



Research Paper



Global weak solutions to a doubly degenerate nutrient taxis system on the whole real line

Federico Herrero-Hervás  a,b,c

^a Instituto de Matemática Interdisciplinar, Departamento de Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, 28040, Spain

^b Universität Paderborn, Paderborn, 33098, Germany

^c Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, ICAI, Universidad Pontificia de Comillas, Madrid, 28015, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Communicated by Dr. Enrico Valdinoci

Keywords:

Chemotaxis

Degenerate diffusion

Cauchy problem

ABSTRACT

This work addresses the one-dimensional Cauchy problem for the doubly degenerate nutrient taxis model

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(uvu_x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^2vv_x) + uv, & x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - uv, & x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

which models pattern formation in bacterial populations. The global existence of weak solutions is established for initial data satisfying appropriate regularity and integrability conditions. To account for the degeneracy caused by u_0 not being strictly positive and the difficulties arising from the unboundedness of the domain, we consider a family of regularized problems posed on bounded intervals $(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\epsilon})$, for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Through adequate estimates uniform in ϵ , we construct global solutions to the system by passing to the limit using the Aubin-Lions lemma.

1. Introduction

Intricate patterns can arise in multiple scenarios in bacterial colonies as a response to changes in environmental conditions. For instance, the availability of nutrients or the introduction of an attractant can trigger various forms of aggregation. Such patterns can be reproduced in vitro on agar plates. In this context, for certain bacterial species as *Bacillus subtilis*, several studies [1,2] have investigated the geometry of these aggregations. In [3] the shape of different aggregations is analyzed with respect to varying agar and nutrient concentrations. For hard mediums—those with high agar concentrations—in the presence of low nutrient levels, complex branching formations have been reported.

From a mathematical point of view, to model these phenomena, nutrient taxis systems of the following form have been considered,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (uS(u, v)\nabla v) + f(u, v), \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v - uv, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

E-mail address: fedher01@ucm.es

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2026.114137>

Received 10 August 2025; Accepted 11 April 2026

0362-546X/© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

where u represents the bacterial density and v the nutrient concentration. Functions S and f respectively represent the taxis sensitivity coefficient and the bacterial proliferation model. System (1) is usually posed in bounded convex domains together with no-flux boundary conditions. This setting is essentially different from the widely studied classical Keller-Segel system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v), \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v + u - v, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

and its extensions, in the sense that the chemotaxis migration is directed by a signal substance—in this case the nutrient—which is not produced but instead consumed by the bacteria.

In the case of system (1), the current knowledge is much more limited, particularly concerning results capturing the above mentioned aggregation structures. In particular, for $S \equiv 1$ and $f \equiv 0$, solutions approach the spatially homogeneous steady states $u \equiv a$ and $v \equiv 0$ for appropriate $a \geq 0$ [4] and similar dynamics are obtained when $f = uv$ [5]. For other choices of S such as $S(u, v) = \frac{1}{v}$, traveling wave solutions have been obtained in [6], but still leading to eventual spatial homogeneity.

However, substantially different dynamics occur when bacterial diffusion is considered such that it degenerates at small signal densities. The system proposed in [7], given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (uv \nabla u) - \chi \nabla \cdot (u^2 v \nabla v) + uv, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v - uv, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

for $\chi > 0$ has recently been a source of interest. In the numerical simulations presented in the original article, the authors showed the emergence of complex patterns, which resemble the branching structures from the previously mentioned experimental records. The system was also formally derived by means of parabolic limits in [8].

From an analytical perspective, the first work in this direction, presented in [9], successfully addressed the global solvability of system (3) over a bounded one-dimensional domain Ω together with no flux boundary conditions. Under the assumption that the initial data $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ and $v(x, 0) = v_0(x)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u_0 \in C^\theta(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ for some } \theta \in (0, 1), \text{ with } u_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} \ln u_0 > -\infty, \\ v_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \text{ is such that } v_0 > 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

globally bounded weak solutions are constructed by the limit of a sequence of regularized problems that prevent the degeneracy caused by u_0 not being strictly positive over Ω , which is the case in most experiments. Moreover, in the same contribution, the large time behavior of the solutions is analyzed, showing that u converges to a limit function in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, which is obtained as a fine time evaluation of a porous medium type equation. This behavior greatly differs from the stabilization properties that solutions of system (1) undergo, thus offering an explanation to the aggregation phenomena empirically observed. In [10], hypotheses (4) are weakened, by only assuming that

$$\begin{cases} u_0, v_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \text{ with } u_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } v_0 > 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}, \\ \text{There exists } K > 0 \text{ such that } \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\partial_x \ln v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Global solvability has also been proved in convex and bounded two dimensional domains in [11], under similar hypotheses. The global boundedness of such solutions was obtained in [12] for a broader class of systems including (3). In particular, for a taxis term of the form $\nabla \cdot (u^\alpha v \nabla v)$, the global boundedness is established if $\alpha < 2$ for arbitrarily large and regular initial data, and for $\alpha = 2$ —the case corresponding to system (3)—if an additional smallness hypothesis for v_0 holds. Such smallness requirement has recently been removed in [13], provided that $(u_0, v_0) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ are such that $\int_{\Omega} \ln u_0 > -\infty$ and $v_0 > 0$.

Related developments include models with more general motility mechanisms. For instance, Zhang and Li [14] considers a cross-diffusive setting of the form $\nabla \cdot (u^{\alpha-1} v \nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (u^\alpha v \nabla v)$, $\alpha \geq 1$, and establishes global existence and boundedness results in dimension $n \leq 2$. Moreover, again in the case $\alpha = 2$, on which the present work is focused, when the term $+uv$ on the u equation is replaced by a logistic growth term $u(1 - u)$, the existence of global continuous weak solutions for regular initial data was proved in [15] on a two-dimensional domain. On higher dimensions, a generalized logistic term is studied in [16], similarly obtaining global weak solutions under an appropriate parameter relationship. In [17], a two-species model with corresponding logistic and competitive dynamics is also investigated.

While these results provide a detailed understanding of this class of doubly degenerate systems when posed on bounded domains, the corresponding problem on the whole space requires a separate analysis. Thus, the aim of this work is to study the global solvability of system (3) posed over the whole one-dimensional space, with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, this is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(uvu_x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^2 v v_x) + uv, & x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - uv, & x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

For this setting, both assumptions in (4) and (5) become incompatible, as integrability properties of the initial data cannot be sustained by boundedness or integrability of their logarithms. To address the problem over the whole space, a regularized version of (6) will be studied over balls of radius $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, obtaining domain-independent estimates, with the aim of passing to the limit when $\epsilon \searrow 0$.

Over the years, several other Cauchy problems have been studied for Keller-Segel related systems. For instance, in [18], the minimal Keller-Segel system is studied over the whole two-dimensional space, obtaining a critical mass threshold for the initial data of u . For higher dimensions, blow up phenomena at the origin for radially-symmetric functions has been determined [19], whereas boundedness can be obtained for small enough initial data in critical spaces [20,21]. In [22] a logistic growth is incorporated, studying the global boundedness of the Cauchy problem in arbitrary dimensions. Regarding nonlinear sensitivity, in [23] a taxis term of the form $\nabla \cdot (u^m \nabla v)$ is considered, obtaining global existence in Besov spaces. However, to our knowledge, system (3) remains unstudied over the whole space.

Main results For our case, the one-dimensional Cauchy problem (6) will be studied under the hypotheses

$$\begin{cases} u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}), \text{ with } u_0 \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \\ v_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}), \text{ with } v_0 > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

as well as the additional assumptions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(v_0^{\frac{3}{2(p+1)}} \right) \right|_x^{\frac{2(p+1)(p+2)}{p+4}} < \infty \text{ for all } p \geq 2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{0x}^2}{v_0} < \infty. \tag{8}$$

Our main result guarantees that under such requirements, a global weak solution to the Cauchy problem (6) can always be found.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that (7) and (8) hold. Then problem (6) admits a global weak solution in the sense of Definition 2.1 below, which moreover satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u \in L^\infty_{loc}((0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{R})), \\ v \in L^\infty((0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)) \cap L^\infty_{loc}((0, \infty); W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})), \end{cases}$$

for all $p \geq 1$.

It is worth noting that both hypotheses in (8) are already included in [10] in the appropriate sense over the bounded domain Ω considered. However, the boundedness of Ω ensures that (4) are sufficient to obtain them. In our case, the extension to the whole real line implies that both bounds have to be independently assumed, and are key for establishing Lemmas 3.7 and 4.1, respectively. Moreover, the integrability of both initial values is independently required in the whole space setting and is particularly utilized in Lemmas 3.3 to 3.5.

Structure of the work After this introduction, the article begins with some preliminaries in Section 2, which are needed in order to establish the concept of weak solutions to the problem, as well as the regularization approach followed. In particular, we consider $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and solutions (u_ϵ, v_ϵ) to the regularized system (13), which allows us to deal both with the degeneracies and with the unboundedness of the domain.

Next, the starting point of the analysis in Section 3 relies on a functional of the form

$$\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\alpha} |v_{\epsilon x}|^q, \text{ for } \alpha = \frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)} > 0,$$

for arbitrary $p \geq 2$ and suitably chosen $q > 1$. Upon suitable estimates of the quantities involved, in Lemma 3.6 we arrive at a differential inequality given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}} |v_{\epsilon x}|^q \right\} \leq C \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + 1 + \epsilon^{\frac{p(q+2)+2}{q}} + \epsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} \right),$$

which leads to bounds for u_ϵ in $L^p(B_{1/\epsilon})$ that are uniform in ϵ . The bounds obtained are time dependent, in contrast to those in [9,10], as those rely on the assumptions on the logarithm of the initial data given in (4) and (5), yielding the integrability of $\|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}$ in time. However, the temporal dependency is enough to obtain local compactness for ultimately passing to the limit.

