u]).

Example 3.2. Let $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{V} = \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and ρ the representation on \mathbb{V} induced by the standard representation of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^n . Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on X . A L -twisted Higgs pair of type ρ is an L -quadratic pair. They are also known in the literature as conic bundles or quadric bundles (see, for example, [GGM2, Ol]). If we equip G and \mathbb{V} with complex conjugations $\sigma_G(A) = \overline{A}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(v) = \overline{v}$, for all $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $v \in \mathbb{V}$, then ρ is a $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -compatible representation, thus defining a real structure σ_V on V . Let σ_L be a real structure on L . Then **σ -real L -twisted Higgs pairs of type ρ** are **real L -quadratic pairs** (V, φ, σ_V) ; by this we mean that (V, σ_V) is a real holomorphic vector bundle of rank n , and $\varphi : V \rightarrow V^* \otimes L$ is a real section, meaning that $\varphi \circ \sigma_V = \sigma_V^t \otimes \sigma_L \circ \varphi$.

Example 3.3. Let $G = \text{GL}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(n_2, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{V} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n_2}, \mathbb{C}^{n_1})$, ρ is the representation of G given by $\rho(A, B)(T) = A \circ T \circ B^{-1}$, and L is the trivial holomorphic line bundle \mathcal{O}_X . The L -twisted Higgs pairs of type ρ are known in the literature as holomorphic triples (see, for example, [BrGP]). We equip G and \mathbb{V} with real structures $\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$, defined by $\sigma_G(A \times B) = \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(T)\overline{v} = \overline{T}v$, for all $A \in \text{GL}(n_1, \mathbb{C})$, $B \in \text{GL}(n_2, \mathbb{C})$, $T \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n_2}, \mathbb{C}^{n_1})$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n_2}$. Then ρ is a $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -compatible representation. We equip \mathcal{O}_X with the real structure induced by a real structure σ_X on X . The **σ -real \mathcal{O}_X -twisted Higgs pairs of type ρ** are **real holomorphic triples**, that consist of two real holomorphic vector bundles (V_1, σ_{V_1}) , (V_2, σ_{V_2}) and a real morphism $\phi : (V_1, \sigma_{V_1}) \rightarrow (V_2, \sigma_{V_2})$.

3.2. Stability. Let K be a σ_G -invariant maximal compact subgroup of a connected reductive complex affine algebraic group G , and let

$$B \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$$

be a K -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ which is positive on $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$. Let s be an element of $\sqrt{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{k}$; we note that the following objects are associated to s :

- $P_s := \{g \in G \mid e^{ts}ge^{-ts} \text{ is bounded when } t \rightarrow \infty\}$ is a parabolic subgroup of G ,
- $L_s := \{g \in P_s \mid \text{Ad}(g)s = s\}$ is a Levi subgroup of the parabolic subgroup P_s , where $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Adjoint representation,
- $\mathfrak{p}_s := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{Ad}(e^{ts})x \text{ is bounded when } t \rightarrow \infty\}$ is the Lie algebra of P_s ,
- $\mathfrak{l}_s := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, s] = 0\}$ is the Lie algebra of L_s ,
- $\mathbb{V}_s := \{v \in \mathbb{V} \mid \rho(e^{ts}v) \text{ is bounded when } t \rightarrow \infty\}$,
- $\mathbb{V}_s^0 := \{v \in \mathbb{V} \mid \rho(e^{ts}v) = v \text{ for all } t\}$, and
- χ_s is the dual of s with respect to B , meaning that B induces an isomorphism $B : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, using which we have $\chi_s := B(s)|_{\mathfrak{p}_s \cap \mathfrak{k}}$.

Remark 3.4. A character of \mathfrak{p}_s is a complex linear map $\mathfrak{p}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that factors through the quotient $\mathfrak{p}_s/[\mathfrak{p}_s, \mathfrak{p}_s]$. Since $B(s, [\mathfrak{p}_s, \mathfrak{p}_s]) = 0$, the above defined homomorphism χ_s produces a strictly anti-dominant character of \mathfrak{p}_s . Conversely, given an anti-dominant character χ of \mathfrak{p}_s , we have $\chi \in (\mathfrak{p}_s/[\mathfrak{p}_s, \mathfrak{p}_s])^* \cong (\mathfrak{z}_{L_s})^*$, where \mathfrak{z}_{L_s} is the center of the Levi subalgebra \mathfrak{l}_s . For $s_\chi = B^{-1}(\chi)$, we have $s_\chi \in \mathfrak{z}_{L_s} \subset \sqrt{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{k}$.

We now recall the definition of the degree of a principal G -bundle E with respect to an element $s \in \sqrt{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{k}$ and a holomorphic reduction σ of the structure group of E from G to P_s . Let $E_{P_s} \subset E$ be the holomorphic principal P_s -bundle corresponding to σ . By [GGM1, Lemma 2.4], there is a rational number q such that $(\chi_s)^q$ lifts to a character $\widehat{\chi}_s$ of P_s . Then $E_{P_s}(\widehat{\chi}_s)$ is a line bundle. Now define the degree

$$\deg E(\sigma, s) := \frac{1}{q} \deg E_{P_s}(\widehat{\chi}_s). \quad (3.3)$$

Let σ_G be a conjugation on G , and let (E, σ_E) be a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real holomorphic principal G -bundle over X . We denote by $\text{Ad}(E)$ the group scheme $E \times_{\text{Ad}} G$ over X , which is associated to E via the adjoint action of G on itself. The real structures σ_E and σ_G together define a real structure $\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}$ on $\text{Ad}(E)$, because the homomorphism $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ given by the adjoint action is compatible with σ_G (see Example 2.2).

The main change in the definition of stability for σ -real L -twisted Higgs pairs vis-à-vis the definition of stability of the underlying Higgs pairs is that we must consider only holomorphic reductions $E_{P_s} \subset E$ of E from G to P_s such that

$$\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}(\text{Ad}(E_{P_s})) = \text{Ad}(E_{P_s}). \quad (3.4)$$

More specifically, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$, a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) is

- **α -semistable** if for every $s \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$ and for every holomorphic reduction of structure group σ of E to P_s such that
 - (1) the holomorphic principal P_s -bundle $E_{P_s} \subset E$ corresponding to σ satisfies the equation in (3.4), and
 - (2) $\varphi \in H^0(X, E_{P_s}(\mathbb{V}_s) \otimes L)$,
 the following inequality holds:

$$\deg E(s, \sigma) - B(s, \alpha) \geq 0;$$

- **α -stable** if for every $s \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k} \setminus \text{Ker}(d\rho)$ and for every reduction σ of structure group of E to P_s satisfying (3.4) as well as the condition $\varphi \in H^0(X, E_{P_s}(\mathbb{V}_s) \otimes L)$, the inequality

$$\deg E(s, \sigma) - B(s, \alpha) > 0$$

holds;

- **α -polystable** if it is α -semistable and furthermore, for every reduction σ of structure group of E to a parabolic subgroup P_s satisfying (3.4) as well as the two conditions $\varphi \in H^0(X, E_{P_s}(\mathbb{V}_s) \otimes L)$ and

$$\deg E(s, \sigma) - B(s, \alpha) = 0,$$

there is a holomorphic reduction of structure group

$$E_{L_s} \subset E_{P_s} \tag{3.5}$$

of E_{P_s} to the Levi subgroup $L_s \subset P_s$ such that

$$\sigma_E(E_{L_s}) = E_{L_s}$$

and $\varphi \in H^0(X, E_{L_s}(\mathbb{V}_s^0) \otimes L)$.

Remark 3.5. If the condition in (3.4) is dropped, then we obtain the usual definition of stability for the L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ) underlying the triple (E, φ, σ_E) . The naive condition for σ -real L -twisted Higgs pairs, considering only those parabolic subgroups P_s such that $\sigma_G(P_s) = P_s$, is not the right one for stability, since there are some cases (such as the compact real form of G) for which there are no σ_G -invariant parabolic subgroups and, therefore, such a stability condition would be trivially satisfied.

Remark 3.6. Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair. If the underlying Higgs pair (E, φ) is α -stable (respectively, α -semistable), then (E, φ, σ_E) is obviously α -stable (respectively, α -semistable).

3.3. Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation. Let G be a connected reductive complex affine algebraic group equipped with a real structure σ_G . Let $K \subset G$ be a maximal compact Lie subgroup preserved by the involution σ_G (see Proposition 2.1). Fix a non-degenerate G -invariant bilinear form

$$B \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$$

which is

- positive on $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$, and
- compatible with $d\sigma_G$, meaning that $(d\sigma_G)^*B = B$.

Let (X, σ_X) be a compact Klein surface, and let ω be a σ_X -real Kähler form on X . Let (L, σ_L) be a real holomorphic line bundle over (X, σ_X) . Fix a σ_L -compatible Hermitian metric h_L on L . We denote by F_L the curvature of the corresponding Chern connection on L . Let \mathbb{V} be a complex vector space equipped with a real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$, and let

$$\rho : G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{V}) \tag{3.6}$$

be a $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -real holomorphic representation. Let $h_{\mathbb{V}}$ be a K -invariant Hermitian inner product on the vector space \mathbb{V} compatible with $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$, meaning $h_{\mathbb{V}}(\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(v), \sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(v')) = h_{\mathbb{V}}(v, v')$ for all $v, v' \in \mathbb{V}$.

As above, take a holomorphic principal G -bundle E on X equipped with a real structure σ_E . Let h be a σ_E -compatible reduction of the structure group of E from G to the subgroup K (see Section 2.3). The resulting real K -bundle will be denoted by (E_K, σ_{E_K}) . Let

$$A_h \in \mathcal{A}(E_K, \sigma_{E_K})$$

be the unique σ_{E_K} -connection on E_K compatible with the holomorphic structure of E (see Proposition 2.5). Let $F_h \in \Omega^{1,1}((E_K(\mathfrak{k})))$ be the curvature of A_h .

The associated holomorphic vector bundle $V := E(\mathbb{V})$ has a Hermitian structure h_V which is constructed using the reduction h and the Hermitian structure $h_{\mathbb{V}}$ on \mathbb{V} . Since $h_{\mathbb{V}}$ is K -invariant and compatible with $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$, this Hermitian metric h_V is in fact σ_V -compatible, where σ_V is the real structure on V defined by σ_G and $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$. Note that the Hermitian structure

$$h_{V \otimes L} := h_V \otimes h_L$$

on $V \otimes L$ is compatible with the involution $\sigma_V \otimes \sigma_L$. The representation ρ in (3.6) induces a linear map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Q & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V})^* & \xrightarrow{(d\rho)^*} & \mathfrak{k}^* \xrightarrow{B} \mathfrak{k}, \end{array}$$

where $\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V}) \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{V})$ is the subalgebra consisting of the skew-Hermitian endomorphisms. This homomorphism Q produces a homomorphism of vector bundles associated to the principal K -bundle E_K

$$\tilde{Q} : E_K(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V}))^* \longrightarrow E_K(\mathfrak{k}).$$

The pairing

$$\text{trace} : E_K(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V})) \otimes E_K(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V})) \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{C},$$

being nondegenerate, identifies $E_K(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V}))$ with the dual vector bundle $E_K(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V}))^*$. Using this identification, the above homomorphism \tilde{Q} would be considered as a homomorphism

$$\tilde{Q} : E_K(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V})) \longrightarrow E_K(\mathfrak{k}). \quad (3.7)$$

Now take any L -twisted Higgs field

$$\varphi \in H^0(X, V \otimes L)$$

on E . It produces a C^∞ section

$$\hat{\varphi} \in C^\infty(X, E_K(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{V})))$$

as follows: Consider the C^∞ section

$$\bar{\varphi} \in C^\infty(X, \overline{V \otimes L}) = C^\infty(X, \overline{V} \otimes \overline{L}).$$

Note that

$$\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} \in C^\infty(X, V \otimes L) \otimes C^\infty(X, \overline{V} \otimes \overline{L})$$

produces a C^∞ section

$$\varphi' \in C^\infty(X, (V \otimes \overline{V}) \otimes (L \otimes \overline{L})). \quad (3.8)$$

Now, the Hermitian structure h_L on L identifies \overline{L} with L^* (it is a C^∞ isomorphism), and the Hermitian structure h_V on V identifies \overline{V} with V^* . Therefore, using the natural pairing $L \otimes L^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, the C^∞ section φ' in (3.8) produces a C^∞ section

$$\varphi'' \in C^\infty(X, V \otimes V^*). \quad (3.9)$$

It is straightforward to check that the section φ'' is pointwise Hermitian (same as self-adjoint), meaning $\varphi''(x) \in \text{End}(V_x)$ is Hermitian for all $x \in X$.

