








RESEARCH

Open Access



A successful nursing education promotes newly graduated nurses' job satisfaction one year after graduation: a cross-sectional multi-country study

Sanna Koskinen^{1*} , Anna Brugnoni² , Pilar Fuster-Linares³ , Susan Hourican⁴ , Natalja Istomina⁵ , Helena Leino-Kilpi^{1,6} , Eliisa Löyttyniemi^{6,7} , Jana Nemcová⁸ , Gabriele Meyer⁹ , Célia Simão De Oliveira¹⁰ , Alvisa Palese¹¹ , Marília Rua¹² , Leena Salminen^{1,6} , Herdís Sveinsdóttir¹³ , Laura Visiers-Jiménez¹⁴ , Renáta Zeleníková¹⁵ , Satu Kajander-Unkuri^{1,16}  and on behalf of the ProCompNurse Consortium and the COMPEUnurse Consortium

Abstract

Background Job satisfaction is a key factor for the successful transition of newly graduated nurses (NGNs) and for retaining NGNs in their workplaces. However, there is limited evidence of the relationship between satisfaction regarding the nursing education program and NGNs' job satisfaction in the first year after graduation. Therefore, this study aims to examine the association of the nursing education related factors and NGNs' job satisfaction.

Methods A cross-sectional study design with the utilization of data collected from the same respondents one year earlier as educational factors was applied. The data were collected from NGNs ($n = 557$) in 10 European countries using an electronic survey between February 2019 and September 2020, and analyzed in detail for four countries ($n = 417$). Job satisfaction was measured with three questions: satisfaction with current job, quality of care in the workplace, and nursing profession. Nursing education related factors were satisfaction with nursing education program, level of study achievements, nursing as the 1st study choice, intention to stay in nursing, and generic nursing competence. The data were analyzed statistically using logistic regression.

Results Most of the NGNs in the 10 countries were satisfied with their current job (88.3%), the quality of care (86.4%) and nursing profession (83.8%). Finnish, German, Lithuanian and Spanish NGNs' satisfaction with the nursing education program at graduation was statistically significantly associated with their job satisfaction, i.e., satisfaction with their current job, the quality of care, and the nursing profession. Moreover, NGNs who had fairly often or very often intention to stay in nursing at graduation were more satisfied with their current job, with the quality of care, and with the nursing profession compared with NGNs who had never or fairly seldom intention to stay in nursing at graduation.

Conclusions Nursing education plays a significant role in NGNs' job satisfaction one year after graduation, indicating the importance to start career planning already during nursing education. Both nursing education providers

*Correspondence:

Sanna Koskinen
smtkos@utu.fi

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

and healthcare organizations could plan in close collaboration a transition program for NGNs to ease the transition phase and thus increase the NGNs' job satisfaction and ultimately the high-quality care of the patients.

Keywords Intention to stay, Job satisfaction, Newly graduated nurse, Nursing, Nursing education, Transition

Background

Today, nurses' job satisfaction is of great interest as the healthcare industry is undoubtedly facing its greatest challenge, the shortage of nurses [1]. Coronavirus disease (hereafter COVID-19) pandemic has caused challenges to health care worldwide [2, 3]: it has increased the need for nurses globally, and the demand will rise further over the next few years [4]. Prior to the pandemic, the World Health Organization estimated the shortage of nurses to be 5.9 million [1]; since then, the situation has exacerbated further as many nurses have died, fallen ill, left their employment or retired prematurely [4]. Increased chronic diseases across populations and nurses' retirement, where one out of six of the world's nurses is estimated to retire by the year 2032 [4], worsen the shortage of nurses [5, 6]. New nursing workforce is needed to respond to increasing demands and to secure safe and high-quality patient care in the future [1, 7]; for organizations, retaining newly graduated nurses (hereafter NGNs) is thus vital. However, recent studies have indicated that NGNs planned to leave their job during the first year of employment [8–11]. This trend may worsen the current nurse shortage crisis and pose a threat to high-quality patient care and patient safety. Job satisfaction has been found to be a key factor for successful transition of NGNs to the world of work [12–14] and for retaining NGNs in their workplaces [15–17].