The following Sections 4 and 5 are focused on obtaining compactness properties of the sequence $(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$, as similarly done in [11]. The main difference relies on localizing the approach by means of a cutoff function as introduced in Definition 4.1, to eliminate any domain dependency. Lemma 4.5 provides a bound for $(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$ in $L^2((0, T); W_{loc}^{1,1}(B_{1/\epsilon}))$, while Lemma 5.2 ensures that its time derivative is bounded in $L^1((0, T); (W_{loc}^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}))^*)$.

Lastly, in Section 6, an application of the Aubin-Lions lemma allows us to obtain a subsequence though with limit functions u and v can be defined, such that they form a weak solution to our problem (6). The article finishes with a proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries: Regularized problems and basic estimates

Given the degenerate nature of system (6), we consider the concept of weak solutions in the following sense.

Definition 2.1. Let u and v be nonnegative functions defined on $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)), \\ v \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)) \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty); W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})), \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

and

$$u^2 v, u^2 v_x \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)), \tag{10}$$

then, (u, v) will be called a weak solution to system (6) if

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \varphi_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0 \varphi(\cdot, 0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 v_x \varphi_x - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 v \varphi_{xx} - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 v v_x \varphi_x - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u v \varphi, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \varphi_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_0 \varphi(\cdot, 0) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_x \varphi_x + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u v \varphi, \tag{12}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty))$.

The main analytical challenges in system (6) lie on the one hand, on the presence of the degenerate cross-diffusive terms, and on the other hand, on the fact that the system is posed on the unbounded domain \mathbb{R} . To address these issues and construct a solution, we employ a regularization approach involving a parameter $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Specifically, to prevent degeneracy—arising when u_0 is not strictly positive—we modify the initial value of u by adding a small positive perturbation $\varepsilon \zeta(x)$, where $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a fixed, smooth, bounded and integrable function.

Additionally, to deal with the unbounded spatial domain, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we restrict the problem to the bounded one-dimensional ball of radius $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, $B_{1/\varepsilon} := (-1/\varepsilon, 1/\varepsilon)$. Over each of these balls, we aim to derive estimates uniform in ε .

Thus, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we consider the following approximate initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^2_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}) + u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon, & x \in B_{1/\varepsilon}, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v_\varepsilon}{\partial x^2} - u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon, & x \in B_{1/\varepsilon}, t > 0, \\ u_{\varepsilon x} = v_{\varepsilon x} = 0, & x \in \partial B_{1/\varepsilon}, t > 0, \\ u_\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_0(x) + \varepsilon \zeta(x) > 0, \quad v_\varepsilon(x, 0) = v_0(x) > 0, & x \in B_{1/\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Given system (13), for each fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ standard cross-diffusive parabolic theory can be applied to obtain local existence of solutions as follows.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that u_0 and v_0 are such that (7) holds. Then for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $T_{\max, \varepsilon} \in (0, \infty]$ and functions

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon \in \bigcap_{q \geq 1} C^0([0, T_{\max, \varepsilon}); W^{1,q}(B_{1/\varepsilon})) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{B}_{1/\varepsilon} \times (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})), \\ v_\varepsilon \in \bigcap_{q \geq 1} C^0([0, T_{\max, \varepsilon}); W^{1,q}(B_{1/\varepsilon})) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{B}_{1/\varepsilon} \times (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})), \end{cases}$$

with $u_\varepsilon > 0$ and $v_\varepsilon > 0$ in $\bar{B}_{1/\varepsilon} \times (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$ such that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ solves the regularized system (13) in the classical sense in $B_{1/\varepsilon} \times (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$, with the property that

$$\text{if } T_{\max, \varepsilon} < \infty, \text{ then } \limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max, \varepsilon}} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} = \infty.$$

Proof. We omit the details, as the local existence of the regularized system over a bounded domain, in this case $B_{1/\varepsilon}$, is standard following the theory developed in [24], and has been already established in [9–11]. We refer for instance to [10] Lemma 2.2 for the details. \square

Next, we prove some basic estimates for u_ε and v_ε .

Lemma 2.2. Assume (7). Then for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$ we have

$$\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \leq \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_0 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \zeta + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_0,$$

as well as

$$\int_0^t \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) v_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \leq \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_0,$$

and

$$\|v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof. Given the Neumann homogeneous boundary conditions for system (13), integrating both equations over $B_{1/\varepsilon}$ yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon = \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon = - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon}), \tag{14}$$

so adding them and integrating in time leads to

$$\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) = \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon}).$$

The initial value of u_ε combined with the fact that $\varepsilon < 1$ proves the first property. Next, a time integration of the second identity in (14) entails the second property, while the maximum principle applied to the second equation leads to the third one. \square

Notice that having L^1 and L^∞ bounds for v_ε leads to L^p estimates for $p \geq 2$ uniform in time and in ε .

For the analysis developed in the subsequent sections, we also consider the following versions of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality in one dimension. In this case, the constant is domain-independent, with the dependency only present in the penalization term in a non increasing factor, if the parameters are chosen adequately. The proof strategy relies on a scaling argument, as done in [25].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p > 1$, $q \in (0, p)$, $r \geq 1$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \theta \left(\frac{1}{r} - 1 \right) + \frac{1 - \theta}{q},$$

and arbitrary $\sigma > 0$. In dimension one, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^p(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq C \|\varphi_x\|_{L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^\theta \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^q(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^{1-\theta} + C \varepsilon^{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|\varphi\|_{L^\sigma(B_{1/\varepsilon})},$$

for all $\varphi \in L^q(B_{1/\varepsilon})$ such that $\varphi_x \in L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon})$.

Proof. For any given $\varphi_1 \in L^q(B_1)$ with $\varphi_x \in L^r(B_1)$, by means of the standard Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality in B_1 , there exists $C_1 = C_1(B_1)$ such that

$$\|\varphi_1\|_{L^p(B_1)} \leq C_1 \|(\varphi_1)_x\|_{L^r(B_1)}^\theta \cdot \|\varphi_1\|_{L^q(B_1)}^{1-\theta} + C_1 \|\varphi_1\|_{L^\sigma(B_1)}. \tag{15}$$

Next, to extend the inequality to $B_{1/\varepsilon}$ for an arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, given $\varphi \in L^q(B_{1/\varepsilon})$ with $\varphi_x \in L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon})$, we define the function

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 : B_1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ y &\mapsto \varphi_1(y) = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot y\right), \end{aligned}$$

for which, given the one-dimensional setting, following the change of variables $x = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot y$ we have

$$\|\varphi_1\|_{L^m(B_1)}^m = \int_{B_1} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot y\right) \right|^m dy = \varepsilon \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} |\varphi(x)|^m dx = \varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{L^m(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^m,$$

for any $m > 0$, as well as similarly computing the derivative $\|(\varphi_1)_x\|_{L^m(B_1)}^m = \varepsilon^{-(m-1)} \|\varphi_x\|_{L^m(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^m$.

Thus, substituting in (15), we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{r-1}{r}\theta} \|\varphi_x\|_{L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^\theta \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{1-\theta}{q}} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^{1-\theta} + C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|\varphi\|_{L^\sigma(B_{1/\varepsilon})},$$

which upon multiplying by $\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ implies the result with $C = C_1(B_1)$, as

$$-\frac{1}{p} - \theta \cdot \left(\frac{r-1}{r} \right) + \frac{1-\theta}{q} = 0,$$

for the considered value of θ . \square

The same argument can be used in order to estimate the L^∞ norm. As the proof relies on the same steps, we omit it for brevity reasons.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $r \geq 1$, $q > 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$ be such that*

$$\theta \left(\frac{1-r}{r} \right) + \frac{1-\theta}{q} = 0.$$

Then, in dimension one, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq C \|\varphi_x\|_{L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^\theta \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^q(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^{1-\theta} + C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(B_{1/\varepsilon})},$$

for all $\varphi \in L^q(B_{1/\varepsilon})$ with $\varphi_x \in L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon})$

3. L^p estimates for u_ϵ and global existence of regularized solutions

The main objective of this section is to derive an estimate for $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^p(B_{1/\epsilon})}$ that remains uniform with respect to $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ for arbitrary $p \geq 2$. Although the resulting bounds will generally depend on time, they will be enough to grant the convergence in the sense of Definition 2.1. Moreover, these estimates will allow us to prove that regularized solutions indeed exist globally.

To this end, we follow an approach similar to that in [9] Section 4, based on introducing a functional of the form

$$\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\alpha} |v_{\epsilon x}|^q, \tag{16}$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)} > 0,$$

for an adequately chosen $q > 0$. The main difference from the arguments in [9] relies on our need for domain-independent estimates. For conciseness, we outline the main parts, leaving out some of the details that can be traced back to the original work. As a first step, we begin by computing the time derivative of (16).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p > 1$, $q > 2$, and $\eta > 0$. Then, there exists $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2(\eta) > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon})$*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}} |v_{\epsilon x}|^q + \frac{1}{C_1} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}-2} |v_{\epsilon x}|^{q+2} \leq C_1 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2}} v_\epsilon^{q-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}}, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \\ & \leq p \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + \eta \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}-2} |v_{\epsilon x}|^{q+2} + C_2(\eta) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2)}{q}} v_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q} \left(q + \frac{(2p-1)q}{(p+1)} + 6 \right)} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Proof.

Firstly, estimate (17) follows directly from [9] Lemma 4.1, where the time derivative of $\int_\Omega v_\epsilon^{-\alpha} |v_{\epsilon x}|^q$ is computed for a general domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, q)$. Since the equation satisfied by v_ϵ is the same one, (17) is obtained as a particular case for $\alpha = \frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}$.