To see that the section φ'' is pointwise Hermitian, let \mathbb{W} be a finite dimensional complex vector space equipped with a Hermitian structure $h_{\mathbb{W}}$. Take any $v \in \mathbb{W}$. Note that v produces an endomorphism

$$F_v \in \text{End}(\mathbb{W}) = \mathbb{W} \otimes \mathbb{W}^*$$

defined by

$$F_v(z) := h_{\mathbb{W}}(z, v) \cdot v$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{W}$. Now for all $z, w \in \mathbb{W}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{W}}(F_v(z), w) &= h_{\mathbb{W}}(h_{\mathbb{W}}(z, v) \cdot v, w) = h_{\mathbb{W}}(z, v) \cdot h_{\mathbb{W}}(v, w) \\ &= \overline{h_{\mathbb{W}}(w, v)} \cdot h_{\mathbb{W}}(z, v) = h_{\mathbb{W}}(z, h_{\mathbb{W}}(w, v) \cdot v) = h_{\mathbb{W}}(z, F_v(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $F_v \in \text{End}(\mathbb{W})$ is Hermitian. This immediately implies that the section φ'' in (3.9) is pointwise Hermitian, and hence we conclude that

$$\widehat{\varphi} := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \varphi'' \in C^\infty(X, E_K(\mathbf{u}(\mathbb{W}))). \quad (3.10)$$

To describe $\widehat{\varphi}$ in (3.10) more concretely, if φ is locally of the form $\varphi_V \otimes \varphi_L$, where φ_V and φ_L are locally defined C^∞ sections of V and L respectively, then

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{s}) := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} h_V(\mathbf{s}, \varphi_V) h_L(\varphi_L, \varphi_L) \cdot \varphi_V,$$

where \mathbf{s} is any locally defined C^∞ section of V . It is straight-forward to check that the above expression of $\widehat{\varphi}$ does not depend on the choice of the local decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_V \otimes \varphi_L$.

Note that

$$\widehat{c\varphi} = |c|^2 \widehat{\varphi} \quad (3.11)$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now define

$$\mu_h(\varphi) := \widetilde{Q}(-\widehat{\varphi}) \in C^\infty(X, E_K(\mathfrak{k})), \quad (3.12)$$

where \widetilde{Q} is the homomorphism in (3.7). From (3.11) it follows that

$$\mu_h(c\varphi) := |c|^2 \mu_h(\varphi)$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair of type ρ . The center of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} is denoted by $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$. Take a central element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ such that $d\sigma_G(\alpha) = -\alpha$. A σ_E -compatible reduction h of the structure group of E from G to K is called **Hermite–Einstein–Higgs** if it satisfies the equation

$$\Lambda F_h + \mu_h(\varphi) = -\sqrt{-1} \alpha, \quad (3.13)$$

where Λ denotes the contraction of differential forms on X with ω , and μ_h is the function in (3.12). Note that we have $\Lambda(F_h) \in \Omega^0(E_K(\mathfrak{k}))$, since $F_h \in \Omega^{1,1}(E_K(\mathfrak{k}))$.

4. HITCHIN–KOBAYASHI CORRESPONDENCE FOR REAL HIGGS PAIRS

The main result of the section, that we prove in the subsequent subsections, is the following.

Theorem 4.1 (Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence). *A σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) is α -polystable if and only if (E, φ, σ_E) admits a σ_E -compatible Hermite–Einstein–Higgs reduction.*

Corollary 4.2. *A σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) is α -polystable if and only if the underlying Higgs pair (E, φ) is α -polystable.*

Proof of Corollary 4.2. If a L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ) is α -polystable then (E, φ, σ_E) is α -polystable for any σ -real structure σ_E (see Remark 3.6).

For the opposite direction, in view of Theorem 4.1, it follows that the α -polystability of a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) is equivalent to the existence of a σ_E -compatible solution of the Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation (3.13). The existence of a solution of the Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation implies the polystability of the underlying L -twisted Higgs pair, by the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence proved in [GGM1, Theorem 2.24]. \square

4.1. Jordan–Hölder reduction. Let G be a connected reductive complex affine algebraic group, \mathbb{V} a complex vector space and

$$\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V}) \tag{4.1}$$

a holomorphic representation. Let $G' \subset G$ be a complex algebraic subgroup. Let \mathbb{V}' be a complex linear subspace of \mathbb{V} such that the action of G' on \mathbb{V} , obtained by restricting the action of G on \mathbb{V} , preserves this subspace \mathbb{V}' . Let

$$\rho' : G' \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V}')$$

be the restriction of ρ .

Take any holomorphic reduction of structure group $E' \subset E$ to the subgroup G' . Note that the two holomorphic vector bundles $E(\mathbb{V})$ and $E'(\mathbb{V})$ are canonically identified. Therefore, $E'(\mathbb{V}')$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $E(\mathbb{V})$.

Let (E, φ) be a L -twisted Higgs pair of type ρ . A **reduction of structure group of (E, φ) , from (G, ρ) to (G', ρ')** is a L -twisted Higgs pair (E', φ') of type ρ' , where

- E' is a reduction of structure group of E , from G to G' , and
- $\varphi' \in H^0(X, E'(\mathbb{V}') \otimes L)$ is sent to φ by the homomorphism

$$H^0(X, E'(\mathbb{V}') \otimes L) \longrightarrow H^0(X, E(\mathbb{V}) \otimes L)$$

induced by the above mentioned vector bundle injection $E'(\mathbb{V}') \hookrightarrow E(\mathbb{V})$.

Let σ_E be a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \sigma_L, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}}, \pm)$ -real structure on a L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ) . A reduction of structure group (E', φ') of (E, φ) , from (G, ρ) to (G', ρ') , is σ_E -**compatible** if the restriction $\sigma_E|_{E'}$ is a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G|_{G'}, c, \sigma_L, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}'}, \pm)$ -real structure on (E', φ') .

The main result of this subsection is the following:

Theorem 4.3 (Existence of a Jordan–Hölder reduction). *Given a α -polystable σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) that is not α -stable, there is a σ_E -compatible reduction of structure (E', φ') of (E, φ) from (G, ρ) to (G', ρ') , such that $G' \subset G$ is a reductive complex algebraic subgroup, and $(E', \varphi', \sigma_E|_{E'})$ is α -stable.*

Theorem 4.3 will be proved after proving Proposition 4.7.

To prove Theorem 4.3 we shall follow the approach in [GGM1, Sections 2.9, 2.10, 2.11], checking that every construction done there is compatible with real structures. First, we establish a relation between polystable σ -real L -twisted Higgs pairs, that are not stable, and a certain space of automorphisms. This will be elaborated below.

Let

$$\mathrm{ad}(E) = E(\mathfrak{g}) = E \times_G \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow X$$

be the holomorphic vector bundle associated to E for the adjoint action of G on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} ; so $\mathrm{ad}(E)$ is the adjoint vector bundle for E . Note that the fibers of $\mathrm{ad}(E)$ are Lie algebras isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} . More precisely, any fiber $E(\mathfrak{g})_x$ is identified with \mathfrak{g} uniquely up to an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . Since the fibers of $\mathrm{ad}(E)$ are Lie algebras, the vector space $H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E))$ has the structure of a complex Lie algebra.

The involution σ_E of E and the involution $d\sigma_G$ of \mathfrak{g} together define an anti-holomorphic involution

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}(E)} : \mathrm{ad}(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(E). \quad (4.2)$$

The space of infinitesimal automorphisms of a principal G -bundle E_G is given by $H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E))$. In other words, $H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E))$ is the Lie algebra of the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of E . Hence the set of infinitesimal automorphism of a L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ) is given by

$$\mathrm{aut}(E, \varphi) := \{s \in H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E)) \mid ((d\rho) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L)(s)(\varphi) = 0\} \subset H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E)),$$

where $d\rho$ is the homomorphism of Lie algebras associated to ρ in (4.1); note that $d\rho$ induces a homomorphism of associated bundles. It is straight-forward to check that $\mathrm{aut}(E, \varphi)$ is a complex Lie subalgebra of $H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E))$.

Let

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{aut}} : \mathrm{aut}(E, \varphi) \longrightarrow \mathrm{aut}(E, \varphi) \quad (4.3)$$

be the conjugate linear involution defined by

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{aut}}(s)(\sigma_X(x)) := \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}(E)}(s(x))$$

for every $s \in \mathrm{aut}(E, \varphi)$ and $x \in X$, where $\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}(E)}$ is the anti-holomorphic involution of the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E)$.

Note that given a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E))$, there is a unique Jordan decomposition

$$s = s^{ss} + s^n, \quad (4.4)$$

where

- $s^{ss}(x) \in \mathrm{ad}(E)_x$ is semisimple for all $x \in X$ while $s^n(x)$ is nilpotent, and
- $s^{ss}(x)$ and $s^n(x)$ commute for all $x \in X$.

(See [BBN1], [BBN2]). Let

$$\text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi) \subset \text{aut}(E, \varphi)$$

be the subspace consisting of all $s_1 \in \text{aut}(E, \varphi)$ such that $s_1(x) \in \text{ad}(E)_x$ is semisimple for all $x \in X$. Therefore, we have

$$s^{ss} \in \text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi),$$

where s^{ss} is the section in (4.4). In fact, if $s \in \text{aut}(E, \varphi)$ with $\sigma_{\text{aut}}(s) = s$, then we have $s^{ss} \in \text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi)$ with $\sigma_{\text{aut}}(s^{ss}) = s^{ss}$.

Consider the Lie algebra $\text{aut}(E, \varphi)$. Let

$$\mathcal{C} \subset \text{aut}(E, \varphi) \tag{4.5}$$

be a Cartan subalgebra such that

$$\sigma_{\text{aut}}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C},$$

where σ_{aut} is constructed in (4.3). Note that we have $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi)$.

This complex subalgebra \mathcal{C} in (4.5) produces a Levi subgroup $L(P) \subset P$ of a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, together with a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E

$$E_{L(P)} \subset E$$

to the Levi subgroup $L(P)$ [BP, p. 55, Proposition 1.2] (see also [BBN1], [BBN2]). We briefly recall below the construction of the above pair $(L(P), E_{L(P)})$.

Take any element $s \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the complex subgroup of $\text{Aut}(E)$ generated by $\{\exp(ts)\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ coincides with the subgroup generated by \mathcal{C} ; elements of \mathcal{C} satisfying this condition form a nonempty Zariski open subset of \mathcal{C} . Since the conjugacy classes of semisimple elements in \mathfrak{g} is an affine variety, and X is compact, the condition that $s(x) \in \text{ad}(E)_x$ is semisimple for all $x \in X$ implies that the conjugacy class in \mathfrak{g} determined by the element $s(x) \in \text{ad}(E)_x$ is independent of x . Fix an element $s_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ in this conjugacy class. Then $L(P) \subset$ is the centralizer, in G , of s_0 for the adjoint action of G . Let

$$C(s) \subset \text{Ad}(E) \tag{4.6}$$

be the sub-group scheme whose fiber over any $x \in X$ is the centralizer, in $\text{Ad}(E)_x$, of $s(x)$ for the adjoint action on the Lie algebra; since the conjugacy class of $s(x)$ is independent of $x \in X$, it follows that $C(s)$ is indeed a sub-group scheme of $\text{Ad}(E)$. Also, recall that $\text{Ad}(E)$ is a quotient of $E \times G$, where two points $(z_1, g_1), (z_2, g_2) \in E \times G$ are identified if there is $g \in G$ such that $z_2 = z_1g$ and $g_2 = g^{-1}g_1g$. The complex submanifold

$$E_{L(P)} \subset E \tag{4.7}$$

is the locus of all point $z \in E$ such that the image of $(z, g) \in E \times L(P)$ in the quotient space $\text{Ad}(E)$ lies in $C(s)$.