Nurses' job satisfaction can be defined as "nurses' positive feeling response to the work conditions that meet his or her desired needs as the result of their evaluation of the value or equity in their work" ([14], p. 87). Nurses' job satisfaction is essential because of its association with high patient care quality [12, 17, 18], which is the final goal of every healthcare organization. Job satisfaction has been linked to nurses' autonomy and to finding work meaningful [19]. There is also a positive association between job satisfaction and nurses' psychological well-being [18] and job performance [14].

Among NGNs, job satisfaction is associated with satisfaction with career [20], organizational commitment [21, 22], work-life balance [12], job-related stress [23], and some working environment factors [24–26], especially staffing adequacy [12, 22, 27] and structural empowerment [20, 27]. Job satisfaction has been reported to increase over time by one year of work experience [23, 28]. This first year of employment, also known as "transition phase", is crucial and considered a

special phase for NGNs. When entering nursing practice as professionals, NGNs are happy and excited about their new jobs and open for learning [29]. During this phase they gain experiences which influence their commitment to the profession and career planning [22].

Facilitating a successful transition and the beginning of a nursing career should start already during nursing education [30, 31]. In available studies, most of the NGNs had issues with self-trust in their professional practice [32–34] and knowledge deficits [35], even though their self-assessed competence at graduation was at good level [36]. The influence of a successful final clinical practicum has been found to facilitate the practice readiness of graduating nursing students [37], to ease the transition phase [30, 38], and to promote career retention at graduation [39]. However, there is limited evidence of the relationship between satisfaction with the nursing education program and NGNs' job satisfaction in the first year after graduation. Kenny et al. [40] and Ulupinar and Aydogan [10] have found that satisfaction with how nursing education prepared NGNs for nursing was associated with satisfaction with job-related factors. To date, there are no studies that have explored the association between the educational factors prevailing at the time of graduation and later job satisfaction.

Methods

Aim

This study aimed to examine the association of the nursing education related factors and NGNs' job satisfaction. The research question was:

- (1) What nursing education related factors were associated with newly graduated nurses' job satisfaction one year after graduation?

Design

A cross-sectional study design with the utilization of data collected from the same respondents one year earlier as educational factors was applied. This study is an independent sub-study of two separate European research projects – Competence of Nursing Students in Europe (COMPEUnurse) and Professional Competence in Nursing (ProCompNurse). Both projects focus on nursing students' competence and possible factors associated with it at the time of graduation and in the first years of the

career. Nursing students from 10 European countries [Czech Republic (CZ), Finland (FI), Germany (DE), Iceland (IS), Ireland (IE), Italy (IT), Lithuania (LT), Portugal (PT), Slovakia (SK), and Spain (ES)] have joined in these study projects by responding to different research instruments at graduation (T1 pre-graduation data) and one year after graduation (T2 post-graduation data). In these countries, nursing education follows the European Union directives (2005/36/EC, 2013/55/EU) and is offered at universities (CZ, DE, IS, IE, IT, LT, SK, ES), universities of applied sciences (FI), polytechnic institutes (PT) or colleges (CZ, DE, LT, SK) at higher educational level (Kajander-Unkuri et al., 2021). This study focuses on NGNs' job satisfaction one year after graduation (T2) and the associated educational factors (T1). The study reporting was complied with the "Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology" (STROBE) guidelines [41].

Participants and procedure

The study population consisted of NGNs after one year of work experience from the ten above-mentioned European countries which are located geographically in different parts of Europe. The convenience sample was based on the first phase of the study projects, which also included a convenience sample of graduating nursing students from the eligible countries. In this second phase, respondents who had given their contact details in the first phase of the study projects, i.e., newly graduated nurses after one year of work experience ($N=2,792$), were examined. A total of 557 NGNs responded to the survey. In this article, the results of NGNs from four countries, namely Finland, Germany, Lithuania, and Spain ($n=417$), are presented in more detail. The results of NGNs from six other countries ($n=140$) are only described descriptively due to the small number of respondents in these countries.