With respect to (18), a standard testing procedure results in

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \\ & \leq (p-1) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The mixed term on the right hand side can be estimated by Young’s inequality with exponents $\frac{q+2}{2}, \frac{q+2}{q} > 1$. For a given $\eta > 0$, there exists $C_2(\eta) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 &= (p-1) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \left(v_\epsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}-2} |v_{\epsilon x}|^{q+2} \right)^{\frac{2}{q+2}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q+2} \left(q + 2 \frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)} + 6 \right)} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta}{p} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}-2} |v_{\epsilon x}|^{q+2} + C_2(\eta) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2)}{q}} v_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q} \left(q + \frac{(2p-1)q}{(p+1)} + 6 \right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Directly combining (19) and (20) provides (18) for all $t \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon})$. \square

Next, the domain-independent versions of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality introduced in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, allow us to prove the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p > 0$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$*

$$\|\phi \psi^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{p+2} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^1(B_{1/\epsilon})} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3}{p+1}} \left(\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^2 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^{p-1} \psi \phi_x^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^{p+1} \psi \psi_x^2 + \epsilon^{p+2} \|\phi\|_{L^1(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{p+1} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^3 \right)$$

for any positive functions $\phi, \psi \in C^1(\bar{B}_{1/\epsilon})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 there exists c_1 independent of ϵ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi \psi^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{p+2} &= \|\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{2(p+2)}{p+1}} \\ &\leq c_1 \|\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(B_{1/\epsilon})} \cdot \|\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p+1}}(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{2}{p+1}} + c_1 \epsilon^{p+2} \|\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p+1}}(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{2(p+2)}{p+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The terms appearing on the right hand side can be estimated as in Lemma 4.3 in [9], resulting in

$$\|(\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{3}{2}})_x\|_{L^2(B_{1/\epsilon})}^2 \leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^2 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^{p-1} \psi \phi_x^2 + \frac{9}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^{p+1} \psi \psi_x^2,$$

and

$$\|\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p+1}}(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{2}{p+1}} = \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi \psi^{\frac{3}{p+1}} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^1(B_{1/\epsilon})} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3}{p+1}},$$

which upon substitution in (21) yields the result. \square

Next, using Lemma 3.2 we prove a technical result that will later be used to control the right hand side terms in (17) and (18) in order to estimate the time derivative of the functional defined in (16).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p, r > 0$ be such that*

$$\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{p+4} \leq r < p+2.$$

Then, for any $\eta > 0$ and $K > 0$ there exists $C(\eta, K) > 0$ such that whenever (7) holds as well as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0 \leq K, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_0 \leq K, \quad \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq K, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \leq K, \tag{22}$$

we have that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$

$$\|u_\epsilon v_\epsilon^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^r \leq \eta \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}^2 \right) + C(\eta, K) (1 + \epsilon^r) \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}.$$

Proof. Combining the estimates proved in Lemma 2.2 with assumption (22) yields

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^1(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_0 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \zeta + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_0 \leq 3K,$$

as well as

$$\|v_\epsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq K,$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$. Next, applying Lemma 3.2 to $\phi = u_\epsilon, \psi = v_\epsilon$, we obtain that for any $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon v_\epsilon^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{p+2} &\leq C \cdot 3K \cdot \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3}{p+1}} \left(K^2 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}^2 + \epsilon^{p+2} (2K)^{p+1} \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^3 \right) \\ &\leq c_1 \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3}{p+1}} I(t) + c_1 \epsilon^{p+2} \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3(p+2)}{p+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

for a certain $c_1 = c_1(K) > 0$, where

$$I(t) := \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}^2.$$

Thus, for any r satisfying $\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{p+4} \leq r < p+2$, we can bound

$$\|u_\epsilon v_\epsilon^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^r \leq c_2 \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3r}{p+1}} I(t)^{\frac{r}{p+2}} + c_2 \epsilon^r \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3r}{p+1}}, \tag{24}$$

for a certain $c_2 > 0$. The assumptions on r grants that

$$b := \frac{3r}{p+1} - 1 \geq \frac{2(p+1)}{p+4} > 0,$$

with which for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$ we obtain

$$\epsilon^r \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3r}{p+1}} = \epsilon^r \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^b \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq \epsilon^r K^b \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}.$$

The remainder of the proof follows the same steps as Lemma 4.4 in [9]. By Young’s inequality, for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $c_3 = c_3(\eta, K) > 0$ such that

$$c_2 \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3r}{p+1}} I(t)^{\frac{r}{p+2}} \leq \eta I(t) + c_3 \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}^{\frac{3r}{(p+1)(p+2-r)}} \leq \eta I(t) + c_3 K^a \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})},$$

where again $a := \frac{3r}{(p+1)(p+2-r)} - 1$ is nonnegative by the choice of r . Direct substitution into (24) gives the result. \square

Next, the estimate provided by Lemma 3.3 can be used to bound the right hand side term in (17).

Lemma 3.4. Let $p > \frac{1}{2}$, $q \in (1, 2(p+2))$ and $\alpha = \frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)} > 0$ be such that

$$\alpha \leq q - \frac{3(p+2)}{p+4}, \quad \alpha > q - \frac{3(p+2)}{p+1}. \tag{25}$$

Then, for all $\eta > 0$ and $K > 0$, there exists $C(\eta, K) > 0$ such that if (7) and (22) hold, the following inequality is satisfied for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$

$$\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2}} v_\epsilon^{q-\alpha} \leq \eta \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}^2 \right) + C(\eta, K) \left(1 + \epsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}} \right) \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}.$$

Proof. The result follows directly by noting that for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$

$$\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2}} v_\epsilon^{q-\alpha} \leq \|u_\epsilon v_\epsilon^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \cdot \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2} - \frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}}, \tag{26}$$

where precisely the choice of α ensures that $\frac{q+2}{2} - \frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3} = 1$, and therefore

$$\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2} - \frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}} = \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^1(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq 3K, \tag{27}$$

by Lemma 2.2 and hypothesis (22). Moreover, assumption (25) implies that

$$\frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3} \geq \frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{p+4}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3} < p+2.$$

In this way, by Lemma 3.3, considering $r := \frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}$, for any choice of η and $K > 0$, there exists $C_1(\eta, K) > 0$ such that

$$\|u_\epsilon v_\epsilon^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq \frac{\eta}{3K} \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}^2 \right) + C_1(\eta, K) \left(1 + \epsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}} \right) \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}.$$

Combining this with (27) and substituting into (26) finishes the proof by defining $C(\eta, K) := 3K \cdot C_1(\eta, K)$. \square

Lastly, a similar argument can be followed for estimating the last term in (18).

Lemma 3.5. Let $p > \frac{1}{2}$, $q > 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)} > 0$ be such that

$$\alpha \geq \frac{3(p+2)q}{2(p+4)} - \frac{q+6}{2}, \quad \alpha < \frac{3(p+2)q}{2(p+1)} - \frac{q+6}{2}. \tag{28}$$

Then, for all $\eta > 0$ and $K > 0$, there exists $C(\eta, K) > 0$ such that whenever (7) and (22) are satisfied, the following estimate holds for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$

$$\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2)}{q}} v_\epsilon^{\frac{q+2\alpha+6}{q}} \leq \eta \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}^2 \right) + C(\eta, K) \left(1 + \epsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2\alpha+6)}{3q}} \right) \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same steps as in Lemma 3.4. Firstly, for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$

$$\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2)}{q}} v_\epsilon^{\frac{q+2\alpha+6}{q}} \leq \|u_\epsilon v_\epsilon^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \cdot \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{2(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3q}}, \tag{29}$$

where again, the chosen α is such that $\frac{2(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3q} = 1$, therefore having a global bound for the last term in (29). Lastly, the conditions

on p, q and α in (28) ensure that Lemma 3.3 can be applied again to $\|u_\epsilon v_\epsilon^{\frac{3}{p+1}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}$, concluding the proof. \square

A combination of these lemmas allows us to estimate the time derivative of the functional (16) for the selected α . This eventually leads us to the desired L^p bounds for u_ϵ . It is important however to select a range of values of p and q such that assumptions (25) and (28) are simultaneously met.

Lemma 3.6. Let $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 4$ be such that

$$\frac{2(p+1)(p+2)}{p+4} \leq q < 2(p+2).$$

Then for all $K > 0$ there exists $C(K) > 0$ such that if (7) and (22) are satisfied, we have that for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \epsilon})$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} v_\epsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}} |v_{\epsilon x}|^q \right\} \leq C(K) \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \left(1 + \epsilon^{\frac{p(q+2)+2}{q}} + \epsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^p \right).$$

Proof. Fixing again $\alpha = \frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}$, beginning with Lemma 3.1, by (17) there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ (being $c_2 = c_1^{-1}$) such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^q + c_1 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha-2} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^{q+2} \leq c_2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2}} v_\varepsilon^{q-\alpha}, \tag{30}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$.