Now choose $s \in \mathcal{C}$ as above satisfying the extra condition that $\sigma_{\text{aut}}(s) = s$ (as before, impose the condition that the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(E)$ generated by $\{\exp(ts)\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ coincides with the subgroup generated by \mathcal{C}); since the subspace $\mathcal{C}^{\sigma_{\text{aut}}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ fixed by σ_{aut} is Zariski dense in \mathcal{C} , such an element s exists. Choose the above element $s_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $d\sigma_G(s_0) = s_0$. This condition implies that $\sigma_G(L(P)) = L(P)$ (the parabolic subgroup P associated to $L(P)$ is not unique, and P need not be preserved by σ_G). The antiholomorphic involution σ_E of E and the antiholomorphic involution σ_G of G together produce an antiholomorphic involution of $E \times G$. This involution descends to an antiholomorphic involution of $\text{Ad}(E)$. Since $\sigma_{\text{aut}}(s) = s$, the sub-group scheme $C(s)$ in (4.6) is preserved by this antiholomorphic involution of

$\text{Ad}(E)$. Consequently, $E_{L(P)}$ in (4.7) is preserved by the antiholomorphic involution σ_E of E .

We note that the condition that $(d\rho \otimes \text{Id}_L)(s)(\varphi) = 0$, for all $s \in \mathcal{C}$, implies that $(E_{L(P)}, \varphi)$ is, in fact, a reduction of structure group of (E, φ) .

Let

$$\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

be the center of \mathfrak{g} , so \mathfrak{z} is the Lie algebra of Z . Let

$$E(\mathfrak{z}) := E \times^G \mathfrak{z}$$

be the vector bundle associated to E for the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{z} . Since the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{z} is trivial, we have $E(\mathfrak{z}) := X \times \mathfrak{z}$. Also, note that

$$E(\mathfrak{z}) \subset \text{ad}(E)$$

is the fiberwise center of the Lie algebra bundle $\text{ad}(E)$.

While the infinitesimal automorphisms of (E, φ) are parameterized by $\text{aut}(E, \varphi)$, the infinitesimal automorphisms of the triple (E, φ, σ_E) constitute the subspace

$$\text{aut}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E) = \text{aut}(E, \varphi)^{\sigma_{\text{aut}}} := \{s \in \text{aut}(E, \varphi) \mid \sigma_{\text{aut}}(s) = s\},$$

where σ_{aut} is the real involution of $\text{aut}(E, \varphi)$ in (4.3). Therefore, we have

$$H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}} = H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z})) \cap \text{aut}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E);$$

note that the involution $\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}$ in (4.2) induces an involution of $H^0(X, \text{ad}(E))$ (also denoted by $\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}$), and the subspace $H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z})) \subset H^0(X, \text{ad}(E))$ is preserved by $\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair. If (E, φ, σ_E) is α -stable then*

$$\text{aut}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E) = H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Assume that (E, φ, σ_E) is α -polystable. Then (E, φ, σ_E) is α -stable if and only if

$$\text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E) = H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. We will start proving the second part, assuming the first part. The first part will be proved subsequently.

Assume that (E, φ, σ_E) is α -polystable. If (E, φ, σ_E) is α -stable, then the first part of the proposition implies that $\text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E) = H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}}$, since any section $s \in H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))$ is pointwise semisimple. Note that $H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z})) = \mathfrak{z}$, because $H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))$, as noted before, is the trivial holomorphic vector bundle on X with fiber \mathfrak{z} . The $\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}$ -invariant part $H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}} \subset H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))$ coincides with $\mathfrak{z}^{d\sigma_G}$, where $d\sigma_G$ is, as above, the involution of \mathfrak{g} induced by σ_G .

On the other hand, if (E, φ, σ_E) is not α -stable, then for any reduction

$$E_{L_s} \subset E_{P_s} \subset E$$

as in (3.5), consider the subbundle

$$\mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s}) \subset \text{ad}(E_{L_s})$$

defined by the centers of the fibers of the adjoint bundle $\text{ad}(E_{L_s})$ of E_{L_s} ; so for any $x \in X$, the fiber $\mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s})_x$ is the center of the Lie algebra $\text{ad}(E_{L_s})_x$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s})$ is a trivial

vector bundle on X whose fibers are identified with the center \mathfrak{z}_{L_s} of the Lie algebra of the Levi subgroup L_s . Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{z}_{L_s} = H^0(X, \mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s})) \subset H^0(X, \text{ad}(E_{L_s})) \subset \text{aut}(E, \varphi),$$

and the automorphism σ_{aut} of $\text{aut}(E, \varphi)$ preserves the above subspaces $H^0(X, \text{ad}(E_{L_s}))$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s}))$; in fact, the action of σ_{aut} on $H^0(X, \mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s}))$ coincides with the action of $d\sigma_G$ on \mathfrak{z}_{L_s} . The vector space $H^0(X, \mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s}))$ is strictly larger than $H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))$, because P_s is a proper parabolic subgroup of G (recall that (E, φ, σ_E) is α -polystable but not α -stable). Also, every element $s \in \mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s})$ is pointwise semisimple. These together imply that $H^0(X, \mathcal{Z}(E_{L_s}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}}(E)}$ is strictly larger than $H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}}(E)}$. This proves the second part of the proposition assuming the first part.

To prove the first part of the proposition, we first note that

$$H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}}(E)} \subset \text{aut}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E),$$

because $H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z})) \subset \text{aut}(E, \varphi)$.

Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair which is α -stable.

First assume that

$$\text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E) \setminus H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}}(E)} \neq \emptyset. \quad (4.10)$$

Take an element $s \in \text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E)$ that generates a Cartan subalgebra of $\text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi)$. As we saw in (4.7), this section s produces a reduction of structure group

$$E(\mathbb{L}^s) \subset E$$

of E to a Levi subgroup $\mathbb{L}^s \subset G$ which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) $E(\mathbb{L}^s)$ is a reduction of structure group of (E, φ) , and
- (2) $\sigma_E(E(\mathbb{L}^s)) = E(\mathbb{L}^s)$.

From the assumption in (4.10) it follows that the conjugacy class in \mathfrak{g} determined by s does not lie in \mathfrak{z} . Consequently, $\mathbb{L}^s \subsetneq G$ is a proper parabolic subgroup.

The reduction $E(\mathbb{L}^s) \subset E$ thus contradicts the given condition that (E, φ, σ_E) is α -stable. In view of this contradiction, we conclude that

$$\text{aut}^{ss}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E) = H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{z}))^{\sigma_{\text{ad}}(E)}.$$

To complete the proof we need to show that $\text{aut}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E)$ does not have any nonzero nilpotent element.

Let $s \in \text{aut}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E)$ be a nonzero nilpotent element. This defines a parabolic subalgebra bundle

$$\mathcal{P} \subset \text{ad}(E) \quad (4.11)$$

constructed as follows. Since there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} , there is a open subset $U \subset X$ such that

- $X \setminus U$ is a finite subset, and
- the conjugacy class in \mathfrak{g} determined by $s(x) \in \text{ad}(E)_x$ is independent of $x \in U$.

Take any $x \in U$. Let $\mathfrak{n}_1 \subset \text{ad}(E)_x$ be the normalizer of $\mathbb{C} \cdot s(x)$, and let \mathfrak{r}_1 be the nilpotent radical of \mathfrak{n}_1 . Now inductively define \mathfrak{n}_{i+1} to be the normalizer of \mathfrak{r}_i in $\text{ad}(E)_x$ and define \mathfrak{r}_{i+1} to be the nilpotent radical of \mathfrak{n}_{i+1} . Now $\{\mathfrak{n}_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence

of subspaces that converges to a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathrm{ad}(E)_x$ (see [AB, p. 340, Lemma 3.7]). This way we obtain a parabolic subalgebra bundle

$$\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathrm{ad}(E)|_U$$

over U . Since the conjugates of a parabolic subalgebra in a complex reductive algebra are parameterized by a complete variety (conjugates of a parabolic subalgebra $\mathrm{Lie}(P) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are parameterized by G/P which is a complete variety), the above subalgebra bundle \mathcal{P}' extends to a parabolic subalgebra bundle \mathcal{P} over X (as in (4.11)); see the proof of Proposition 3.9 in [AB]. It is straight-forward to check that this subalgebra bundle \mathcal{P} contradicts the given condition that (E, φ, σ_E) is α -stable. This proves the proposition. \square

The following two lemmas correspond to [GGM1, Lemma 2.16] and [GGM1, Lemma 2.17] respectively. Note that here we only consider reductions of structure group that are compatible with the real structure.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (E, σ_E) be a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real G -bundle over (X, σ_X) . Let G' be a Lie subgroup of G such that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathrm{Lie}(G')$ has the following property: The normalizer $N_G(\mathfrak{g}') \subset G$ for the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} is G' itself. The reductions $E_{G'} \subset E$ of structure group of E from G to G' such that*

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{Ad}(E)}(\mathrm{Ad}(E_{G'})) = \mathrm{Ad}(E_{G'}), \quad (4.12)$$

are in one-to-one correspondence with subbundles $F \subset \mathrm{ad}(E)$ of Lie algebras satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1) $\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}(E)}(F) = F$, and
- (2) for any $x \in X$ and any trivialization $E_x \simeq G$ of the fiber E_x (obtaining by fixing an element of E_x), the subalgebra $F_x \subset \mathrm{ad}(E)_x$ is conjugate to \mathfrak{g}' , via the trivialization $\mathrm{ad}(E)_x \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ induced by the trivialization of E_x .

Proof. It is a consequence of [GGM1, Lemma 2.16] and the fact that equation (4.12) is equivalent to the above condition (1) on F . \square

Let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup. Let $E_P \subset E$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group such that

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{Ad}(E)}(\mathrm{Ad}(E_P)) = \mathrm{Ad}(E_P). \quad (4.13)$$

Let $L_P \subset P$ be a Levi subgroup of P , and let $U \subset P$ be the unipotent radical. The Lie algebras of L_P , P and U will be denoted by \mathfrak{l} , \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{u} respectively. Let

$$E_L \subset E_P$$

be a holomorphic reduction of the structure group of E_P from P to L_P , such that

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{Ad}(E)}(\mathrm{Ad}(E_L)) = \mathrm{Ad}(E_L). \quad (4.14)$$

Conditions (4.13) and (4.14) imply that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}(E)} = d(\sigma_{\mathrm{Ad}(E)})$ preserves all three subbundles $E_P(\mathfrak{u})$, $E_P(\mathfrak{p})$ and $E_P(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathrm{ad}(E)$. Denote by $\sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{u})}$, $\sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{p})}$ and $\sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{l})}$ the real structures on $E_P(\mathfrak{u})$, $E_P(\mathfrak{p})$ and $E_P(\mathfrak{l})$ respectively induced by $\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}(E)}$. There is a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles with real structure

$$0 \longrightarrow (E_P(\mathfrak{u}), \sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{u})}) \longrightarrow (E_P(\mathfrak{p}), \sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{p})}) \longrightarrow (E_P(\mathfrak{l}), \sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{l})}) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Note that (4.15) is a short exact sequence of Lie algebra bundles, and all the homomorphisms in (4.15) are Lie algebra structure preserving. A Lie algebra bundle (right) splitting of (4.15) is a homomorphism of Lie algebra bundles $f : E_P(\mathfrak{l}) \rightarrow E_P(\mathfrak{p})$ such that the composition of homomorphisms

$$E_P(\mathfrak{l}) \xrightarrow{f} E_P(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow E_P(\mathfrak{l})$$

coincides with the identity map of $E_P(\mathfrak{l})$, where $E_P(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow E_P(\mathfrak{l})$ is the projection in (4.15). If

$$f \circ \sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{l})} = \sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{p})} \circ f,$$

then the splitting f is called $(\sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{l})}, \sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{p})})$ -real.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $E_P \subset E$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group to P satisfying (4.13). Reductions E_L of the structure group of E_P from P to L_P satisfying (4.14) are in a natural bijective correspondence with the holomorphic Lie algebra bundle (right) splittings of the exact sequence in (4.15) that are $(\sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{l})}, \sigma_{E_P(\mathfrak{p})})$ -real.*