The data of this study was collected between February 2019 and September 2020. The data were collected by using an electronic questionnaire (COMPEUnurse: Webropol; ProCompNurse: otherwise, REDCap [42], but in Germany, SoSci Survey software) with a national language version. At the onset of the projects, the questionnaires were piloted in each country to ensure their feasibility and understandability [36]. The projects' contact persons sent the survey to the email addresses provided by the NGNs at T1 at the time when they were graduating nursing students. To increase the response rate, two reminders were sent [43, 44]. The surveys were coded with an anonymized identification code to enable statistical analyses.

Both projects respected the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki [45] and the responsible conduct

of research [46]. At T1, permission for using and translating the research instruments was obtained from the copyright holders and the research permissions were granted by all participating educational institutions according to national standards. Confidentiality and voluntarily were guaranteed during the recruitment process. All participants received an information letter about the study which contained sufficient details to enable them to make an informed decision on participating in the study. Participants signed an informed consent when they agreed to participate in the study at T1 and provided their email address for T2 data collection. Consent was requested again at T2.

Measures

Job satisfaction was measured with three questions: satisfaction with current job, quality of care in the workplace, and nursing profession using a 4-point Likert scale (from 1 = fully disagree to 4 = fully agree). These three questions have been used successfully earlier in a study surveying likewise NGNs [25].

In addition to demographic information (age, gender, country), nursing education related factors (data at graduation point, T1) were used as background factors: (1) satisfaction with nursing education program (very unsatisfied–very satisfied), (2) level of study achievements (very poor–excellent), (3) nursing as the 1st study choice (yes/no), (4) intention to stay in nursing (never–very often), and (5) generic nursing competence (very low–very high) evaluated with the Nurse Competence Scale (NCS) [47]. The NCS was used as a background factor dividing the NGNs into three groups on the basis of their total Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score at graduation (rather good: VAS mean < 50, good: VAS > 50–75, and very good: VAS > 75–100). The validity and reliability of the NCS has been demonstrated in numerous international studies in different countries and nursing contexts [36, 47, 48]. In this study, the internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) for the total sample was 0.98. Additionally, for the four countries included in the logistic regression analysis, where the number of respondents was sufficient, the internal consistency varied from 0.96 (Germany) to 0.98 (Finland, Lithuania, and Spain). These values align with earlier studies [48].

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used for the 10-country sample. Continuous and normally distributed data were summarized using mean and standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables with counts and percentages. Due to relatively small number of respondents in several countries we decided to include countries into further statistical analysis when the number of respondents per country

exceeded 50. In addition, due to the small number of respondents answering the end options of the 4-point Likert scale (fully disagree and fully agree), the options 'fully disagree' and 'disagree' were merged as 'disagree' as well as 'agree' and 'fully agree' were merged as 'agree' in the data analysis.

Association between job satisfaction variables and demographic factors (age and gender) as well as educational factors (satisfaction with nursing education program, level of study achievements, nursing as the 1st study choice, intention to stay in nursing, and generic nursing competence) was studied with logistic regression including country (Finland, Germany, Lithuania, and Spain based on their sample size) in each model, and other factors were added one at a time due to collinearity issue of background variables. If the background factor was statistically significant, contrasts were created to study which category differed from the others.

In all analyses, participants with the incomplete background or educational variables were automatically removed from the statistical analyses. In the statistical analyses, a significance level of 0.05 (two-tailed) was used. The data analysis was performed using SAS software, Version 9.4 of the SAS System for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Characteristics of the participants

As for the overall 10-country sample, the NGNs ($n=557$) were mostly women (86.9%) and their mean age was 27.5 years (SD 7.2, range 21–61 years). Over four-fifths (85.0%) were satisfied or very satisfied with their nursing education program as a whole, and over three quarters (78.0%) had nursing as their first study choice. Over half of the NGNs (61.7%) had assessed their generic nursing competence to be at good level (VAS > 50–75) at graduation. Most of the NGNs were satisfied with their current job, with the quality of care in their workplaces, and with the nursing profession (88.3%, 86.4% and 83.8%, respectively). Regarding individual countries, Icelandic NGNs (100%) were the most satisfied with their current job, while Czech NGNs (80.0%) were the least satisfied. Spanish NGNs (92.2%) were the most satisfied with the quality of care in the workplace, whereas Slovakian NGNs (75.0%) were the least satisfied. Slovakian NGNs (93.7%) were the most satisfied with the nursing profession, whereas Portuguese NGNs (53.1%) were the least satisfied (Table 1).