Next, for $\eta = c_1 > 0$, (18) provides $C_2(\eta) > 0$ such that for $c_3 := \frac{p(p-1)}{2} > 0$ and $c_4 := \max\{p, C_2(\eta)\} > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p + c_3 \left(\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \right) \\ & \leq c_4 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p + c_1 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha-2} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^{q+2} + c_4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2)}{q}} v_\varepsilon^{\frac{q+2\alpha+6}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

One can check that the range of values for q entails (25), and having $p \geq 2$ implies (28). Thus, we can apply Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5. In particular, for $\eta = \frac{c_3}{2c_4} > 0$, by Lemma 3.5 there exists $c_5 = c_5(K) > 0$ such that

$$c_4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2)}{q}} v_\varepsilon^{\frac{q+2\alpha+6}{q}} \leq \frac{c_3}{2} \left(\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \right) + c_5 \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2\alpha+6)}{3q}} \right) \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}, \tag{32}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$. In the same way, for $\eta = \frac{c_3}{2c_2} > 0$, by Lemma 3.4 we obtain $c_6 = c_6(K) > 0$ for which, for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$ we have

$$c_2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2}} v_\varepsilon^{q-\alpha} \leq \frac{c_3}{2} \left(\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \right) + c_6 \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}} \right) \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}. \tag{33}$$

Lastly, upon combining (30)–(33), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^q \right\} + c_1 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha-2} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^{q+2} + c_3 \left(\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \right) \\ & \leq c_2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{q+2}{2}} v_\varepsilon^{q-\alpha} + c_4 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p + c_1 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha-2} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^{q+2} + c_4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2)}{q}} v_\varepsilon^{\frac{q+2\alpha+6}{q}} \\ & \leq c_1 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha-2} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^{q+2} + 2 \cdot \frac{c_3}{2} \left(\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \right) \\ & \quad + c_4 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p + \left[c_5 \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2\alpha+6)}{3q}} \right) + c_6 \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}} \right) \right] \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$. Equivalently, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\alpha} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^q \right\} \leq c_7 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q+2\alpha+6)}{3q}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{(p+1)(q-\alpha)}{3}} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p \right), \tag{34}$$

and lastly, substituting α by its value, $\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}$, yields the result. \square

Lastly, as a conclusion to the previous lemmas, we obtain a time-dependent L^p bound for u_ε for arbitrary $p \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $p \geq 2$, $K > 0$ and assume u_0 and v_0 satisfy (7) and (22) as well as*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0^p \leq K, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(v_0^{\frac{3}{2(p+1)}} \right)_x \right|^{\frac{2(p+1)(p+2)}{p+4}} \leq K. \tag{35}$$

Then, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $T \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$ there exists $C(p, k, T) > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p(\cdot, t) \leq C(p, K, T), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, with $q = \frac{2(p+1)(p+2)}{p+4} \geq 4$, there exists $C(K) > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, T_{\max, \varepsilon})$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}} |v_{\varepsilon x}|^q \right\} \leq C(K) \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{p(q+2)+2}{q}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p \right).$$

Thus, as $\varepsilon < 1$, by defining

$$y_\varepsilon(t) := \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p(\cdot, t) + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon^{-\frac{(2p-1)q}{2(p+1)}}(\cdot, t) |v_{\varepsilon x}(\cdot, t)|^q + 3, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max, \varepsilon}),$$

we obtain

$$y'_\epsilon(t) \leq C(K) \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \cdot y_\epsilon(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon}).$$

Integrating the inequality yields

$$y_\epsilon(t) \leq y_\epsilon(0) \cdot e^{C(K) \int_0^t \|v_\epsilon(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} ds} \leq y_\epsilon(0) \cdot e^{C(K) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot t}, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon}), \tag{36}$$

where we used that by Lemma 2.2, $0 \leq \|v_\epsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ for all $t \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon})$.

Thus, for any $T \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon})$, taking $C(p, K, T) := y_\epsilon(0) \cdot e^{C(K) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot T} > 0$ finishes the proof. \square

As a direct consequence of the L^p bounds for u_ϵ , well-known properties of the Neumann heat semigroup can be applied to obtain the following result for v_ϵ .

Lemma 3.8. *Let $K > 0$, then for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $T \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon})$, there exists $C(K, T) > 0$ independent of ϵ such that if u_0 and v_0 satisfy (7), (22) and (35), then*

$$\|v_{\epsilon x}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq C(K, T), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

Proof. The result is a consequence of standard semigroup theory based on the L^p bound for u_ϵ derived in Lemma 3.7 (which, although time dependent, is always finite) and the $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ bound for v_0 . A detailed proof can be found in Lemma 2.2 in [11]. \square

As a second consequence of the L^p estimates for u_ϵ , we can prove that indeed $T_{\max,\epsilon} = \infty$ and therefore the regularized solutions do exist globally in time.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $K > 0$ and assume that u_0 and v_0 are such that (7), (22) and (35) hold. Then $T_{\max,\epsilon} = \infty$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Again, the proof is standard and relies on the fact that if for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $T_{\max,\epsilon}$ was finite, then for all $p \geq 2$, the quantity $\sup_{t \in (0, T_{\max,\epsilon})} \|u_\epsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(B_{1/\epsilon})}$ would also be finite. In this case, we refer the reader to Lemma 4.1 in [10] for more details. \square

Once the global existence of regularized solutions has been established on each ball $B_{1/\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, we derive further estimates uniform in ϵ to pass to the limit in order to construct a global solution to the original problem in the whole space.

4. Further temporal estimates: A bound for $(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$ in $L^2((0, T); W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(B_{1/\epsilon}))$

In this section, we obtain further time-dependent estimates with the aim of proving compactness properties of the sequence of regularized solutions, which will allow us to extract a converging subsequence by means of an Aubin-Lions type lemma.

First, given the local boundedness of u_ϵ in $L^p(B_{1/\epsilon})$, the nonlinear term $-u_\epsilon v_\epsilon$ in the second equation of the regularized system (13) can be easily handled. This will result in the necessary features of $(v_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$ to grant the existence of a converging subsequence.

With respect to u_ϵ , we consider the auxiliary sequence $(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$. In order to obtain boundedness in suitable spaces, we start by a first technical result which will be of key importance on the later analysis.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $K > 0$ be such that (7), (22) and (35) are satisfied, as well as*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{0x}^2}{v_0} < K. \tag{37}$$

Then, for all $T > 0$ there exists $C(T) > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}^4}{v_\epsilon^3} < C(T),$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. By the positivity of v_ϵ , we can compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}^2}{v_\epsilon} = 2 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}}{v_\epsilon} (v_{\epsilon x})_t - \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}^2}{v_\epsilon^2} v_{\epsilon t}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{38}$$

By standard parabolic theory, due to the regularity of u_ϵ and v_ϵ provided by Lemma 2.1, we have that $v_{\epsilon x} \in C^{2,1}(\bar{B}_{1/\epsilon} \times (0, T_{\max,\epsilon}))$ and satisfies the differentiated version of the second equation in (13), this is

$$(v_{\epsilon x})_t = v_{\epsilon xxx} - u_{\epsilon x} v_\epsilon - u_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}.$$

Thus, substituting in (38) and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}^2}{v_\epsilon} = 2 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}}{v_\epsilon} (v_{\epsilon xxx} - u_{\epsilon x} v_\epsilon - u_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}) - \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}^2}{v_\epsilon^2} (v_{\epsilon xx} - u_\epsilon v_\epsilon)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon XX}^2}{v_\varepsilon} + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} v_{\varepsilon XX} - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon X} v_{\varepsilon X} - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon X}^2 - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon XX} \\
 &+ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon X}^2 = -2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon XX}^2}{v_\varepsilon} + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} v_{\varepsilon XX} - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon X} v_{\varepsilon X} - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon X}^2, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

To estimate the terms in the right hand side, firstly integrating by parts one obtains

$$\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon XX} = \frac{2}{3} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \tag{40}$$

and moreover, using Lemma 3.2 in [9] we can prove that

$$- \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon XX}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \leq -\frac{4}{9} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{41}$$

Lastly, integrating first by parts and then using Young’s inequality, we get

$$-2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon X} v_{\varepsilon X} = 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon XX} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon XX}^2}{v_\varepsilon} + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{42}$$

Substituting bounds (40)–(42) into (39) and using the positivity of u_ε and v_ε yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon} &= \left(-2 + \frac{1}{4}\right) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon XX}^2}{v_\varepsilon} + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} v_{\varepsilon XX} + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon X}^2 \\
 &\leq -\frac{7}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon XX}^2}{v_\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{3} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \leq \left(-\frac{7}{9} + \frac{2}{3}\right) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

In this way, for any given $T > 0$, by the $L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})$ bound for v_ε from Lemma 2.1 and the $L^p(B_{1/\varepsilon})$ bound for u_ε provided by Lemma 3.7 there exists $C_1(T) > 0$ such that

$$4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \leq 4 \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 \leq C_1(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T),$$

after which (43) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{9} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} \leq C_1(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \tag{44}$$

Integrating with respect to time provides

$$\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^2}{v_\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{9} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon X}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} \leq \bar{C}_1(T) + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_0^2}{v_0} \leq \bar{C}_1(T) + K.$$

by assumption (37). Thus, the positivity of the first integral on the left hand side finishes the proof. \square

Next, to derive the desired compactness properties of the sequence $(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$, we consider the following lemmas that will allow us to obtain local boundedness in $L^2((0, T); W_{loc}^{1,1}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))$.

In order to obtain estimates that remain uniform with respect to the domain size, we consider a general smooth, nonnegative, and compactly supported cutoff function as defined below which will allow us to localize the analysis.

Definition 4.1. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. We define a cutoff function $\phi^2 = \phi^2(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})$ such that

- $0 \leq \phi^2 \leq 1$ in $B_{1/\varepsilon}$,
- $\phi^2 \equiv 1$ on a fixed compact subset $(-R, R) \subset\subset B_{1/\varepsilon}$,
- $\phi^2 \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $\partial B_{1/\varepsilon}$,
- ϕ^2 connects smoothly the values 1 in $(-R, R)$ and 0 near $\partial B_{1/\varepsilon}$.

We note that, since $\phi^2 \in C_c^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})$, it follows that $\partial_x^k \phi^2 \in L^p(B_{1/\varepsilon})$ for all $p \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, all integrals involving ϕ^2 , $(\phi^2)_x$, or $(\phi^2)_{xx}$ that appear in the sequel are finite. For such a class of functions, we prove the following results.