Proof. Setting $G = P$ and $G' = L_P$ in Lemma 4.5, we conclude that the space of reductions of structure group of E_P from P to L_P satisfying (4.14) is in bijective correspondence with subbundles $F \subset E_P(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $\sigma_{\text{ad}(E)}(F) = F$ and F_x is conjugate to \mathfrak{l} , once we identify $E_P(\mathfrak{p})_x$ with \mathfrak{p} for any $x \in X$, by fixing an element of $(E_P)_x$. \square

Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a α -polystable σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair that is not α -stable. From Proposition 4.4 it follows that there exists a non-central element $\eta \in \text{aut}(E, \varphi, \sigma_E)$, in other words there exists $\eta \in H^0(X, E([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]))$ such that

$$\sigma_{\text{aut}}(\eta) = \eta.$$

There is an element

$$u := u_r + \sqrt{-1}u_i \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}],$$

where $u_r, u_i \in \mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$ and $[u_r, u_i] = 0$, such that $\eta(x) \in E([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])_x$ lies in the conjugacy class of u for every $x \in X$; as before, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G preserved by σ_G (see Proposition 2.1). This element $u := u_r + \sqrt{-1}u_i$ satisfies the equation $d\sigma_G(u) = u$. Let $a \in [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ be an infinitesimal generator of the torus generated by u_i and u_r . Then, a also satisfies the equation $d\sigma_G a = a$. Furthermore, the subgroup

$$K_1 := Z_K(a) = \{h \in K \mid \text{Ad}(h)a = a\}$$

is preserved by σ_G , because K is preserved by σ_G . We denote by G_1 the complexification $K_1^{\mathbb{C}}$ and by σ_{G_1} the restriction $\sigma_G|_{K_1^{\mathbb{C}}}$. The section $\eta \in H^0(X, \text{ad}(E))$ induces a G -equivariant map

$$\phi_\eta : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

which is also $(\sigma_E, d\sigma_G)$ -real, because $\sigma_{\text{aut}}(\eta) = \eta$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$E_1 := \{e \in E \mid \phi_\eta(e) = u\}$$

is preserved by σ_E , and E_1 is a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_{G_1}, c)$ -real principal G_1 -bundle. Since ρ is a $(\sigma_G, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}})$ -compatible representation, the complex vector subspace

$$\mathbb{V}_1 := \{v \in \mathbb{V} \mid \rho(a)v = 0\}$$

is equipped with a real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}_1} = \sigma_{\mathbb{V}}|_{\mathbb{V}_1}$, and

$$\rho_1 := \rho|_{K_1}$$

is a $(\sigma_{G_1}, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}_1})$ -compatible representation.

Proposition 4.7. *The above $(\sigma_X, \sigma_{G_1}, c, \sigma_L, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}_1}, \pm)$ -real L -twisted Higgs pair*

$$(E_1, \varphi_1 := \varphi|_{E_1}, \sigma_{E_1} := \sigma_E|_{E_1})$$

is α -polystable.

Proof. First, we shall prove that $(E_1, \varphi_1, \sigma_{E_1})$ is α -semistable. We denote by \mathfrak{k}_1 the Lie algebra of K_1 . For $s \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}_1$, consider the parabolic subgroup

$$P_{1,s} := \{g \in G_1 \mid e^{ts}ge^{-ts} \text{ is bounded when } t \rightarrow \infty\},$$

and the Levi subgroup

$$L_{1,s} := \{g \in P_{1,s} \mid \text{Ad}(g)s = s\}.$$

Any holomorphic reduction of structure group σ_1 of E_1 to $P_{1,s}$ such that

$$\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}(\text{Ad}E_{P_{1,s}}) = \text{Ad}E_{P_{1,s}}$$

can be extended to a real reduction of structure group σ to P_s such that

$$\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}(\text{Ad}E_{P_s}) = \text{Ad}E_{P_s}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\deg E(\sigma, s) = \deg E_1(\sigma_1, s).$$

Therefore, using the given condition that (E, φ, σ_E) is α -semistable it is deduced that $(E_1, \varphi_1, \sigma_{E_1})$ is also α -semistable.

From Lemma 4.6, it follows that $(E_1, \varphi_1, \sigma_{E_1})$ is α -polystable if for every $s \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$, and for every holomorphic reduction of structure group σ of E_1 to P_s that satisfies the two conditions $\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E_1)}(\text{Ad}E_{P_{1,s}}) = \text{Ad}E_{P_{1,s}}$ and

$$\deg E_1(\sigma_1, s) - B(s, \alpha) = 0,$$

there is a real splitting ω_1 of the following exact sequence of real vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow (E_{1\sigma_1}(\mathfrak{u}_1), \sigma_{E_{1\sigma_1}(\mathfrak{u}_1)}) \longrightarrow (E_{1\sigma_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1), \sigma_{E_{1\sigma_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)}) \longrightarrow (E_{1\sigma_1}(\mathfrak{l}_1), \sigma_{E_{1\sigma_1}(\mathfrak{l}_1)}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The argument for the existence of the splitting is identical to the argument for the non-real case. (See [GGM1, Proposition 2.18] for details).

On the other hand, we have $\varphi \in H^0(X, E_{L_s}(\mathbb{V}_s^0) \otimes L)$ if and only if

$$\rho(\omega(\psi_{\sigma,s}(\varphi))) = 0,$$

where ω is a splitting of (4.15) and $\psi_{\sigma,s} \in H^0(X, E_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{p}))$ is the section which is equal to s on the fibers. By the same reasoning, $\varphi_1 \in H^0(X, E_{1L_{1,s}}(\mathbb{V}_1) \otimes L)$ if and only if

$$\rho(\omega_1(\psi_{\sigma_1,s}(\varphi))) = 0, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\psi_{\sigma_1,s} \in H^0(X, E_{1\sigma_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1))$ is the section which is equal to s on the fibers. If we decompose \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{l} , using characters $\eta \in \text{Hom}(T, S^1)$, where $T \subset H$ is a maximal torus, then ω_1 is the restriction of ω , which corresponds to setting $\eta = 1$.

Since (E, φ) is α -polystable, we have $\varphi \in H^0(X, E_{L_s}(\mathbb{V}_s^0) \otimes L)$. As noted before, this implies that $\rho(\omega(\psi_{\sigma,s}(\varphi))) = 0$ and hence (4.16) holds. It was observed earlier that

$$\varphi_1 \in H^0(X, E_{1L_{1,s}}(\mathbb{V}_1) \otimes L)$$

if (4.16) holds. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3. From Proposition 4.7, we obtain a σ_E -compatible reduction of structure group of a polystable σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) from (G, ρ) to a subgroup $(K_1^{\mathbb{C}}, \rho_1)$ with real structure, where $K_1^{\mathbb{C}} \subsetneq G$. We can iterate this process and finally, within a finite number n of steps, we obtain a σ_E -compatible holomorphic reduction of structure group (E_n, φ_n) of (E, φ) from (G, ρ) to $(K_n^{\mathbb{C}}, \rho_n)$, where $K_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Levi subgroup of G and $(E_n, \varphi_n, \sigma_E|_{E_n})$ is α -stable. This proves Theorem 4.3. \square

Remark 4.8. The uniqueness of the Jordan–Hölder reduction (up to conjugation) follows immediately from the uniqueness in the usual (with no real structures) case (see [GGM1, Proposition 2.20]).

4.2. Stability implies the existence of solution. In this section, we prove one implication of Theorem 4.1 for σ -real L -twisted G -Higgs pairs (E, φ, σ_E) that are α -stable.

Let \mathbb{E} be a C^∞ principal G -bundle over X equipped with a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ (see Section 2.2). Let h be a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible C^∞ reduction of structure group of \mathbb{E} from G to the maximal compact subgroup K . By Proposition 2.5, there is a bijective correspondence between $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible connections on \mathbb{E}_K and $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -real complex structures on \mathbb{E} . As a consequence, after fixing a σ_L -compatible $U(1)$ -connection on L , we conclude that the space of all σ -real L -twisted Higgs pairs (E, φ, σ_E) such that the underlying C^∞ -bundle to E is \mathbb{E} is in bijective correspondence with the space of all triples $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi)$ such that

- $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ is a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure on \mathbb{E} ,
- A is a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible connection on \mathbb{E}_K , and
- φ is a holomorphic section of $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes L$ satisfying

$$\sigma_V \otimes \sigma_L(\varphi) = \pm \sigma_X^*(\varphi),$$

where σ_V is the involution of $V = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V})$ induced by $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}$, and σ_L is the fixed involution on L . Here, abusing notation, we are denoting L and its underlying smooth line bundle in the same way.

We will denote by \mathcal{T} the set of triples $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi)$ satisfying the above conditions.

Let \mathcal{A} be the space of connections on \mathbb{E}_K . Let \mathcal{S} be the space of all C^∞ sections of $V \otimes L$. The product space

$$\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$$

is an infinite-dimensional Kähler manifold equipped with a Hamiltonian action of the gauge group

$$\mathcal{K} = \Omega^0(E_K(K)). \quad (4.17)$$

Take any

$$\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \text{Lie}(\mathcal{K}).$$

In this case, the moment map is given by

$$\mu^\alpha(A, \varphi) := \Lambda F_A + \mu(\varphi) - \sqrt{-1}\alpha \quad (4.18)$$

for every $(A, \varphi) \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\mu(\varphi)$ is defined as in Equation (3.12). As before,

$$B \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$$

is a K -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ which is positive on \mathfrak{k} . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the bilinear form on $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{K})$ induced by B , where \mathcal{K} is constructed in

(4.17). The maximal weight of the action of $s \in \text{Lie}(\mathcal{H})$ on $(A, \varphi) \in \mathcal{X}$ is defined as follows:

$$\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), s) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), s), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$\lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), s) := \left\langle \mu^\alpha(e^{\sqrt{-1}t}s A, e^{\sqrt{-1}t}s \varphi), s \right\rangle. \quad (4.20)$$

The limit in (4.20) exists by Lemma 2.1.2 of [Mu2]. The integral of the moment map in (4.18) is defined by

$$\Psi^\alpha((A, \varphi), e^{\sqrt{-1}s}) := \int_0^1 \lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), s) dt. \quad (4.21)$$

Remark 4.9. If we fix the pair (A, φ) in (4.21), then $e^{\sqrt{-1}s} \in \mathcal{H}$ is a critical point of Ψ^α if and only if $e^{\sqrt{-1}s}(A, \varphi)$ is a solution of $\mu^\alpha(A, \varphi) = 0$, where μ^α is defined in (4.18).

Definition 4.10. Let E be a holomorphic principal G -bundle X , and let h be a C^∞ reduction of structure group of E from G to K . Let A be the Chern connection on the principal K -bundle E_h , given by h , corresponding to the holomorphic structure on E . Take $s \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$, and let σ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E from G to P_s , where P_s is the parabolic subgroup of G defined by s . The section $\psi_{h,\sigma,s} \in \Omega^0(E_h(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$ is defined as follows: the reduction σ defines a holomorphic map

$$\xi : E_h \longrightarrow G/P_s. \quad (4.22)$$

If $e \in E_h$, then $\xi(e) = P$ is a parabolic subgroup of G conjugate to P_s . From [GGM1, Lemma 2.6], using the antidominant character χ_s , it follows that there exists $s_{\xi(e)} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$, such that $P = P_{s_{\xi(e)}}$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : E_h &\longrightarrow \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k} \\ e &\longmapsto s_{\xi(e)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

induces a section $\psi_{h,\sigma,s} \in \Omega^0(E_h(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$.

Proposition 4.11. Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a σ -real Higgs pair. Let h be a σ_E -compatible C^∞ reduction of structure group of E from G to K . Let $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi) \in \mathcal{T}$ be the triple corresponding to (E, φ, σ_E) . Let σ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E from G to P_s . Then

$$\deg E(\sigma, s) - B(s, \alpha) = \lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h,\sigma,s}),$$

where $\psi_{h,\sigma,s} \in \Omega^0(E_h(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$ is constructed above.

Proof. First, recall that the degree of a σ -real Higgs pair is equal to the degree of its underlying Higgs pair (see (3.3)); also recall that the maximal weight of $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi)$ is the maximal weight of (A, φ) . Therefore, it suffices to prove the proposition by forgetting real structures.