As for the 4-country sample (Finland, Germany, Lithuania, Spain), the NGNs ($n=417$) were mostly women (87.1%) and their mean age was 28.3 years (SD 7.6, range 21–61 years). Over four-fifths (83.2%) were satisfied or very satisfied with their nursing education program as

a whole, and over three quarters (77.1%) had nursing as their first study choice. Over half of the NGNs (60.5%) had assessed their generic nursing competence to be at good level (VAS > 50–75) at graduation. Most of the NGNs were satisfied with their current job, with the quality of care in their workplaces, and with the nursing profession (88.5%, 86.8% and 85.1%, respectively) (Table 1). Next, the results only from these four countries will be reported.

The association between the nursing education related factors and the NGNs' job satisfaction

The logistic regression including only those countries with more than 50 participants (Germany, Finland, Lithuania, and Spain) indicated a high association between the NGNs' satisfaction with the nursing education program at graduation and satisfaction with the current job ($p=0.0082$), with the quality of care in the workplace ($p=0.042$), and with the nursing profession ($p<0.0001$). In addition, NGNs who rated their study achievements at graduation as good were more satisfied with the nursing profession than NGNs who rated their study achievements as very poor or poor ($p=0.021$). Moreover, NGNs who had fairly often or very often intention to stay in nursing at graduation were more satisfied with their current job ($p<0.0001$), with the quality of care in the workplace ($p=0.0003$), and with the nursing profession ($p<0.0001$) compared with NGNs who had never or fairly seldom intention to stay in nursing at graduation (Table 2).

The association between the demographic factors and the NGNs' job satisfaction

The logistic regression analysis also revealed that older NGNs were more satisfied with the nursing profession compared with younger NGNs ($p=0.012$). The mean age of NGNs who were the most satisfied with the nursing profession was 28.8 years (SD 7.9, range 21–61 years) while the mean age of NGNs who were the least satisfied was 25.7 years (SD 4.4, range 22–41 years). Satisfaction with nursing profession differed between the countries. NGNs from Finland, Lithuania and Spain were more satisfied with the nursing profession compared with German NGNs ($p=0.0015$, $p=0.011$, $p=0.0096$, respectively) (Table 2).

Discussion

This study aimed to examine the association of the nursing education related factors and NGNs' job satisfaction. Although not all studied countries could be analyzed for this association, they were included in the article with the intention of providing a broader perspective and context to the study, shedding light on the sample of early career

Table 1 Characteristics of the 10-country sample (n = 557)