Lemma 4.2. Let $p \geq 2$ and $K > 0$ be such that (7), (22) and (35) are satisfied. Then for any ϕ^2 as in Definition 4.1 and $T > 0$ there exists $C(p, T) > 0$ such that following inequality holds for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p \phi^2 + \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon X}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \\
 &\leq C(p, T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + \frac{8p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2 + C(p, T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \phi^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$.

Proof. Using the first equation in (13), as $\phi^2 = \phi^2(x)$, and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p \phi^2 &= \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_\varepsilon^p)_t \phi^2 = p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} \left((u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x})_x - (u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x})_x + u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \right) \phi^2 \\ &= -p(p-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 - 2p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x + p(p-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 \\ &\quad + 2p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x + p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows directly that for all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p \phi^2 + p(p-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\ = -2p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x + p(p-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 + 2p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x + p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon \phi^2. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

We estimate the terms appearing in the right hand side using Young’s inequality as follows

$$-2p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x \leq \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{4p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2, \tag{46}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, as well as

$$\begin{aligned} p(p-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 &\leq \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\ &\leq \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} c_1^2(T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where we used that by Lemma 3.8 there exists $c_1(T) > 0$ such that $\|v_{\varepsilon x}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq c_1(T)$. Again by the same argument we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x &\leq \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{4p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2 \\ &\leq \frac{p(p-1)}{4} c_1^2(T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + \frac{4p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Substituting (46)–(48) in (45) one obtains for all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p \phi^2 + p(p-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\ \leq \frac{3p(p-1)}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{3p(p-1)}{4} c_1^2(T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + \frac{8p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2 + p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon \phi^2. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

For the last term, which we are yet to estimate, Young’s inequality, this time with exponents $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and p —both greater than 1 as $p \geq 2$ —yields

$$\begin{aligned} p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon \phi^2 &= \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{3p}{4} c_1^2(T) \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \cdot \left(p \left(\frac{4(p-1)}{3p^2 c_1^2(T)} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} u_\varepsilon^{1/p} v_\varepsilon^{1/p} \right) \phi^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3p}{4} c_1^2(T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + \frac{1}{p} \left\{ p \left(\frac{4(p-1)}{3p^2 c_1^2(T)} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right\}^p \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

In this way, the first summand on the right hand side here cancels the same term arising from the negative contribution in $\frac{3p(p-1)}{4} c_1^2(T)$ in (49).

Lastly, following the same strategy, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \phi^2 &= \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{p^2}{4} c_1^2(T) \cdot p u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{4}{p^3 c_1^2(T)} \right)^{1/p} (u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right) \phi^2 \\ &\leq \frac{p^2}{4} c_1^2(T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + \frac{p-1}{p} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{4}{p^3 c_1^2(T)} \right)^{1/p} \right\}^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Therefore, combining (50) and (51) into (49) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p \phi^2 + \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \\ & \leq p^2 c_1^2(T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + \frac{8p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2 + \left[\left(\frac{4(p-1)}{3pc_1^2(T)} \right)^{p-1} + \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \left(\frac{4}{p^3 c_1^2(T)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right] \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, which gives the result considering $C(p, T)$ as

$$C(p, T) := \max \left\{ p^2 c_1^2(T), \left[\left(\frac{4(p-1)}{3pc_1^2(T)} \right)^{p-1} + \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \left(\frac{4}{p^3 c_1^2(T)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right] \right\} > 0.$$

□

Next, we study the time derivative of $\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{|v_{\varepsilon x}|^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2$ in the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $K > 0$ such that (7), (22) and (35) are satisfied. Then for any ϕ^2 as in Definition 4.1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon} (\phi^2)_{xx}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any choice of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Computing the time derivative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 = \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{2v_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon xt} v_\varepsilon - v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon t}}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 \\ & = 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x} (v_{\varepsilon xx} - u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x})}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 (v_{\varepsilon xx} - u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x})}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 \\ & = 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the expression, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\ & = -2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Next, first integrating by parts and then using Young’s inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 = 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon xx} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x \\ & \leq 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Now we deal with the term involving $v_{\varepsilon xxx}$. To do so, we make use of the following identity for any $f \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left((f')^2 \right) = 2(f'')^2 + 2f' f''',$$

which in particular for all $t > 0$ implies

$$2v_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon xxx} = (v_{\varepsilon x}^2)_{xx} - 2v_{\varepsilon xx}^2.$$

Hence, after integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 = \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{(v_{\varepsilon x}^2)_{xx}}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 = \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \left(\frac{\phi^2}{v_\varepsilon} \right)_{xx} - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 \\ & = \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \left(\frac{(\phi^2)_x}{v_\varepsilon} - \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 \right)_x - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}^2}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} (\phi^2)_{xx} - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} (\phi^2)_x - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_{\varepsilon}^3} \phi^2 - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} (\phi^2)_x - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} \phi^2 \\
 &= - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_{\varepsilon}^3} \phi^2 - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} (\phi^2)_x + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} (\phi^2)_{xx} - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t > 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Notice that the last term precisely appears on the right hand side of (53) with opposite sign. One last integration by parts reveals

$$-2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} (\phi^2)_x = 6 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 - 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_{\varepsilon}^3} \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Now, substituting this (54) yields

$$2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_{\varepsilon}} \phi^2 = 5 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_{\varepsilon}^3} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} (\phi^2)_{xx} - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \tag{55}$$

where the last term can be dropped due to its non-positivity. Thus, by (53) and (55), (52) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^2 v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} (\phi^2)_{xx}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Next, we can readily join both previous lemmas to obtain integrability properties that will later grant adequate compactness of $(u_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $K > 0$ be such that (7), (22), (35) and (37) are satisfied. Then for any ϕ^2 as in Definition 4.1 and $T > 0$, there exists $C(p, T) > 0$ that verifies*

$$\int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u^{p-1} v_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}(\cdot, T)^2}{v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, T)} \phi^2 + \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \leq C(p, T),$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. We begin by combining the results of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. In this way, given any fixed $T > 0$, for all $t \in (0, T)$ by Lemma 4.2 there exists $\hat{c}(p, T) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^p \phi^2 + \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} v_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^2 v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 \\
 &\leq \hat{c}(p, T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 + \frac{8p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} v_{\varepsilon} \phi_x^2 + \hat{c}(p, T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T),
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, Lemma 4.3 ensures that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^2 v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} (\phi^2)_{xx}, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

Adding both expressions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^p \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} \phi^2 \right\} + \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} v_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\
 &\leq \hat{c}(p, T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 + \frac{8p}{p-1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} v_{\varepsilon} \phi_x^2 + \hat{c}(p, T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x + 4 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} (\phi^2)_{xx}, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).
 \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

A time integration hence provides

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^p(\cdot, T) \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}(\cdot, T)^2}{v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, T)} \phi^2 + \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} v_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\
 &\leq \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_0 + \varepsilon \zeta)^p \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{(v_0)_x^2}{v_0} \phi^2 + \hat{c}(p, T) \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 + \frac{8p}{p-1} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} v_{\varepsilon} \phi_x^2 + \hat{c}(p, T) \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon} \phi^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x + 4 \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xxx}}{v_{\varepsilon}^2} \phi^2 + \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_{\varepsilon}} (\phi^2)_{xx} =: \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_0 + \varepsilon \zeta)^p \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_0^2}{v_0} \phi^2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i.
 \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

The first two terms on the right hand side are bounded by (22) and (37), so to conclude the proof, we bound the other six terms involved.

Firstly, due to Lemma 2.2 and the L^p for arbitrary p bounds proved in Lemma 3.7 we have

$$I_1 = \hat{c}(p, T) \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \hat{c}(p, T) \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} \\ \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \hat{c}(p, T) \int_0^T c(p+1, T) dt =: C_1(p, T).$$

Next, with similar bounds and making use of Young’s inequality

$$I_2 = \frac{8p}{p-1} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2 \leq \frac{8p}{p-1} \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} \phi_x^2 \\ \leq \frac{4p}{p-1} \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_\varepsilon^{2(p+1)} + \phi_x^4) \\ \leq \frac{4p}{p-1} \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^T \left(c(2(p+1), T) + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \phi_x^4 \right) dt =: C_2(p, T).$$

For I_3 , the time integrability property of Lemma 2.2 directly provides

$$I_3 = \hat{c}(p, T) \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \leq \hat{c}(p, T) \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \leq \hat{c}(p, T) \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_0 =: C_3(p, T).$$

With respect to I_4 , considering the bound for $v_{\varepsilon x}$ in Lemma 3.8 there exists $\bar{c}(T) > 0$ such that $\|v_{\varepsilon x}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq \bar{c}(T)$. Hence, again using Young’s inequality and the L^p bounds provided by Lemma 3.7

$$I_4 = 2 \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} (\phi^2)_x \leq 2\bar{c}(T) \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon (\phi^2)_x \leq \bar{c}(T) \int_0^T \left(\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} [(\phi^2)_x]^2 \right) \\ \leq \bar{c}(T) \int_0^T \left(c(T) + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} [(\phi^2)_x]^2 \right) dt =: C_4(T).$$

The analysis of I_5 is slightly more involved, and requires the key estimate provided by Lemma 4.1. First, integrating by parts we have

$$I(t) := \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xx}}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 = - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x} \left(\frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 \right)_x = -2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2 v_{\varepsilon xx}}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} \phi^2 - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_\varepsilon^2} (\phi^2)_x \\ = -2I(t) + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} \phi^2 - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_\varepsilon^2} (\phi^2)_x, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

Therefore we have

$$I_5 = \int_0^T I(t) dt = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} \phi^2 - \frac{1}{3} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \int_0^T \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_\varepsilon^2} (\phi^2)_x.$$

As a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1, the first term is indeed bounded, whereas for the second one, using Young’s inequality with exponents 4/3 and 4 we obtain

$$- \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^3}{v_\varepsilon^2} (\phi^2)_x \leq \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{|v_{\varepsilon x}|^3}{v_\varepsilon^2} |(\phi^2)_x| = \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{|v_{\varepsilon x}|^3}{v_\varepsilon^4} \cdot v_\varepsilon^{1/4} |(\phi^2)_x| \\ \leq \frac{3}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{|v_{\varepsilon x}|^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon |(\phi^2)_x|^4 \leq \frac{3}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} + \frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} |(\phi^2)_x|^4, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

The time integral of the first term is again bounded by Lemma 4.1, while the second term is a constant independent of ε that can be integrated, granting the existence of $C_5(T)$ such that $I_5 \leq C_5(T)$.