In [Mu2, Section 2.1.6] it is proved that there is a bijective correspondence between pairs (σ, s) and filtrations

$$V(\psi_{h,\sigma,s}) \quad (4.24)$$

of $V = E(\mathbb{V})$ constructed as follows: let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be the eigenvalues of $\rho(-\sqrt{-1}\psi_{h,\sigma,s})$; then $E(\mathbb{V})^{\lambda_k} = \bigoplus_{j \leq k} E(\mathbb{V})(\lambda_j)$, where $E(\mathbb{V})(\lambda_j)$ are the eigenbundles of eigenvectors λ_j .

From [Mu1, Lemma 4.2] we know that for the Hamiltonian action of \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{A} , the maximal weight $\lambda(A, -\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\sigma,s})$ is equal to

$$\lambda_r \deg(E(\mathbb{V})) + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \deg(E(\mathbb{V})^{\lambda_k}). \quad (4.25)$$

Equation (4.25) coincides with one of the different ways to calculate $\deg E(\sigma, s)$ defined in equation (3.3) (see [GGM1, Lemma 2.12 (3)]).

Now, in a similar way, we can extend the Hamiltonian action of \mathcal{H} on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ to prove the proposition (see [Mu1, Lemma 4.3]). \square

Let $\mathcal{G} = \Omega^0(\mathbb{E}(G))$ be the gauge group of \mathbb{E} . The real structures σ_X and σ_G together induce an involution $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$ on \mathcal{G} . Since σ_G preserves K , it follows that $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$ restricts to an involution $\sigma_{\mathcal{H}}$ on \mathcal{H} . By abuse of notation, we denote also by $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$ the involution on \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} and the involution on $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$.

A triple $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi) \in \mathcal{T}$ is called **simple** if there is no semisimple element $u \in \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

- u is invariant under $\sigma_{\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})}$, and
- $\langle \langle d\mu^\alpha(A, \varphi), u \rangle, -\sqrt{-1}u \rangle = 0$.

Theorem 4.12. *Take any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$. Fix a simple σ -real triple $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi)$. There is an element $s \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ such that*

- (1) $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}(s) = s$, and
- (2) the function Ψ^α in (4.21) attains its minimum at s .

Theorem 4.12 will be proved after proving Proposition 4.14.

Fix from now on $p > 2$. Let $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi)$ be a simple triple whose corresponding σ -real Higgs pair is α -stable. We define

$$\text{Met}_2^p := L_2^p(E(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$$

to be the completion of $\Omega^0(E(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$ with respect to the Sobolev norm

$$\|s\|_{L_2^p} = \|s\|_{L^p} + \|d_A s\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla d_A s\|_{L^p},$$

where $d_A s$ is the covariant derivative of s with respect to A , and

$$\nabla : \Omega^0(T^*X \otimes \text{ad}(\mathbb{E})) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(T^*X \otimes \text{ad}(\mathbb{E}))$$

is the tensor product of the Levi–Civita connection and d_A . Note that $\Omega^0(E(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$ is actually isomorphic to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} using the exponential map. Let C be a positive real number. Consider the bounded metric space

$$\text{Met}_{2C}^p := \{s \in \text{Met}_2^p \mid \|\mu^\alpha(e^s(A, \varphi))\|_{L^p}^p \leq C\}.$$

Proposition 4.13. *If a metric minimizes Ψ^α in Met_{2C}^p , then it also minimizes Ψ^α in Met_2^p .*

Proof. Suppose that $s \in \text{Met}_{2C}^p$ is a minimum of Ψ^α . Let $B = e^s(A)$ and $\Theta = e^s(\varphi)$. We define the differential operator

$$L(u) := \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu(e^{tu}(B, \Theta)) \Big|_{t=0},$$

for every $u \in L_2^p(E(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$.

By [Br, Lemma 3.4.2], it suffices to prove that $\text{Ker}(L) = 0$, because if s minimizes Ψ^α and $\text{Ker}(L) = 0$, then $\mu(B, \Theta) = 0$, and by Remark 4.9, one has that s minimizes Ψ^α on Met_2^p .

We prove that $\text{Ker}(L) = 0$, by contradiction. Let $0 \neq u \in \text{Ker}(L)$. Therefore, the element $\frac{u + \sigma_{\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{g})}(u)}{2}$ also lies in $\text{Ker}(L)$. Then we have

$$\left\langle -\sqrt{-1} L\left(\frac{u + \sigma_{\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{g})}(u)}{2}\right), \frac{u + \sigma_{\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{g})}(u)}{2} \right\rangle = 0.$$

From [Mu2, Equation 2.13] it follows that $\frac{u + \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(u)}{2}$ leaves (B, Θ) invariant. Consequently, $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, B, \Theta)$ is not simple and hence $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi)$ is not simple. In view of this contradiction we conclude that $\text{Ker}(L) = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.14. *There exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$\sup |s| \leq C_1 \Psi^\alpha((A, \varphi), e^s) + C_2$$

for every $s \in \text{Met}_{2C}^p$.

Proof. The given condition that $p > 2$ implies that $L_2^p \hookrightarrow C^0$, which follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem, and it makes sense to consider $\sup |s|$. Repeating the same arguments as in [Mu1, Section 6], it suffices to prove that

$$\|s\|_{L^1} \leq C_1 \Psi^\alpha((A, \varphi), e^s) + C_2. \quad (4.26)$$

To prove (4.26) by contradiction, suppose that C_1, C_2 satisfying (4.26) do not exist. Then it can be shown that there is a sequence $\{u_i\} \in \text{Met}_{2C}^p$ converging weakly to some

$$u_\infty \in \text{Met}_{2C}^p,$$

such that

$$\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), u_\infty) \leq 0. \quad (4.27)$$

Indeed, following [Mu2, Lemma 2.5.4], if C_1, C_2 do not exist, then there is a sequence of real numbers $\{C_j\}$ and a sequence $\{s_j\}$ of metrics in Met_{2B}^p such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_j = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|s_j\|_{L^1} \geq C_j \Psi^\alpha((A, \varphi), e^{s_j}).$$

Let $l_j := \|s_j\|_{L^1}$ and $u_j := \frac{s_j}{l_j}$; then $\|u_j\|_{L^1} = 1$ and $\sup |u_j| \leq C_j$.

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can suppose that $\{u_j\}$ converge to an u_∞ , weakly on $L_1^2(E(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}))$, and $\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), u_\infty) \leq 0$. From the definition of the integral of the moment map we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_j} &\geq \frac{\Psi^\alpha((A, \varphi), e^{s_j})}{\|s_j\|} \geq \frac{l_j - t}{l_j} \lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), -\sqrt{-1}u_j) + \frac{1}{l_j} \int_0^t \lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), -\sqrt{-1}u_j) dt \\ &= \frac{l_j - t}{l_j} (\lambda_t^\alpha(A, -\sqrt{-1}u_j) + \lambda_t^\alpha(\varphi, -\sqrt{-1}u_j)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{l_j} \int_0^t (\lambda_l^\alpha(A, -\sqrt{-1}u_j) + \lambda_l^\alpha(\varphi, -\sqrt{-1}u_j)) dl. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities together imply that $\|\bar{\partial}_A(u_j)\|$ is bounded, so there is a subsequence $\{u_j\}$ converging to u_∞ . In addition, we have

$$\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), u_\infty) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), -\sqrt{-1}u_j) \leq 0.$$

The subsequence $\{\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}(u_i)\} \in \text{Met}_{2C}^p$ converges weakly to $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}u_\infty \in \text{Met}_{2C}^p$, and

$$\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sigma_{\mathcal{G}}(u_\infty)) \leq 0. \quad (4.28)$$

The partial sums of subsequences $\{\frac{u_i + \sigma_{\mathcal{G}}(u_i)}{2}\}$ converge to

$$u'_\infty = \frac{u'_\infty + \sigma_{\mathcal{G}}(u'_\infty)}{2} \in \text{Met}_{2C}^p$$

and this element is invariant under $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$. Then $\rho(u'_\infty)$ has real constant eigenvalues. The filtration $V(u'_\infty)$ induces a holomorphic reduction of structure group σ from G to P such that $E_P = \sigma_E(E_P)$, and therefore $\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}(\text{Ad}E_{P_s}) = \text{Ad}E_{P_s}$. Since by hypothesis (E, φ) is α -stable, it follows that

$$\deg E(\sigma, s) + B(\alpha, s) > 0.$$

In view of Proposition 4.11, this inequality contradicts (4.27), completing the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.12. To prove Theorem 4.12, let $\{s_i\}$ be a minimizing sequence for Ψ^α , then

$$\{s'_i\} = \left\{ \frac{s_i + \sigma_{\mathcal{G}}(s_i)}{2} \right\}$$

is a $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$ -invariant minimizing sequence for Ψ^α . From Proposition 4.14, after passing to a suitable subsequence, we can suppose that $\{s'_i\}$ converges weakly to some s' , invariant under $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$, that minimize Ψ^α . \square

Theorem 4.15. *Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a α -stable σ -real L -twisted G -Higgs pair. Then there is a σ_E -compatible C^∞ reduction of structure group h from G to K satisfying (3.13).*

Proof. Fix a σ_E -compatible C^∞ reduction of structure group of E from G to K . Let

$$(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi) \in \mathcal{T}$$

be the triple associated to (E, φ, σ_E) . The existence of a σ_E -compatible C^∞ reduction of structure group h of E from G to K satisfying (3.13) is equivalent to the existence of a $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ -compatible pair (A', φ') satisfying the equation

$$\mu^\alpha(A', \varphi') = 0, \quad (4.29)$$

where μ^α is defined in (4.18).

From Remark 4.9 we know that fixing a σ_E -compatible pair (A, φ) in equation (4.21),

$$e^{\sqrt{-1}s} \in \mathcal{H}$$

is a critical point of Ψ^α if and only if $e^{\sqrt{-1}s}(A, \varphi)$ is a solution of (4.29).

Finally, since (E, φ, σ_E) is α -stable, it follows that $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}, A, \varphi)$ is simple (see Proposition 4.4 and [Mu1, Definition 3.8]), and hence we can apply Theorem 4.12 to complete the proof. \square

4.3. Polystability implies the existence of a solution. In this section, and in the next one we prove Theorem 4.1.

Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a α -polystable σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair. If (E, φ, σ_E) is α -stable then it admits a σ_E -compatible Hermite-Einstein-Higgs reduction (see Theorem 4.15). If (E, φ, σ_E) is not α -stable, by the Jordan-Hölder reduction (Theorem 4.3) we obtain a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G|_{G'}, c, \sigma_L, \sigma_{\mathbb{V}|V'}, \pm)$ -real Higgs pair $(E', \varphi', \sigma_{E|E'})$ that is α -stable, then, by the previous case, it admits a $\sigma_{E'}$ -compatible reduction of structure of $(E'_{K'}, \varphi'_{K'})$ from (G', ρ') to $(K', \rho|K')$ satisfying (3.13). This reduction defines another reduction (E_K, φ_K) of (E, φ) from (G, ρ) to $(K, \rho|K)$, that, with respect to the structure group, is given by

$$E_K = E_{K'} \times_{K'} K = E'_{K'} \times_{K'} K$$

and with respect to the Higgs field, φ_K is the image of $\varphi'_{K'}$ under the homomorphism

$$H^0(X, E'_{K'}(\mathbb{V}'_{K'}) \otimes L) \longrightarrow H^0(X, E_K(\mathbb{V}_K) \otimes L)$$

induced by the injection $E'_{K'}(\mathbb{V}'_{K'}) \hookrightarrow E_K(\mathbb{V}_K)$, where $\mathbb{V}'_{K'}$ and \mathbb{V}_K are complex vector spaces such that $\rho(K') = \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V}'_{K'})$ and $\rho(K) = \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{V}_K)$, respectively. Since the reduction $(E'_{K'}, \varphi'_{K'})$ is $\sigma_{E'}$ -compatible and it is Hermite Einstein Higgs, then one can see that the reduction (E_K, φ_K) is also σ_E -compatible and it is Hermite-Einstein-Higgs, in other words, it satisfies Equation (3.13).