Characteristics	Czech Republic (n = 15)	Finland (n = 233)	Germany (n = 63)	Iceland (n = 22)	Ireland (n = 22)	Italy (n = 33)	Lithuania (n = 57)	Portugal (n = 32)	Slovakia (n = 16)	Spain (n = 64)	Total (n = 551–557)
Age (years)^a, T2, Mean (SD)	27.7 (8.7)	30.2 (7.6)	25.0 (5.5)	28.5 (5.2)	26.0 (6.3)	24.0 (2.2)	26.6 (7.4)	22.9 (2.0)	24.5 (3.4)	26.2 (7.4)	27.5 (7.2)
Min–max	23–49	22–57	21–49	23–42	21–43	22–32	21–61	21–31	22–36	21–57	21–61
Gender^a, T2											
Female	14 (93.3)	202 (87.4)	44 (74.6)	21 (95.4)	18 (81.8)	27 (81.8)	55 (96.5)	26 (81.2)	15 (93.7)	57 (89.1)	479 (86.9)
Male	1 (6.7)	29 (12.6)	15 (25.4)	1 (4.6)	4 (18.2)	6 (18.2)	2 (3.5)	6 (18.8)	1 (6.3)	7 (10.9)	72 (13.1)
Satisfaction with nursing education^a, T1											
Satisfied / very satisfied	10 (66.7)	178 (80.9)	47 (79.7)	20 (100)	16 (88.9)	30 (90.9)	46 (83.6)	27 (90.0)	16 (100)	56 (94.9)	446 (85.0)
Unsatisfied / very unsatisfied	5 (33.3)	42 (19.1)	12 (20.3)	0	2 (11.1)	3 (9.1)	9 (16.4)	3 (10.0)	0	3 (5.1)	79 (15.0)
Level of study achievements^a, T1											
Excellent	0	26 (11.8)	8 (13.6)	4 (20.0)	4 (22.2)	5 (15.2)	8 (14.6)	9 (28.1)	2 (12.5)	11 (18.6)	77 (14.6)
Good	13 (86.7)	188 (85.5)	51 (86.4)	16 (80.0)	14 (77.8)	28 (84.8)	44 (80.0)	23 (71.9)	14 (87.5)	45 (76.3)	436 (82.7)
Very poor / poor	2 (13.3)	6 (2.7)	0	0	0	0	3 (5.4)	0	0	3 (5.1)	14 (2.7)
Nursing as the 1st study choice^a (Yes), T1	11 (78.6)	205 (88.7)	33 (55.9)	11 (50.0)	19 (86.4)	30 (90.9)	35 (61.4)	28 (87.5)	13 (81.3)	44 (68.8)	429 (78.0)
Nurse competence (NCS)^a, T1											
VAS < 50	0	40 (17.7)	5 (8.3)	1 (5.0)	3 (13.6)	0	27 (48.2)	3 (9.4)	5 (31.3)	7 (11.5)	91 (16.9)
VAS > 50–75	9 (60.0)	140 (62.0)	43 (71.7)	13 (65.0)	15 (68.2)	24 (75.0)	25 (44.6)	20 (62.5)	8 (50.0)	36 (59.0)	333 (61.7)
VAS > 75–100	6 (40.0)	46 (20.3)	12 (20.0)	6 (30.0)	4 (18.2)	8 (25.0)	4 (7.2)	9 (28.1)	3 (18.7)	18 (29.5)	116 (21.5)
Intention to stay in nursing^a, T1											
Fairly often / very often	12 (80.0)	171 (73.4)	38 (64.4)	16 (72.7)	15 (68.2)	31 (93.9)	43 (75.4)	23 (71.9)	15 (93.8)	59 (92.2)	423 (76.5)
Never / fairly seldom	3 (20.0)	62 (26.6)	21 (35.6)	6 (27.3)	7 (31.8)	2 (6.1)	14 (24.6)	9 (28.1)	1 (6.2)	5 (7.8)	222 (23.5)
Satisfied with current job, T2											
Agree	12 (80.0)	207 (88.8)	55 (87.3)	22 (100)	20 (90.9)	28 (84.8)	51 (89.5)	27 (84.4)	14 (87.5)	56 (87.5)	492 (88.3)
Disagree	3 (20.0)	26 (11.2)	8 (12.7)	0	2 (9.1)	5 (15.2)	6 (10.5)	5 (15.6)	2 (12.5)	8 (12.5)	65 (11.7)
Satisfied with the quality of care in the workplace, T2											
Agree	12 (80.0)	201 (86.3)	52 (82.5)	20 (90.9)	20 (90.9)	26 (78.8)	50 (87.7)	29 (90.6)	12 (75.0)	59 (92.2)	481 (86.4)
Disagree	3 (20.0)	32 (13.7)	11 (17.5)	2 (9.1)	2 (9.1)	7 (21.2)	7 (12.3)	3 (9.4)	4 (25.0)	5 (7.8)	76 (13.6)
Satisfied with nursing profession, T2											
Agree	13 (86.7)	203 (87.1)	44 (69.8)	20 (90.9)	19 (86.4)	28 (84.8)	51 (89.5)	17 (53.1)	15 (93.7)	57 (89.1)	467 (83.8)
Disagree	2 (13.3)	30 (12.9)	19 (30.2)	2 (9.1)	3 (13.6)	5 (15.2)	6 (10.5)	15 (46.9)	1 (6.3)	7 (10.9)	90 (16.2)

Abbreviations: SD Standard deviation, VAS Visual Analogue Scale, NCS Nurse Competence Scale, T1 Pre-graduation data, T2 Post-graduation data