Lastly, for I_6 a similar argument can be applied. Using Young’s inequality combined with the L^∞ bound for v_ε , one has

$$I_6 = \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon} (\phi^2)_{xx} \leq \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} [(\phi^2)_{xx}]^2 \\ \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3} + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} [(\phi^2)_{xx}]^2 \leq C_6(T),$$

for a certain $C_6(T) > 0$, thanks again to Lemma 4.1 that allows us to bound $\int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^4}{v_\varepsilon^3}$.

Thus, combining the bounds ensures the existence of $C(p, T) > 0$ such that in particular

$$\int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}(\cdot, T)^2}{v_\varepsilon(\cdot, T)} \phi^2 + \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \leq C(p, T).$$

□

With these results, we can finally bound the sequence $(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ in $L^2((0, T); W_{loc}^{1,1}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))$ uniformly in ε for any $T > 0$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $p \geq 2$, $K > 0$ and assume that u_0 and v_0 satisfy (7), (22), (35) and (37). Then, for all $T > 0$ there exists $C(p, T) > 0$ such that*

$$\|u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2((0,T); W_{loc}^{1,1}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))} \leq C(p, T),$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Given a fixed $T > 0$, to prove the local boundedness in space, we restrict the analysis to an arbitrary ball $B_{1/\varepsilon}$. Moreover, we localize the results by means of a cutoff function ϕ^2 in the sense of Definition 4.1.

In this way, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we construct a bound for the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2\|_{L^2((0,T); W^{1,1}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))}^2 &= \int_0^T \left(\|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \phi^2\|_{W^{1,1}(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \right)^2 dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left| \left(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \right)_x \right| \right\} dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^T \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \right\}^2 dt + 2 \int_0^T \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left| \left(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \right)_x \right| \right\}^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

The first term can be easily bounded for instance by combining Young’s inequality with the L^p bound for u_ε and L^∞ bound for v_ε . In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \right\}^2 &\leq \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}^2 \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \phi^2 \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2}{4} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \phi^4 \right\}^2 \leq C_1(p, T), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

for some $C_1(p, T) > 0$ provided by Lemma 3.7.

With respect to the second term, one obtains

$$\left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left| \left(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \right)_x \right| \right\}^2 \leq 2 \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left| \left(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \right)_x \right| \phi^2 \right\}^2 + 2 \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \left| (\phi^2)_x \right| \right\}^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T),$$

where we can estimate the last element as in (58). For the remaining integral, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left| \left(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \right)_x \right| \phi^2 \right\}^2 &\leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} v_\varepsilon |u_{\varepsilon x}| \phi^2 \right\}^2 + 2 \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} |v_{\varepsilon x}| \phi^2 \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2} \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \right\} \cdot \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \right\} + 2 \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^p v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \right\} \cdot \left\{ \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{u_\varepsilon}{v_\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \right\}, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where all the terms are bounded by combining the L^1 and L^∞ bounds for v_ε proved in Lemma 2.2 with Lemmas 3.7 and 4.4. Therefore, a time integration of the constants allows us to bound $\|u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \phi^2\|_{L^2((0,T); W^{1,1}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))}^2$, yielding the desired result. □

5. A bound for $(\partial_t(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ in $L^1((0, T); (W_{loc}^{3,2}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))^*)$

In a last step towards establishing a solution (u, v) to the original system (6) as a certain limit of the regularized problems, we bound the time derivative $(\partial_t(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ in $L^1((0, T); (W_{loc}^{3,2}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))^*)$. In this way, an application of an Aubin-Lions type lemma will allow us to extract a convergent subsequence that defines the limit solution. We start by proving the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $K > 0$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $T > 0$ and ϕ^2 as in Definition 4.1. Then, if (7), (22), and (35) are satisfied, there exists $C(q, T) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \leq C(q, T),$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. For a fixed $T > 0$, integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q \phi^2 &= -\frac{1}{q} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} q u_\varepsilon^{q-1} [(u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x})_x - (u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x})_x + u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon] \phi^2 \\ &= \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_\varepsilon^{q-1} \phi^2)_x (u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}) - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_\varepsilon^{q-1} \phi^2)_x (u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}) - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon \phi^2 \\ &\leq (q-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x \\ &\quad - (q-1) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

where we dropped the non-positive term $-\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon \phi^2$. Next, rewriting the above expression we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q \phi^2 + (1-q) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\ \leq (1-q) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x - 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where we now seek to bound the three terms on the right hand side.

First, by Young’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1-q) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} v_{\varepsilon x} \phi^2 &\leq \frac{1-q}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + (1-q) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1-q}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + (1-q) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} c_1^2(T) \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1}, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

where we relied on Lemma 2.2 for the L^∞ bound for v_ε , Lemma 3.8 for obtaining a $c_1(T) > 0$ such that $\|v_{\varepsilon x}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})} \leq c_1(T)$ and the fact that $\phi^2 \leq 1$ by Definition 4.1.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x &\leq \frac{1-q}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{4}{1-q} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} v_\varepsilon \phi_x^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1-q}{4} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{2\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{1-q} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{2(q+1)} + \frac{2\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{1-q} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \phi_x^4, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x &\leq 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} |u_\varepsilon^{q+1} v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \phi \phi_x| \leq 2c_1(T) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} \phi |\phi_x| \\ &\leq c_1(T) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{2(q+1)} + c_1(T) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \phi^2 \phi_x^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Thus, combining the three estimates (61)–(63) and substituting into (60), we have

$$-\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q \phi^2 + \frac{(1-q)}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \leq c_2(q, T) \left(\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{2(q+1)} + 1 \right), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \tag{64}$$

for a large enough $c_2(q, T) > 0$. Notice that as $1 < q + 1 < 2$, a convex interpolation between the L^1 and L^2 bounds for u_ε via Young’s inequality allows us to obtain $c_3(q, T) > 0$ such that $\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1} \leq c_3(q, T)$, while the same argument proves the existence of a $c_4(q, T) > 0$ satisfying $\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{2(q+1)} \leq c_4(q, T)$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $t \in (0, T)$.

Thus, a time integration of (64) reveals

$$\frac{1}{q} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_0 + \varepsilon \zeta(x))^q \phi^2 + \frac{(1-q)}{2} \int_0^T \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q-1} v_\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \leq C(q, T) + \frac{1}{q} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q(\cdot, T) \phi^2, \tag{65}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, where $C(q, T) := c_2(q, T) \cdot (c_3(q, T) + c_4(q, T) + 1) \cdot T > 0$.

The last step is to prove that indeed $\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q(\cdot, T) \phi^2$ is bounded, which can be easily obtained thanks to the presence of the cutoff function. In particular, using Young’s inequality with exponents $\frac{q+1}{q}$ and $q + 1$ yields

$$\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^q(\cdot, T) \phi^2 \leq \frac{q}{q+1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^{q+1}(\cdot, T) + \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \phi^{2(q+1)},$$

where we have that both quantities are bounded independent of ε , which finishes the proof. \square

Once this is proved, we can obtain the desired bound for the time derivative $\left(\partial_t(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon)\right)_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$ in $L^1((0, T); (W_{loc}^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}))^*)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $p \geq 2$, $K > 0$ and assume that u_0 and v_0 are such that (7), (22), (35) and (37) hold. Then, for all $T > 0$ there exists $C(p, T) > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \partial_t(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon) \right\|_{L^1((0,T);(W_{loc}^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}))^*)} \leq C(p, T)$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. As in Lemma 4.5, given $T > 0$, we treat the local boundedness in space by considering an arbitrary ball $B_{1/\epsilon}$, as well as a cutoff ϕ^2 as in Definition 4.1.