4.4. Existence of a solution implies polystability.

Proposition 4.16 (Existence of a solution implies semistability). *Assume that there exist a σ_E -compatible Hermite-Einstein-Higgs reduction h of a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) . Then (E, φ, σ_E) is α -semistable.*

Proof. Suppose that (E, φ, σ_E) is not α -semistable. Then, there is a holomorphic reduction σ of structure group of E from G to P_s such that $\sigma_{\mathrm{Ad}(E)}(\mathrm{Ad}E_{P_s}) = \mathrm{Ad}E_{P_s}$ and

$$\deg E(s, \sigma) - B(s, \alpha) < 0. \quad (4.30)$$

From Proposition 4.11, one has that

$$\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h, \sigma, s}) < 0. \quad (4.31)$$

By hypothesis h is Hermite-Einstein-Higgs, then $\lambda_0^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\epsilon_{h, \sigma, s}) = 0$. (See Equation 4.19). Since $\lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h, \sigma, s})$ is a non decreasing sequence, it follows that

$$\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h, \sigma, s}) \geq 0$$

and this contradicts (4.31). \square

Proposition 4.17 (Existence of solutions implies polystability). *Assume that there exist a σ_E -compatible Hermite-Einstein-Higgs reduction h of a σ -real L -twisted Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) . Then (E, φ, σ_E) is α -polystable.*

Proof. Let h be a σ_E -compatible Hermite-Einstein-Higgs reduction. Take $s \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$, and let σ be any reduction of structure group from G to P_s , such that $\sigma_{\mathrm{Ad}(E)}(\mathrm{Ad}E_{P_s}) = \mathrm{Ad}E_{P_s}$. Since h is σ_E -compatible,

$$\sigma_E(E_h) = E_h,$$

where E_h is the reduced K -bundle. We define ξ' as the map such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_h & \xrightarrow{\xi} & G/P_s \\ \sigma_E \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_G \\ E_h & \xrightarrow{\xi'} & G/P_{d\sigma_G(s)}, \end{array} \quad (4.32)$$

commutes, where ξ is defined in (4.22). Analogously, we define ψ'

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_h & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k} \\ \sigma_E \downarrow & & \downarrow d\sigma_G \\ E_h & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}, \end{array} \quad (4.33)$$

where ψ is defined in (4.23). The maps ψ and ψ' define sections $\psi_{h,\sigma,s}$ and $\psi'_{h,\sigma,\sigma_G s}$ in $E_h(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k})$ such that the associated reductive filtrations $V(\psi_{h,\sigma,s})$ and $V(\psi'_{h,\sigma,\sigma_G s})$ (see equation (4.24)) are related in the following way: they define reductions E_P and $\sigma_E(E_P)$ that satisfy $\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}(\text{Ad}E_{P_s}) = \text{Ad}E_{P_s}$.

The σ -real Higgs pair (E, φ, σ_E) is α -semistable by Proposition 4.16. Let σ be a reduction of structure group of E from G to P_s such that $\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}(\text{Ad}E_{P_s}) = \text{Ad}E_{P_s}$ as well as

$$\deg E(s, \sigma) - B(s, \alpha) = 0. \quad (4.34)$$

Let A be the connection on E_h that corresponds to the holomorphic structure on E . From Proposition 4.11 and (4.34), it follows that

$$\lambda^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h,\sigma,s}) = 0. \quad (4.35)$$

Since h is an Hermite-Einstein-Higgs reduction, then $\lambda_0^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h,\sigma,s}) = 0$ and since $\lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h,\sigma,s})$ is a non-decreasing sequence, then $\lambda_t^\alpha((A, \varphi), \sqrt{-1}\psi_{h,\sigma,s}) = 0$, for all t . This implies that $e^{t\psi_{h,\sigma,s}}$ fix A , for any t . Therefore, the filtration $V(\psi_{h,\sigma,s})$ induces a reduction of the structure group of E_{P_s} from P_s to L_s . Analogously, $e^{t\psi'_{h,\sigma,\sigma_G s}}$ fixes $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}A$, for any t , where $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the real structure induced in the space of connections by σ_E and σ_X . The filtration $V(\psi'_{h,\sigma,\sigma_G s})$ induce a reductions of the structure group of $\sigma_E(E_{P_s})$ from $\sigma_G P_s$ to $\sigma_G L_s$. Both reductions are related by

$$\sigma_{\text{Ad}(E)}(\text{Ad}(E_{L_s})) = \text{Ad}(E_{L_s}).$$

The element $e^{t\psi_{h,\sigma,s}}$ fixes also φ for any t . This implies that $\varphi \in H^0(X, E_{L_s}(\mathbb{V}_s^0) \otimes L)$. This completes the proof. \square

5. REAL HIGGS BUNDLES AND THE NON-ABELIAN HODGE CORRESPONDENCE

Let $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ be a semisimple real form of a connected complex semisimple Lie group G defined by a conjugation $\mu \in \text{Conj}(G)$. Let $\tau \in \text{Conj}(G)$, commuting with μ and defining a compact real form $K \subset G$. The subgroup $H^{\mathbb{R}} := K \cap G^{\mathbb{R}}$ defines a maximal compact subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}$, the Lie algebra of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Lie algebra of $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is its orthogonal complement with respect to the Killing form. Let H and \mathfrak{m} be the complexifications of $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$, respectively. Let σ_G be a (μ, τ) -compatible conjugation of G (see Example 2.3). By abuse of notation, we denote

by σ_G the restriction to H and the restriction of $d\sigma_G$ to \mathfrak{m} . Then the isotropy representation $\iota : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ is (σ_G, σ_G) -compatible (see Example 2.3).

Let (X, σ_X) be a compact Klein surface, and let K_X be the canonical bundle of X . The anti-holomorphic involution σ_X induces a real structure σ_{K_X} on K_X .

5.1. Real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles and Hitchin equations. Let $Z(H)$ be the centers H and $Z(H)^{\sigma_G}$ be the subgroup of elements of $Z(H)$ of order two that are invariant under σ_G . Set

$$Z_l^{\sigma_G} := Z(H)^{\sigma_G} \cap \ker(\iota) \quad (5.1)$$

and let $c \in Z_l^{\sigma_G}$. A $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -**real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle** is a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, d\sigma_G, \sigma_{K_X}, \pm)$ -real K_X -twisted $H^{\mathbb{C}}$ -Higgs-pair of type ι . Set $\sigma = (\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$. Sometimes we will refer to these objects as σ -real G -Higgs bundles.

Let $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ be the center of \mathfrak{h} and $\alpha \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$. The notions of α -stability, α -semistability and α -polystability in Section 3.2 apply to σ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles. Since we are mostly interested in the relation of these objects to representations of the fundamental group of X we will take $\alpha = 0$ and will refer to 0-stability simply as stability (the same for semistability and polystability).

Denote by $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ the moduli space of isomorphism classes of polystable $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles. We may fix the topological type $d \in \pi_1(H) \cong \pi_1(H^{\mathbb{R}})$, and consider the subvariety $\mathcal{M}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$.

Let (E, φ, σ_E) be a σ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle over (X, σ_X) . Let h be a σ_E -compatible reduction of the structure group of E from H to $H^{\mathbb{R}}$, and let

$$\tau_h : \Omega^{1,0}(E(\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(E(\mathfrak{m})) \quad (5.2)$$

be the map defined by the compact conjugation τ in the fibers induced by h combined with complex conjugation on complex 1-forms.

Choose a σ_X -compatible Kähler form on X as defined in Section 2.2. This defines a σ_{K_X} -compatible metric on K_X . Taking $\alpha = 0$, one can show that the Hermite–Einstein–Higgs equation (3.13) coincides in this particular case with the **Hitchin equation**

$$F_h - [\varphi, \tau_h(\varphi)] = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

As a particular case of Theorem 4.1 one thus has the following.

Theorem 5.1. *A σ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle (E, φ, σ_E) is polystable if and only if there exists a σ_E -compatible reduction h of the structure group of E from H to $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying (5.3).*

And Corollary 4.2 gives now the following.

Corollary 5.2. *A σ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle (E, φ, σ_E) is polystable if and only if the underlying $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle (E, φ) is polystable.*

From the point of view of moduli spaces it is convenient to look at the Hitchin equation from a different but equivalent point of view (see [GGM1]). To explain this, fix a C^∞ principal H -bundle \mathbb{E}_H and a reduction h to a $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}} \subset \mathbb{E}_H$. We are then looking for a connection A on $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ and a smooth section $\varphi \in \Omega^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{E}_H(\mathfrak{m}))$. The Hitchin equations become then

$$\begin{aligned} F_A - [\varphi, \tau_h(\varphi)] &= 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Here d_A is the covariant derivative associated to A , and $\bar{\partial}_A$ is the $(0, 1)$ part of d_A .

Let $\mathcal{M}^{\text{gauge}}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ be the space of solutions (A, φ) to (5.4) modulo the gauge group $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$. Recall (see [GGM1]) that, if $d \in \pi_1(H)$ is the topological class of \mathbb{E}_H , and $\mathcal{M}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ is the moduli space of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles, then there is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{gauge}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}). \tag{5.5}$$

Now, if we are equipped with conjugations σ_X and σ_G and $c \in Z_l^{\sigma_G}$, as at the beginning of Section 5, we can consider a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}$ on \mathbb{E}_H , which, if the reduction h is chosen to be $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}$ -compatible, it will restrict to $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ on $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$.

Let \mathcal{T}^{\pm} be the set triples $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}, A, \varphi)$, where A is $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ -compatible and φ satisfies (3.2), which in this case is

$$\sigma_V \otimes \sigma_{K_X}(\varphi) = \pm \sigma_X^* \varphi, \tag{5.6}$$

where $V = \mathbb{E}_H(\mathfrak{m})$. The action of the gauge group $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ preserves \mathcal{T}^{\pm} and we define the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\text{gauge}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ of $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -real solutions to (5.4) on $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ as the set of triples $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}, A, \varphi) \in \mathcal{T}^{\pm}$ with (A, φ) satisfying (5.4) modulo the gauge group $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 5.1 can now be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 5.3. *Fix the topological class of \mathbb{E}_H to be $d \in \pi_1(H)$, then (5.5) restricts to give a homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm) \cong \mathcal{M}^{\text{gauge}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

5.2. Real Higgs bundles and compatible representations of the orbifold fundamental group. Let $x \in X$ and $\pi_1(X, x)$ be the fundamental group of X . A **representation** of $\pi_1(X, x)$ in $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a homomorphism $\rho: \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{R}}$. The group $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ acts on the set $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of all such homomorphisms by conjugation:

$$(g \cdot \rho)(\gamma) = g\rho(\gamma)g^{-1}$$

for $g \in G^{\mathbb{R}}$, $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, x)$. If we restrict the action to the subspace $\text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})$ consisting of reductive representations, the orbit space is Hausdorff. By a **reductive representation** we mean one that composed with the adjoint representation in the Lie algebra of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ decomposes as a sum of irreducible representations. Define the **moduli space of representations** or **character variety** of $\pi_1(X, x)$ in $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ to be the orbit space

$$\mathcal{R}(G) = \text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X, x), G)/G.$$

The moduli space $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ is independent of the choice of base point $x \in X$ and has the structure of an algebraic variety [Ri].

Given a representation $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})$ there is an associated flat $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle on X , and conversely, the holonomy of a flat $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle on X defines a representation $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})$. More precisely, there is a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of representations $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})/G^{\mathbb{R}}$ and the set of equivalence classes of flat $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundles, which in turn is parameterized by the cohomology set $H^1(X, G^{\mathbb{R}})$.

Given a representation $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})$ there is a topological invariant taking values in $\pi_1(G^{\mathbb{R}}) = \pi_1(H^{\mathbb{R}})$. Fixing $d \in \pi_1(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ we can consider the subvariety $\mathcal{R}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ consisting of those representations with topological class d .