^aVariable includes variety of missing values

Table 2 The association of background factors with job satisfaction analysed with logistic regression including country ($n = 417$)

Background factor	Satisfaction with current job <i>p</i> -value	Satisfaction with the quality of care <i>p</i> -value	Satisfaction with nursing profession <i>p</i> -value
Age, T2	0.52	0.85	0.012*
Gender, T2	0.86	0.081	0.78
Country, T2	0.97	0.45	0.0046*
Finland vs Germany			0.0015*
Finland vs Lithuania			0.63
Finland vs Spain			0.68
Germany vs Lithuania			0.011*
Germany vs Spain			0.0096*
Lithuania vs Spain			0.94
Satisfaction with nursing education, T1	0.0082*	0.042*	< 0.0001*
Very unsatisfied vs unsatisfied	0.026*	0.009*	0.36
Very unsatisfied vs satisfied	0.0018*	0.035*	0.0087*
Very unsatisfied vs very satisfied	0.0032*	0.035*	0.0055*
Unsatisfied vs satisfied	0.13	0.051	0.0001*
Unsatisfied vs very satisfied	0.16	0.051	0.0035*
Satisfied vs very satisfied	0.62	0.011*	0.32
Level of study achievements, T1	0.097	0.93	0.019*
Very poor/poor vs good			0.021*
Very poor/poor vs excellent			0.27
Good vs excellent			0.058
Nursing as the 1st study choice (Yes), T1	0.65	0.95	0.36
Nurse competence (NCS), T1			
Total	0.30	0.44	0.13
Class	0.13	0.24	0.36
Intention to stay in nursing, T1	< 0.0001*	0.0003*	< 0.0001*
Very often vs fairly often	0.039*	0.41	0.36
Very often vs fairly seldom	< 0.0001*	0.012*	< 0.0001*
Very often vs never	< 0.0001*	0.0002*	< 0.0001*
Fairly often vs fairly seldom	0.0030*	0.039*	< 0.0001*
Fairly often vs never	0.0006*	0.0005*	< 0.0001*
Fairly seldom vs never	0.53	0.069	0.062

Abbreviation: NCS Nurse Competence Scale, T1 Pre-graduation data, T2 Post-graduation data

*Statistically significant *p*-value

nurses across Europe and their job satisfaction. This approach may inspire further comparisons and investigations in this field [49]. Additionally, by including all countries, the study and its results are reported transparently, completely, and honestly [46, 50].

The main finding is that satisfaction with the nursing education program was positively associated with NGNs' job satisfaction, i.e., satisfaction with their current job, with the quality of care in their workplaces, and with the nursing profession. The finding is new as there are no quantitative studies relating satisfaction with the nursing education program to NGNs' job satisfaction, where satisfaction with nursing education is measured

at graduation and job satisfaction one year after graduation suggesting that educational factors can carry until the end of the transition phase. However, quite similarly, Ulupinar and Aydogan [10] found that NGNs who considered their nursing education to be sufficient adapted easily to nursing and their working units and had higher levels of professional satisfaction. Furthermore, Kenny et al. [40] found that satisfaction with how the nursing education prepared one for work as a nurse was related to satisfaction with the physical work environment, the current work hours and salary. However, contrary to the present study, in both studies all measurements were conducted while NGNs were already working as

professionals meaning that NGNs evaluated their nursing education retrospectively together with the current work situation which might have biased both evaluations. In the present study, the evaluations of the nursing education and job satisfaction were recorded independently from the same participants assumingly decreasing confounding of the evaluations. With similar design among newly graduated social workers, Hussein et al. [51] found that newly graduated social workers' job satisfaction was predicted by the extent to which they felt that their degree program had prepared them for their current job.

In addition, good study achievements during nursing education associated positively with NGNs' satisfaction with the nursing profession, which supports the relationship between nursing education and NGN's job satisfaction. It might be that nursing students assessing their study achievements as good at graduation had gained a more positive professional identity [52] and were therefore more satisfied with the nursing profession. Ulupinar and Aydogan [10] found that NGNs who considered themselves competent in terms of professional knowledge and skills did not have difficulties adapting to their profession and their levels of satisfaction were higher. In our study, there was no statistically significant association between self-assessed competence at graduation and job satisfaction. In the future, the association could be measured at the same time point.