Thus, for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ we bound the norm of $\partial_t(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2)$ in $L^1((0, T); (W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}))^*)$ independently of ϵ . We have

$$\left\| \partial_t(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2) \right\|_{L^1((0,T);(W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}))^*)} = \int_0^T \left\| \partial_t(u_\epsilon(\cdot, t)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon(\cdot, t) \phi^2) \right\|_{(W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}))^*} dt.$$

To compute the norm in $(W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}))^*$, we consider an arbitrary $\psi \in W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon})$ that satisfies $\|\psi\|_{W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq 1$. In this way, proceed to bound the dual pairing integrating by parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \partial_t(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2) \psi &= \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} v_\epsilon \left((u_\epsilon v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x})_x - (u_\epsilon^2 v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x})_x + u_\epsilon v_\epsilon \right) \phi^2 \psi + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (v_{\epsilon xx} - u_\epsilon v_\epsilon) \phi^2 \psi \\ &= -\frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \left(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2 \psi \right)_x (u_\epsilon v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x} - u_\epsilon^2 v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}) + \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 \phi^2 \psi - \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \left(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \phi^2 \psi \right)_x v_{\epsilon x} - \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2 \psi, \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Computing all the derivatives appearing, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \partial_t(u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2) \psi &= -\frac{p+1}{2} \cdot \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \psi - \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x} v_{\epsilon x} \phi^2 \psi \\ &\quad - (p+1) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 u_{\epsilon x} \phi \phi_x \psi - \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 u_{\epsilon x} \phi^2 \psi_x \\ &\quad + \frac{p+1}{2} \cdot \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 u_{\epsilon x} v_{\epsilon x} \phi^2 \psi + \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon v_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 \psi \\ &\quad + (p+1) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 v_{\epsilon x} \phi \phi_x \psi + \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 v_{\epsilon x} \phi^2 \psi_x \\ &\quad - \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} u_{\epsilon x} v_{\epsilon x} \phi^2 \psi - 2 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_{\epsilon x} \phi \phi_x \psi - \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_{\epsilon x} \phi^2 \psi_x \\ &\quad + \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 \phi^2 \psi - \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2 \psi =: \sum_{i=1}^{13} I_i, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

To conclude the proof, we bound each of these terms individually. It is important to take into account is that, for every fixed $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, the embedding $W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon}) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(B_{1/\epsilon})$ is continuous, so there exists $c_1(R) > 0$ such that $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} + \|\psi_x\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq c_1(1/\epsilon)$. However, without extra decay properties, $c_1(1/\epsilon)$ grows unboundedly as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The key aspect comes from employing the cutoff, ϕ^2 . As both ϕ and ϕ_x are compactly supported in $B_{1/\epsilon}$, there does exist $c_2 > 0$ independent of ϵ such that

$$\|\phi^2 \psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} + \|\phi \phi_x \psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} + \|\phi^2 \psi_x\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} + \|\phi_x \psi\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq c_2, \tag{68}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, up to a scaling constant, $\phi^2|\psi|$ behaves essentially as a cutoff function (not necessarily in C^∞ but at least in C^3), which makes our previous results applicable to the terms involving it.

In this way, starting with I_1 , we have that for any $q \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \frac{p^2-1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| \leq c(p, q) \cdot \frac{p^2-1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon^2 u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + c(p, q) \cdot \frac{p^2-1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{q-1} v_\epsilon^2 u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| \\ &\leq c(p, q) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \frac{p^2-1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + c(p, q) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \frac{p^2-1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{q-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi|, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

where we used that, $q-1 \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \leq p-1$ for any $q \in (0, 1)$, so by convexity, Young’s inequality provides $c(p, q) > 0$ such that $u_\epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \leq c(p, q) (u_\epsilon^{q-1} + u_\epsilon^{p-1})$. Notice that, as previously remarked, $\phi^2|\psi|$ behaves as a cutoff function, and thus the time integral of $|I_1|$ can be bounded by Lemmas 4.4 and 5.1.

Next, for I_2 , first by Lemma 3.8, there exists $c_3(T) > 0$ with $\|v_{\epsilon x}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq c_3(T)$ for all $t \in (0, T)$. Hence, after applying Young's inequality we obtain for all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq c_3(T) \cdot \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon |u_{\epsilon x}| \phi^2 |\psi| \\ &\leq c_3(T) \cdot \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + c_3(T) \cdot \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^2 v_\epsilon \phi^2 |\psi| \leq c_4(p, T) \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + 1 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

thanks to the L^∞ bound for v_ϵ from Lemma 2.2, (68) and the L^2 bound for u_ϵ provided by Lemma 3.7.

We treat I_3 in a similar way, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq (p+1) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 |u_{\epsilon x}| \phi |\phi_x| |\psi| \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + \frac{p+1}{2} \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \cdot \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^2 \phi_x^2 |\psi| \\ &\leq c_5(p, T) \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + 1 \right), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

For I_4 and I_5 , once again we obtain $c_6(p, T), c_7(p, T) > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &\leq \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 |u_{\epsilon x}| \phi^2 |\psi_x| \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^2 v_\epsilon^2 \phi^2 |\psi_x|^2 \\ &\leq c_6(p, T) \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 + 1 \right). \\ |I_5| &\leq \frac{p^2-1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 |u_{\epsilon x}| |v_{\epsilon x}| \phi^2 |\psi| \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \frac{p^2-1}{8} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + \frac{p^2-1}{8} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^2 v_\epsilon^2 \phi^2 |\psi| \\ &\leq c_7(p, T) \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + 1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

For I_6 , a combination of Young's inequality with the previous $c_3(T)$ bounding $\|v_{\epsilon x}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\epsilon})}$ and the L^p bounds for u_ϵ yield $c_8(p, T) > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, T)$

$$|I_6| \leq \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} c_2 c_3(T) \cdot \frac{p+1}{4} \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^2 \right) \leq c_8(p, T). \tag{73}$$

Next, for I_7 and I_8 we have $c_9(p, T), c_{10}(p, T) > 0$ that satisfy for all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_7| &\leq (p+1) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 |v_{\epsilon x}| \phi |\phi_x| |\psi| \leq c_2 \cdot c_3(T) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c_2 c_3(T) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{2} \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^4 \right) \leq c_9(p, T). \\ |I_8| &\leq \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 |v_{\epsilon x}| \phi^2 |\psi_x| \leq c_2 \cdot c_3(T) \cdot \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 \leq c_{10}(p, T). \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Concerning I_9 , again by Young's inequality, and by the estimate provided by Lemma 4.4, we have $c_{11}(p, T) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_9| &\leq \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |u_{\epsilon x}| |v_{\epsilon x}| \phi^2 |\psi| \leq \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \frac{v_{\epsilon x}^2}{v_\epsilon} \\ &\leq c_{11}(p, T) \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p-1} v_\epsilon u_{\epsilon x}^2 \phi^2 |\psi| + 1 \right), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Lastly, from I_{10} to I_{13} , the analysis is standard again using that $\|\psi\|_{W^{3,2}(B_{1/\epsilon})} \leq 1$, for all $t \in (0, T)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{10}| &\leq 2 \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_{\epsilon x} \phi \phi_x \psi \leq c_3(T) \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} \phi^2 \phi_x^2 + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^2 \leq c_{12}(T), \\ |I_{11}| &\leq \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} |v_{\epsilon x}| \phi^2 |\psi_x| \leq \frac{c_3(T)}{2} \left(\int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} + \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^4 |\psi_x|^2 \right) \leq c_{13}(T), \\ |I_{12}| &\leq \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\epsilon^2 \phi^2 \psi \leq \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+1} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^4 \frac{p+1}{4} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^4 \psi^2 \leq c_{14}(p, T), \\ |I_{13}| &\leq \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{\frac{p+3}{2}} v_\epsilon \phi^2 \psi \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} u_\epsilon^{p+3} + \frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2}{2} \int_{B_{1/\epsilon}} \phi^4 \psi^2 \leq c_{15}(T), \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

for certain $c_{12}, c_{15} > 0$. Integrating these estimates, in view of the properties of [Lemmas 4.4](#) and [5.1](#), we can conclude that there exists $C(p, T) > 0$ verifying

$$\left\| \partial_t \left(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \right) \right\|_{L^1((0,T); (W_{loc}^{3,2}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))^*)} \leq C(p, T)$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. \square

Lastly, before passing to the limit on our sequence $(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$, we require one last result that will grant the positivity of v , the limit of the sequence $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$, in order to adequately define the limit u .

Lemma 5.3. *Let $K > 0$ and assume [\(7\)](#), [\(22\)](#), [\(35\)](#) are satisfied. Then, for any $T > 0$ there exists $C(T) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \ln \left(\frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}}{v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)} \right) \phi^2 \leq C(T),$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. We compute the derivative of $\int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \ln \left(\frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}}{v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)} \right) \phi^2$ and integrate by parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \ln \left(\frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}}{v_\varepsilon} \right) \phi^2 &= - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \ln v_\varepsilon \phi^2 = - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon xx}}{v_\varepsilon} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon \phi^2 \\ &= \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\phi^2}{v_\varepsilon} \right)_x v_{\varepsilon x} + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon \phi^2 = 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}}{v_\varepsilon} \phi \phi_x - \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon \phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Thus, rewriting the expression and using Young’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \ln \left(\frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}}{v_\varepsilon} \right) \phi^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 &= 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}}{v_\varepsilon} \phi \phi_x + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon \phi^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 + 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \phi_x^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon \phi^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Hence, for all $t \in (0, T)$ one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \ln \left(\frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}}{v_\varepsilon} \right) \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \frac{v_{\varepsilon x}^2}{v_\varepsilon^2} \phi^2 \leq 2 \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} \phi_x^2 + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon \phi^2 \leq c_1,$$

for a given c_1 independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ obtained by the integrability of u_ε as proved in [Lemma 2.2](#). A time integration directly provides the result. \square

6. Passing to the limit $\varepsilon \searrow 0$

In this final section, thanks to the estimates obtained for the sequence $(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ in [Lemmas 4.5](#) and [5.2](#), as well as by [Lemma 5.3](#), we prove the existence of a subsequence converging to the global weak solution of the original problem [\(6\)](#) in the whole real line.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $p \geq 2$ and assume that u_0 and v_0 satisfy [\(7\)](#) and [\(8\)](#). Then, there exists a subsequence $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1)$ and functions*

$$\begin{cases} u \in L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); L^r(\mathbb{R})), \\ v \in L^\infty((0, \infty); L^r(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)) \cap L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})), \end{cases} \tag{78}$$

for all $r \geq 1$, such that $u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$, $v > 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \text{ and in } L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)) \text{ for all } q \in [1, p), \tag{79}$$

$$v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \text{ and in } L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)) \text{ for all } q \in [1, \infty), \tag{80}$$

$$v_{\varepsilon x} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v_x \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); L^\infty(\mathbb{R})), \tag{81}$$

as $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_j \searrow 0$. Moreover, the pair (u, v) is a global weak solution to system [\(6\)](#) in the sense of [Definition 2.1](#).