The **non-abelian Hodge correspondence** states that there is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}). \quad (5.7)$$

The proof of (5.7) is given by combining (5.5) and Donaldson–Corlette’s existence theorem of harmonic metrics. To explain this, let $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ be a C^∞ principal $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle over X with fixed topological class $d \in \pi_1(G^{\mathbb{R}}) = \pi_1(H^{\mathbb{R}})$. Fix a reduction $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ of the structure group h of $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ to $H^{\mathbb{R}}$. We have that

$$\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}}) \oplus \mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}).$$

The covariant derivative D on $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}})$ of a connection on $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ decomposes uniquely as

$$D = d_A + \psi, \quad (5.8)$$

where A is a connection on $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ and d_A is its covariant derivative, and $\psi \in \Omega^1(X, \mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}))$. Let F_A be the curvature of A . Consider the following set of equations for the pair (A, ψ) :

$$\begin{aligned} F_A + \frac{1}{2}[\psi, \psi] &= 0 \\ d_A \psi &= 0 \\ d_A^* \psi &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

These equations are invariant under the action of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{R}}$, the gauge group of $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$. The theorem of Corlette [Co] (see Donaldson [Do] for $G^{\mathbb{R}} = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$), says that there is a homeomorphism

$$\{\text{Reductive flat connections } D \text{ on } \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \cong \{(d_A, \psi) \text{ satisfying (5.9)}\} / \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{R}}. \quad (5.10)$$

Recall that a flat connection is said to be **reductive** if the holonomy representation is reductive.

To complete the argument, leading to (5.7), we just need (5.5) and the simple fact that the correspondence $(A, \varphi) \mapsto (A, \psi := \varphi - \tau(\varphi))$ defines a homeomorphism

$$\{(A, \varphi) \text{ satisfying (5.4)}\} / \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{R}} \cong \{(A, \psi) \text{ satisfying (5.9)}\} / \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{R}}. \quad (5.11)$$

The first two equations in (5.9) are equivalent to the flatness of $D = d_A + \psi$, and (5.10) simply says that in the $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit of a reductive flat connection D_0 on $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ we can find a flat connection $D = g(D_0)$ with $g \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ such that if we write $D = d_A + \psi$, the additional condition $d_A^* \psi = 0$ is satisfied, where the operator d_A^* is defined using the Hodge $*$ -operator given by the complex structure of X . This can be interpreted more geometrically in terms of the reduction $g(h)$ of $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ to an $H^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle obtained by the action of g on the initial reduction h . The equation $d_A^* \psi = 0$ is equivalent to the **harmonicity** of the section of the $G^{\mathbb{R}}/H^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}/H^{\mathbb{R}})$ defined by $g(h)$.

If, as in Section 5.1, we are equipped with conjugations σ_X and σ_G , $c \in Z_l^{\sigma_G}$, and \mathbb{E}_H is a C^∞ H -bundle, we can consider a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}$ on \mathbb{E}_H . If we fix also a reduction h of structure group $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ chosen to be $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}$ -compatible, then $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}$ will restrict to $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ on $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$, and since σ_G preserves $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}$, we also have a restriction $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}})} : \mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}})$. Let $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ the $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle obtained from $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ by extension of structure group. Since σ_G preserves $G^{\mathbb{R}}$, we can extend $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ to a map $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}} : \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$, and we also have $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}})} : \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}})$.

Let D be a connection on $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$. Recall that this can be regarded as a map $D : T\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}$. The compact conjugation $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ preserves $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and hence we can consider the connection $\tau(D) := \tau \circ D$. Let \mathcal{P}^{\pm} be the set of pairs $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, D)$, where $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ is as above and D is a connection on $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ such that the connection $\tau^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}}(D)$ is $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ -compatible. Abusing notation, let D denote also the covariant derivative defined by D on $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}})$. From (5.8) we have the decomposition $D = d_A + \psi$, where A is a connection on $\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}$ and $\psi \in \Omega^1(X, \mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}}))$. Since $\tau(A) = A$ and $\tau(\psi) = -\psi$, the set of pairs \mathcal{P}^{\pm} can be identified with the set of triples $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, A, \psi)$, where A is $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{H^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ -compatible and $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{R}})}(\psi) = \pm \sigma_X^*(\psi)$. Setting $\psi := \varphi - \tau(\varphi)$, it is immediate that \mathcal{P}^{\pm} is then in bijection with the set of triples $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_H}, A, \varphi) \in \mathcal{T}^{\pm}$ considered in Section 5.1. The uniqueness of the harmonic section provided by the Donaldson–Corlette theorem (5.10) (recall that $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is semisimple) implies that this section is fixed by $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}$, or more precisely, by the corresponding map defined on the space of sections of $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}/H^{\mathbb{R}})$. As a consequence of this and (5.11) we have the following.

Proposition 5.4. *The bijection (5.10) restricts to give a bijection*

$$\{(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, D) \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm} \text{ with } D \text{ reductive flat}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathcal{M}^{\text{gauge}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

We now need to identify $\{(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, D) \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm} \text{ with } D \text{ reductive flat}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ in terms of representations of the fundamental group of X . To do this, fix a point $x \in X$, such that $\sigma_X(x) \neq x$. The **orbifold fundamental group** $\Gamma(X, x)$ of (X, σ_X) is, as a set, the disjoint union of $\pi_1(X, x)$ and

$$\text{Path}(X, x) := \{\text{Homotopy classes of paths } \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = \sigma_X(x)\},$$

with the composition defined by $\gamma_2 \gamma_1 = \sigma_X^q(\gamma_2) \circ \gamma_1$, where

$$q = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma_1 \in \pi_1(X, x) \\ 1 & \text{if } \gamma_1 \in \text{Path}(X, x). \end{cases}$$

The function q induces the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, x) \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma(X, x) \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.12)$$

where i denotes the inclusion of groups.

Let $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H) \cap \text{Ker}(\iota) \cap Z(G^{\mathbb{R}})$, where recall $Z(H)$ and $Z(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ are the centers of H and $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively, and $Z_2^{\sigma_G}(H)$ is the subgroup of elements of order 2 in $Z(H)$ invariant under σ_G .

Let $\widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}} = \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma_G, c)$ be the group whose underlying set is $G^{\mathbb{R}} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and the group operation on it is given by

$$(g_1, e_1)(g_2, e_2) = (g_1(\sigma_G \tau^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}})^{e_1}(g_2)c^{e_1+e_2}, e_1 + e_2).$$

A representation $\widehat{\rho} : \Gamma(X, x) \rightarrow \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is called $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -**compatible** if it is an extension of a representation $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{R}}$ fitting in a commutative diagram of

homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, x) & \xrightarrow{i} & \Gamma(X, x) & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \widehat{\rho} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G^{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{i'} & \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{q'} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

where i, i' are the inclusion maps and q and q' are the corresponding projections.

Let $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ be the variety consisting of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -conjugacy classes of $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -compatible representations $\widehat{\rho} : \Gamma(X, x) \rightarrow \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}$ whose restriction to $\pi_1(X, x)$ is reductive, that is, its conjugacy class is an element in $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$. We have the following.

Proposition 5.5. *The holonomy representation defines a bijection*

$$\{(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, D) \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm} \text{ with } D \text{ reductive flat}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathcal{R}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

Proof. Recall that the holonomy representation $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{R}}$ induced by a flat connection D on $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ is defined as follows: let $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, x)$, there is a lift $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ of γ such that $D(\tilde{\gamma}_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = 0$. Let $e = \tilde{\gamma}(0)$. If $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = eg$, then $\rho(\gamma) := g$.

Let $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, D) \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm}$ where D is reductive flat connection. We define a homomorphism $\widehat{\rho} = \text{hol}(D) : \Gamma(X, x) \rightarrow \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}$ in the following way: $\widehat{\rho}|_{\pi_1(X, x)} := \rho$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma(X, x) \setminus \pi_1(X, x)$, and let e be an element in the fiber $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ at x . Let $e' \in \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ be the point obtained by parallel transport by D of e along γ . Let $g_{\gamma} \in G^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the element of the group such that

$$e = f(e')\sigma_G(g_{\gamma}), \tag{5.14}$$

where $f : \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \sigma_X^* \sigma_G(\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}})$ is the isomorphism of principal $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundles induced by $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}$. We define

$$\widehat{\rho}(\gamma) := g_{\gamma},$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma(X, x) \setminus \pi_1(X, x)$. Following the same arguments as in the proof of [BGH1, Proposition 4.4], one shows that $\widehat{\rho}$ is a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -compatible representation. Equivalence classes of $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, D) \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm}$ where D is reductive flat connection correspond to equivalence classes of $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -compatible representations since $\text{hol}(D)$ satisfies that $\text{hol}(D)(eg) = g\text{hol}(D)(e)g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G^{\mathbb{R}}$ and for all e in the fiber of $\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}$ at the point x .

Conversely, let $\widehat{\rho} : \Gamma(X, x) \rightarrow \widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}$ be a $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -compatible representation. The induced representation $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponds to a flat $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $(\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}, D)$. A map $f : \mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \sigma_X^* \sigma_G(\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}})$ can be constructed using (5.14) and it can be extended to the other fibers as is done in [BGH1, p. 18]. From [BGH1, Proposition 4.3], the morphism f defines a pair $(\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}, D) \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm}$. The $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}_{G^{\mathbb{R}}}}$ -compatibility of $\tau^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}}(D)$ follows from the $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -compatibility of $\widehat{\rho}$. \square

Combining Propositions 5.5 and 5.4 and Theorem 5.3 we have now the following non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles.

Theorem 5.6. *There is a homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{R}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm) \cong \mathcal{M}_d(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

Remark 5.7. Theorem 5.6 generalizes the result in [BGH3] for a complex reductive Lie group G , since G can be viewed as a real form of $G \times G$, as mentioned in the introduction.

6. INVOLUTIONS OF MODULI SPACES

Consider the same setup and notation of Section 5.

6.1. Involutions of Higgs bundle moduli spaces. Let $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ be the moduli space of polystable $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles over X . The $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles studied in Section 5 appear in a natural way as fixed points of certain involutions on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$, in a similar way as they do in the case when $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is complex, as studied in [BG], whose approach we follow closely. Recall that σ_X is a conjugation of X , σ_G is a conjugation of G satisfying the conditions given at the beginning of Section 5, and $c \in Z_l^{\sigma_G}$, where $Z_l^{\sigma_G}$ is defined by (5.1).

Let (E, φ) be a $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundle on X . Let $\sigma_G(E)$ be the C^∞ principal H -bundle on X obtained by extending the structure group of E using the conjugation $\sigma_G : H \rightarrow H$. Since σ_X is antiholomorphic, the pullback $\sigma_X^* \sigma_G(E)$ is a holomorphic H -bundle over X .

Let

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_G : E(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow E(\mathfrak{m})$$

be the conjugate linear isomorphism that sends the equivalence class of any $(e, v) \in E \times \mathfrak{m}$ to the equivalence class of $(e, \sigma_G(v))$. Let $\sigma_G(\varphi)$ be the C^∞ section of $E(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes K_X$ defined by $\widetilde{\sigma}_G$ and the antiholomorphic involution $\sigma_{K_X} : K_X \rightarrow K_X$ induced by σ_X . We have the following involutions.