Intention to stay in nursing profession was associated with the NGNs' job satisfaction, which is consistent with earlier studies [9, 15–17]. However, it is notable that in our study, NGNs' intention to stay in nursing was measured at graduation, i.e., one year before measuring the job satisfaction. This highlights the importance of nursing education in preparing the nursing students for the reality of nurse's job and the nursing profession. In previous studies, successful final clinical practicum has been found to facilitate practice readiness [37] and the competence of nursing students at graduation [39]. Moreover, career development has been found to be positively associated with the intention to stay in nursing [53], and nursing students with access to a career planning and development program during their nursing education had higher perceived career resilience [54]. During nursing education, it is important to identify the students who have thoughts of not staying in nursing after graduation. Every effort should be made to ease the transition phase and prevent these new nurses from leaving the profession. Therefore, during the nursing education, it is essential to start the career planning of nursing students from graduation onwards and this connection requires investigation in the future.

Older NGNs were more satisfied with the nursing profession after one year of employment compared with

younger NGNs. One reason for this might be due to generational characteristics and resulting in variations what is valued in work, and whether the satisfaction items covered in the present study grasped these aspects for all respondents [55, 56]. Overall, NGNs belonging to millennials (also referred as generation Y, born 1981–2000) have reported lower job satisfaction compared with baby boomers (born 1946–1964) and generation X (born 1963–1980) [53, 54] and it has been reported that COVID-19 pandemic affected the youngest nurses the most [57]. In the coming years, more millennials will be joining the workforce. It is important for nurse managers to anticipate intergenerational characteristics among NGNs and provide supportive working environments that recognize these characteristics. For nursing education, anticipating the generational characteristics is also important as generation Z has entered higher education [58]. However, this result call for more investigation and validation.

The results of this study have important implications for nurse educators, nursing education providers, nurse managers, and healthcare organizations. The implication for nurse educators is to identify the students who have thoughts of not staying in nursing after graduation. Every effort should be made to prevent these students from putting their thoughts into action when they graduate. Understanding the possible intergenerational characteristics and the different needs of NGNs based on generations is also important for nurse educators when they choose their teaching methods for different courses. Nursing education providers could offer the career planning from graduation onwards. This might improve the vocational identity, too. Students' satisfaction of their nursing education could be assessed systematically, as it is part of the quality of education. Nurses' job satisfaction has an impact on high-quality patient outcomes. Therefore, nurse managers could consider the professional expectations of NGNs to increase their job satisfaction. For managers, it is important to understand the intergenerational characteristics and the different needs of NGNs based on generations to support NGNs' professional transition from education to practice. In addition, both nursing education providers and healthcare organizations could plan in close collaboration a transition program for newly graduated nurses to ease the transition phase and thus increase the NGNs' job satisfaction and ultimately the high-quality care of the patients.

The limitations of this study have to do with the sample, which was relatively small in some countries preventing their inclusion in the logistic regression analysis. This might have influenced the statistical associations found. The data collection ended in some

countries when the first wave of COVID-19 had hit Europe and therefore, responding to the online questionnaire might not have been the NGNs' first priority. In addition to a convenience sample, there might also be a selection bias as dissatisfied NGNs may not have responded. Only cautious conclusions can be made, and the generalizability of the results is limited due to the low representativeness of the sample especially for Germany and Spain in terms of country size. A multi-country study with a larger sample from different European countries would be important for future consideration. It is also notable that the researchers could not control the possible variables that NGNs had been facing in their workplaces during the transition, particularly during difficult times such as those related to the pandemic. Several variables of the study were surveyed with single-items which can raise validity and reliability concerns although they are adequate for concrete and unidimensional constructs [59]. As an example, the satisfaction with nursing education at graduation was measured with one question. This measure might have lacked sensitivity for this purpose even though statistically significant associations were found and thus further research, preferably qualitative, to gain deeper understanding about satisfaction with nursing education is needed in the future. However, this result shows the importance of satisfaction with nursing education for a fundamental thing such as job satisfaction.