Proof. We start by the convergence of v_ε . By [Lemma 2.2](#) we know that both $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(B_{1/\varepsilon})}$ and $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}$ are uniformly bounded for all $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, by [Lemma 3.8](#) for any $T > 0$, $\|v_{\varepsilon x}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}$ is also uniformly bounded in ε for all $t \in (0, T)$.

Hence, this provides bounds for $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ in $L^\infty((0, \infty); L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon}))$ for any given $r \geq 1$, as well as in $L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon} \times (0, \infty))$ and in $L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,q}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. In particular, this implies that the sequence $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ is bounded in $L^q((0, T); W_{loc}^{1,q}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))$ for any $q \in [1, \infty)$ and any $T > 0$ uniformly in ε .

Furthermore, it is direct to check that $(v_{\varepsilon t})_{t \in (0,1)}$ is bounded in $L^2((0, T); (W_{loc}^{1,2}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))^*)$. This can be proved easily by taking into account that the non-linear term $-u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon$ appearing in $v_{\varepsilon t}$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^2(B_{1/\varepsilon} \times (0, \infty))$, as well as a standard duality argument for $v_{\varepsilon x x}$.

Thus, by means of an Aubin-Lions type lemma [26], we can obtain $(\varepsilon_{j_1})_{j_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1)$ with $\varepsilon_{j_1} \searrow 0$ as $j_1 \rightarrow \infty$ such that there exists

$$v \in L^\infty((0, \infty); L^r(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)) \cap L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})), \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1,$$

satisfying $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{j_1}$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and in $L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ for all $q \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover, the strict positivity of v follows from combining the fact that $v \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$, Lemma 5.3 and Fatou’s lemma, ensuring that $\ln v \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ and indeed v is positive almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$.

The weak* convergence of $v_{\varepsilon x}$ follows from the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, as a consequence of the boundedness of $\|v_{\varepsilon x}\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\varepsilon})}$ for all $t \in (0, T)$ for arbitrary $T > 0$. The strong convergence of v_ε guarantees that indeed the limit of $v_{\varepsilon x}$ coincides with v_x , granting (81).

Next, we assess convergence of u_ε by means of the results derived for the sequence $(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ gathered in Lemmas 4.5 and 5.2. Specifically, for arbitrary $p \geq 2$ and $T > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} & \text{ is bounded in } L^2((0, T); W_{loc}^{1,1}(B_{1/\varepsilon})), \\ \left(\partial_t(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon)\right)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} & \text{ is bounded in } L^1((0, T); (W_{loc}^{3,2}(B_{1/\varepsilon}))^*). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, these bounds hold for the subsequence $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{j_1}$ previously extracted to ensure the convergence of v_ε . Thus, a further application of the Aubin-Lions lemma provides a second subsequence $(\varepsilon_{j_2})_{j_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (\varepsilon_{j_1})_{j_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1)$ with $\varepsilon_{j_2} \searrow 0$ as $j_2 \rightarrow \infty$ such that there exists

$$z \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)), \quad \text{satisfying } u_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{2}} v_\varepsilon \rightarrow z \text{ a. e. in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \text{ and in } L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)),$$

for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{j_2}$. In this way, thanks to the positivity of v , we can define the limit $u := \left(\frac{z}{v}\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}$. Moreover, by Lemmas 2.2 and 3.7, $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); L^r(B_{1/\varepsilon}))$ for all $r \geq 1$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, which together with the Vitali convergence theorem implies that $u \in L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); L^r(\mathbb{R}))$ with $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and in $L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ for all $q \in [1, p)$.

The last step is to check that (u, v) indeed solve system (6) in the sense specified in Definition 2.1. To this end, we consider a test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$. As for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ classically solve the regularized system (13), in particular we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon \varphi_t + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} (u_0 + \varepsilon \zeta(x)) \varphi(\cdot, 0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_{\varepsilon x} \varphi_x - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon \varphi_{xx} - \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon^2 v_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon x} \varphi_x - \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \varphi,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_\varepsilon \varphi_t + \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_0 \varphi(\cdot, 0) = \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon x} \varphi_x + \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \varphi,$$

Therefore, by passing to the limit with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{j_2} \searrow 0$, given the convergence properties (79)–(81), we deduce that the limit functions (u, v) satisfy the weak formulation of system (6) in the sense of Definition 2.1, which concludes the proof. \square

This allows us to lastly prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only need to employ Lemma 5.3. Notice that hypotheses (22), (35) and (37) assumed for the previous lemmas are a direct consequence of (7) and (8).

Data Availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by Grant FPU23/03170 and Project Project PID2022-141114NB-I00 from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities, as well as by a DAAD Research Grant - Bi-nationally Supervised Doctoral Degrees/Cotutelle, 2024/25 (57693451), Grant number 91907995.

References

- [1] T. Matsuyama, M. Matsushita, Fractal morphogenesis by a bacterial cell population, *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 19 (2) (1993) 117–135.
- [2] E. Ben-Jacob, O. Schochet, A. Tenenbaum, I. Cohen, A. Czirók, T. Vicsek, Generic modelling of cooperative growth patterns in bacterial colonies, *Nature* 368 (1994) 46–49.
- [3] M. Ohgiwari, M. Matsushita, T. Matsuyama, Morphological changes in growth phenomena of bacterial colony patterns, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 61 (3) (1992) 816–822.
- [4] Y. Tao, M. Winkler, Eventual smoothness and stabilization of large-data solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant, *J. Differ. Equ.* 252 (2012) 2520–2543.

- [5] M. Winkler, Asymptotic homogenization in a three-dimensional nutrient taxis system involving food-supported proliferation, *J. Differ. Equ.* 263 (2017) 4826–4869.
- [6] H.Y. Jin, J.Y. Li, Z.A. Wang, Asymptotic stability of traveling waves of a chemotaxis model with singular sensitivity, *J. Differ. Equ.* 255 (2013) 193–219.
- [7] J.F. Leyva, C. Málaga, R.G. Plaza, The effects of nutrient chemotaxis on bacterial aggregation patterns with non-linear degenerate cross diffusion, *Physica A* 392 (2013) 5644–5662.
- [8] R.G. Plaza, Derivation of a bacterial nutrient-taxis system with doubly degenerate cross-diffusion as the parabolic limit of a velocity-jump process, *J. Math. Biol.* 78 (2019) 1681–1711.
- [9] M. Winkler, Does spatial homogeneity ultimately prevail in nutrient taxis systems? a paradigm for structure support by rapid diffusion decay in an autonomous parabolic flow, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374 (2021) 219–268.
- [10] G. Li, M. Winkler, Nonnegative solutions to a doubly degenerate nutrient taxis system, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 21 (2) (2022) 687–704.
- [11] M. Winkler, Small-signal solutions of a two-dimensional doubly degenerate taxis system modeling bacterial motion in nutrient-poor environments, *Nonlinear Anal.-Real World Appl.* 63 (2022) 103407.
- [12] M. Winkler, L^∞ bounds in a two-dimensional doubly degenerate nutrient taxis system with general cross-diffusive flux, *J. Differ. Equ.* 400 (2024) 423–456.
- [13] Z. Zhang, Y. Li, Boundedness in a two-dimensional doubly degenerate nutrient taxis system, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* (2026). Online ready. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218202526500077>
- [14] Z. Zhang, Y. Li, Global boundedness for a two-dimensional doubly degenerate nutrient taxis system, 2024, (Preprint). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.01133>
- [15] G. Li, M. Winkler, Continuous solutions for a two-dimensional cross-diffusion problem involving doubly degenerate diffusion and logistic proliferation, *Analysis and Appl.* 23 (4) (2025) 489–510.
- [16] X. Pan, Superlinear degradation in a doubly degenerate nutrient taxis system, *Nonlinear Anal.-Real World Appl.* 77 (2024) 104040.
- [17] Y. Xiang, Boundedness and large-time behavior in a two-species doubly degenerate diffusion chemotaxis system with logistic proliferation, *Nonlinear Anal.-Real World Appl.* 89 (2026) 104525.
- [18] N. Mizoguchi, Global existence for the Cauchy problem of the parabolic–parabolic Keller–Segel system on the plane, *Calc. Var.* 48 (2013) 491–505.
- [19] M. Winkler, Single-point blow-up in the Cauchy problem for the higher-dimensional Keller–Segel system, *Nonlinearity* 33 (2020) 5007.
- [20] L. Corrias, B. Perthame, Asymptotic decay for the solutions of the parabolic–parabolic Keller–Segel chemotaxis system in critical spaces, *Math. Comput. Model.* 47 (7–8) (2008).
- [21] L. Corrias, B. Perthame, Critical space for the parabolic–parabolic Keller–Segel model in \mathbb{R}^d , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 342 (2006) 745–750.
- [22] Y. Nie, X. Zheng, Global-in-time boundedness of solution for Cauchy problem to the parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system with logistic growth, Preprint.
- [23] S. Zhou, L. Zhang, On the Cauchy problem for Keller-Segel model with nonlinear chemotactic sensitivity and signal secretion in Besov spaces, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 47 (5) (2024).
- [24] H. Amann, Dynamic theory of quasilinear parabolic systems III. Global existence, *Math. Z.* 202 (1989) 219–250.
- [25] K. Kang, J. Lee, M. Winkler, Global weak solutions to a chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 42 (11) (2022) 5201–5222.
- [26] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis, vol.2, *Stud. Math. Appl.*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.