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathcal{M}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)}^\pm : \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \\ (E, \varphi) &\longmapsto (\sigma_X^* \sigma_G(E), \pm \sigma_X^* \sigma_G(\varphi)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

As a consequence of Corollary 5.2, there is a forgetful map

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \\ (E, \varphi, \sigma_E) &\longmapsto (E, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ the image of $f_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proposition 6.1. *The fixed points of $\iota_{\mathcal{M}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)}^\pm$ and the moduli spaces of polystable $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -real $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles are related as follows.*

(1)

$$\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})^{\iota_{\mathcal{M}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)}^\pm} \supseteq \bigcup_{c \in Z_l^{\sigma_G}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

(2) *For $g(X) \geq 2$ and if we restrict the involution to the subvariety $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ss}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of stable and simple $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles, then*

$$\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})_{\text{ss}}^{\iota_{\mathcal{M}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)}^\pm} \subseteq \bigcup_{c \in Z_l^{\sigma_G}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

Proof. (1) If (E, φ) is the image of $(E, \varphi, \sigma_E) \in \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$, then there is a holomorphic isomorphism of bundles $f : E \rightarrow \sigma_X^* \sigma_G(E)$ induced by σ_E , and this defines a map $\widetilde{f} : E(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes K_X \rightarrow \sigma_X^* \sigma_G(E)(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes K_X$. We thus have

$$\iota_{\mathcal{M}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)}^\pm(E, \varphi) \cong (f(E), \pm \widetilde{f}(\varphi)) \cong (E, \varphi).$$

(2) Let $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})_{\text{ss}}^{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}}$. There is an isomorphism $f : E \rightarrow \sigma_X^* \sigma_G(E)$, such that $(f(E), \pm \tilde{f}(\varphi)) \cong (E, \varphi)$. The composition $\sigma_X^* \sigma_G(f) \circ f$ belongs to the group $\text{Aut}(E, \varphi)$ of automorphisms of (E, φ) . Since (E, φ) is assumed to be simple, this group coincides with $Z(H) \cap \text{Ker}(\iota)$. Let $c := \sigma_X^* \sigma_G(f) \circ f \in Z(H) \cap \text{Ker}(\iota)$. Since f commutes with $\sigma_X^* \sigma_G(f) \circ f$, we have that $\sigma_G(c) = c$. Therefore, $c \in Z_2^{\sigma_G} \cap \text{ker}(\iota)$, and f defines a (σ_X, σ_G, c) -real structure σ_E on E . For the real structure $\sigma_{E(m)} \otimes \sigma_{K_X}$ induced by σ_E and σ_X we have that $\sigma_{E(m)} \otimes \sigma_X(\varphi) = \pm \varphi$, since by hypothesis $\varphi \cong \pm \tilde{f}(\varphi)$. \square

6.2. Involutions of character varieties. We study now the involutions of the character variety $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ corresponding to the involutions (6.1) of $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ via the non-abelian Hodge correspondence (5.7). This generalizes the case in which $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is complex treated in [BG], which again we follow closely.

Fix a point $x \in X$. The involution σ_X of X produces an involutive isomorphism

$$(\sigma_X)_* : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, \sigma_X(x)).$$

This in turn gives an involution

$$(\sigma_X)_* : \text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})/G^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X, \sigma_X(x)), G^{\mathbb{R}})/G^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad (6.2)$$

which, abusing notation, we are also denoting by $(\sigma_X)_*$. As mentioned above, $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) = \text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X, x), G^{\mathbb{R}})/G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is independent of the choice of the base point.

Let σ be an involution of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$. This defines an involution (denoted also by σ)

$$\sigma : \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$$

given by $\rho \mapsto \sigma \circ \rho$. In other words, σ sends a homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{R}}$ to the composition

$$\pi_1(X, x) \xrightarrow{\rho} G^{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_G} G^{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Clearly this involution commutes with the involution $(\sigma_X)_*$ in (6.2). Therefore, $\sigma \circ (\sigma_X)_*$ is also an involution.

One has the following.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}$ be the map given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm} : \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \rho &\longmapsto \sigma_G \circ \tau^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} \circ \rho \circ (\sigma_X)_* \end{aligned}.$$

Then $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}$ is an involution of $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \iota_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm} \\ \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}). \end{array}$$

Proof. The fact that $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}$ is an involution follows from the previous discussion. The commutativity of the diagram follows immediately from the construction of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence map $\mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$. Recall from Section 5.1 that if (E, φ) is a polystable $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ Higgs bundle, one associates to it the flat $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ -connection $D = d_A + \varphi - \tau(\varphi)$, where the pair (A, φ) solves the Hitchin equations (5.4). The result follows now from the properties of the holonomy map associating to D a representation of $\pi_1(X, x)$ in $G^{\mathbb{R}}$. \square

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ be the image in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ of the map defined by restricting $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ to $\pi_1(X, x)$. Notice that the homeomorphism in Theorem 5.6 defines a homeomorphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$, which is indeed the restriction of the homeomorphism $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ given by the non-abelian Hodge correspondence. As a corollary of Propositions 6.2 and 6.1, and Theorem 5.6 we have the following.

Proposition 6.3. *The fixed points of $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}$ and the moduli spaces of $(\sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm)$ -compatible representations of $\Gamma(X, x)$ in $\widehat{G}_{\pm}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are related as follows.*

(1)

$$\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})^{\iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}} \supseteq \bigcup_{c \in Z_i^{\sigma_G} \cap Z(G^{\mathbb{R}})} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

(2) *For $g(X) \geq 2$ and if we restrict the involution to the subvariety $\mathcal{R}_{\text{irr}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ of irreducible representations, then*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{irr}}(G^{\mathbb{R}})^{\iota_{\mathcal{R}}(\sigma_X, \sigma_G)^{\pm}} \subseteq \bigcup_{c \in Z_i^{\sigma_G} \cap Z(G^{\mathbb{R}})} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G^{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma_X, \sigma_G, c, \pm).$$

Remark 6.4. Recall that a representation in $\mathcal{R}(G^{\mathbb{R}})$ is said to be **irreducible** if the centralizer of the image of ρ in $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ coincides with the center of $G^{\mathbb{R}}$. Under the non-abelian Hodge correspondence (5.7) the subvariety of irreducible representations is in bijection with the subvariety of stable and simple Higgs bundles (see [GGM1]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the referees for their helpful comments to improve the exposition. The first author is supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship. The third author was partially supported by the Spanish MINECO under ICMAT Severo Ochoa project No. SEV-2015-0554, and under grant No. MTM2013-43963-P

REFERENCES

- [ABG] L. Álvarez-Cónsul, I. Biswas and O. García-Prada, A Tannakian approach to dimensional reduction of principal bundles, *Jour. Geom. Phys.* **118**, (2017), 4–19
- [At1] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [At2] M. F. Atiyah, K-theory and reality, *Quart. Jour. Math.* **17** (1966), 367–386.
- [AB] H. Azad and I. Biswas, On holomorphic principal bundles over a compact Riemann surface admitting a flat connection, *Math. Ann.* **322** (2002), 333–346.
- [BBN1] V. Balaji, I. Biswas and D. S. Nagaraj, Krull-Schmidt reduction for principal bundles, *Jour. Reine Angew. Math.* **578** (2005), 225–234.
- [BBN2] V. Balaji, I. Biswas and D. S. Nagaraj, Tannakian Krull-Schmidt reduction, *Jour. Reine Angew. Math.* **590** (2006), 227–230.
- [Ba] D. Banfield, Stable Pairs and principal bundles, *Quart. Jour. Math.* **51** (2000), 417–436.
- [BS] D. Baraglia and L. Schaposnik, Higgs bundles and (A,B,A)-branes, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **331** (2014), 1271–1300.
- [BCFG] I. Biswas, L. Calvo, E. Franco and O. García-Prada, Involutions of the moduli spaces of G-Higgs bundles over elliptic curves, *Jour. Geom. Phys.* **142** (2019), 47–65.
- [BG] I. Biswas and O. García-Prada, Anti-holomorphic involutions of the moduli spaces of Higgs bundles, *Jour. École Poly. – Math.* **2** (2014), 35–54.

- [BGH1] I. Biswas, O. García-Prada and J. Hurtubise, Pseudo-real principal Higgs bundles on compact Kähler manifolds, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **64** (2014), 2527–2562.
- [BGH2] I. Biswas, O. García-Prada and J. Hurtubise, Higgs bundles, branes and langlands duality, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **365** (2019), 1005–1018.
- [BGH3] I. Biswas, O. García-Prada and J. Hurtubise, Pseudo-real principal G -bundles over a real curve, *Jour. London Math. Soc.* **93** (2015), 47–64.
- [BHH] I. Biswas, J. Huisman and J. Hurtubise, The moduli space of stable vector bundles over a real algebraic curve, *Math. Ann.* **347** (2010), 201–233.
- [BHu] I. Biswas and J. Hurtubise, Principal bundles over a real algebraic curve, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **20** (2012), 957–988.
- [BP] I. Biswas and A. J. Parameswaran, On the equivariant reduction of structure group of a principal bundle to a Levi subgroup, *Jour. Math. Pures Appl.* **85** (2006), 54–70.
- [Br] S. B. Bradlow, Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **33** (1991), 169–213.
- [BrGP] S. B. Bradlow and O. García-Prada, Stable triples, equivariant bundles and dimensional reduction, *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996), 225–252.
- [BGGH] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, P. Gothen and J. Heinloth, Irreducibility of moduli of semistable Chains and applications to $U(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles, *A Festschrift in honour of Nigel Hitchin*, Oxford University Press, 2018.
- [BGM] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, and I. Mundet i Riera, Relative Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondences for principal pairs, *Quart. Jour. Math.* **54** (2003), 171–208.
- [Ca] É. Cartan, Les groupes réels simples, finis et continus, *Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **31** (1914), 263–355.
- [Co] K. Corlette, Flat G -bundles with canonical metrics, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **28** (1988), 361–382.
- [Do] S. K. Donaldson, Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations, *Pro. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 127–131.
- [FGOP] E. Franco, P. Gothen, A. Oliveira and A. Peón-Nieto, Torsion line bundles and branes on the Hitchin system, [arXiv:1802.05237](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.05237).
- [GP] O. García-Prada, Higgs bundles and higher Teichmüller spaces, *Handbook on Teichmüller Theory*, A. Papadopoulos (editor), European Mathematical Society, 2020.
- [GGM1] O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen, and I. Mundet i Riera, The Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, Higgs pairs and surface group representations, version 3: 2012, [arXiv:0909.4487](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4487).
- [GGM2] O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen, and I. Mundet i Riera, Higgs bundles and surface group representations in the real symplectic group, *Journal of Topology*, **6** (2013) 64–118.
- [GR] O. García-Prada and S. Ramanan, Involutions on Higgs bundle moduli spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **119** (2019) 681–732.
- [GW] O. García-Prada and G. Wilkin, Action of the mapping class group on character varieties and Higgs bundles, *Documenta Mathematica*, to appear. [arXiv:1612.02508](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.02508)
- [Hi] N. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1987), 59–126.
- [KW] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, Electric-magnetic duality and the geometric Langlands program, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **1** (2007) 1-236
- [Kl] F. Klein, *On Riemann's Theory of Algebraic Functions and their Integrals*, Macmillan and Bowes, 1893.
- [KN] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol I*, Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-London 1963.
- [LS] M. Liu and F. Schaffhauser, The Yang-Mills equations over Klein surfaces, *Jour. Topol.* **3** (2013), 569-643.
- [Mu1] I. Mundet i Riera, A Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for Kähler fibrations, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **528** (2000), 41–80.
- [Mu2] I. Mundet i Riera, *Teoría de Yang-Mills-Higgs para fibraciones simplécticas*, Ph.D. Thesis, 1999. [arXiv:math/9912150](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9912150).
- [Ol] A. Oliveira, Quadric bundles and their moduli spaces, [arXiv:1610.05568](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.05568)
- [Ra] A. Ramanathan, Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Math. Ann.* **213** (1975), 129–152.

- [Ri] R.W. Richardson, Conjugacy classes of n -tuples in Lie algebras and algebraic groups, *Duke Math. J.* **57** (1988) 1–35.
- [Sc1] F. Schaffhauser, Real points of coarse moduli schemes of vector bundles on a real algebraic curve, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **10** (2012), 503–534.
- [Sc2] F. Schaffhauser, Moduli spaces of vector bundles over a Klein surface, *Geom. Dedicata* **151** (2012), 187–206.
- [Schm] A. H. W. Schmitt, *Geometric invariant theory and decorated principal bundles*, Zürich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, Eur. Math. Soc. (2008).
- [Sim] C. Simpson, Higgs bundles and local systems, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **75** (1992), 5–95.
- [Sin] I. M. Singer, The geometric interpretation of a special connection, *Pacific. Jour. Math.* **9** (1959), 585–590.
- [We] G. Weichold, Über symmetrische Riemannsche Flächen und die Periodizitätsmodulen der zugehörigen Abelschen Normalintegrale erstes Gattung, *Zeitschrift für Math. und Phys.* **28** (1883), 321–351.

INDRANIL BISWAS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

LUIS ANGEL CALVO, UNIVERSIDAD PONTIFICIA DE COMILLAS, ICAI, ALBERTO AGUILERA, 25, 28015 MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: `lascalvo@icai.comillas.edu`

OSCAR GARCÍA-PRADA, INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, NICOLÁS CABRERA, 13–15, CAMPUS CANTOBLANCO, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: `oscar.garcia-prada@icmat.es`