Conclusions

The results of this study offer a new perspective into NGNs' job satisfaction, adding new knowledge to the existing body of evidence. The current study highlights the importance of successful nursing education in NGNs' job satisfaction one year after graduation. In addition, intention to stay in nursing at graduation was associated with job satisfaction. Hence, nursing education has a significant role in NGNs' job satisfaction one year after graduation and career planning should start already during nursing studies. The results also show that NGNs' age was associated with their job satisfaction. This might refer to intergenerational characteristics. For nurse educators and managers, it is important to understand these characteristics and the different needs of NGNs based on generations to support NGNs' professional transition from education to practice.

Abbreviations

COVID-19	Coronavirus disease
NCS	Nurse Competence Scale
NGN	Newly graduated nurse
SAS	Statistical Analysis Software
SD	Standard deviation

T1	Pre-graduation data
T2	Post-graduation data
VAS	Visual Analogue Scale

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all newly graduated nurses who participated in this study. We are grateful for Anna Vuolteenaho for the English language editing of this manuscript. We would like to thank the kind help of the ProCompNurse Consortium and the COMPEUnurse Consortium.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, S.K., H.L-K., L.S., S.K-U.; methodology, S.K., H.L-K., E.L., L.S., S.K-U.; software, S.K., E.L., S.K-U.; validation, E.L.; formal analysis, E.L.; investigation, S.K., A.B., P.F-L., S.H., N.I., H-L-K, J.N., G.M., C.SO., A.P., M.R., H.S., L.V-J, R.Z., S.K-U.; resources, S.K., A.B., P.F-L., S.H., N.I., H-L-K, J.N., G.M., C.SO., A.P., M.R., H.S., L.V-J, R.Z., S.K-U.; data curation, S.K., A.B., P.F-L., S.H., N.I., H-L-K, J.N., G.M., C.SO., A.P., M.R., H.S., L.V-J, R.Z., S.K-U.; writing-original draft preparation, S.K., E.L., S.K-U.; writing-review and editing, A.B., P.F-L., S.H., N.I., H-L-K, J.N., G.M., C.SO., A.P., M.R., L.S., H.S., L.V-J, R.Z. visualization, S.K., A.B., P.F-L., S.H., N.I., H-L-K, E.L., J.N., G.M., C.SO., A.P., M.R., L.S., H.S., L.V-J, R.Z., S.K-U.; supervision, H.L-K, SK-U.; project administration, H-L-K, S.K-U.; funding acquisition, H.L-K., S.K-U. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the Academy of Finland (grant number 310145), the Finnish Nursing Education Foundation sr (2017_2018_2019), and the Finnish Association of Nursing Research (2020).

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are not openly available due to reasons of privacy and are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Data are located in controlled access data storage at the University of Turku.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Both projects respected the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki [45]. The Ethics Committee of the University of Turku, Finland, gave the Research Ethics Committee approval for both research projects (COMPEUnurse Statement 16/2017, 6 March 2017, ProCompNurse Statement 62/2017, 11 December 2017). The informed consent regarding participation was obtained from all the participants at the beginning of the data collection.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Nursing Science, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland. ²Azienda Per I Servizi Sanitari Provinciali, University of Verona, 38123 Trento, Italy. ³Department of Nursing, Universitat Internacional de Catalunya, 08017 Barcelona, Spain. ⁴School of Nursing, Psychotherapy and Community Health, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland. ⁵Institute of Health Sciences, Vilnius University, 01513 Vilnius, Lithuania. ⁶Turku University Hospital, 20521 Turku, Finland. ⁷Department of Biostatistics, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland. ⁸Department of Nursing Science, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin, Comenius University in Bratislava, 03601 Martin, Slovakia. ⁹Institute of Health and Nursing Science, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, 06108 Halle (Saale), Germany. ¹⁰Department of Fundamentals of Nursing, Lisbon School of Nursing—ESEL (Escola Superior de Enfermagem de Lisboa), 1600-096 Lisbon, Portugal. ¹¹Department of Medicine, Udine University, 33100 Udine, Italy. ¹²School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal. ¹³Faculty of Nursing, University of Iceland, 07 Reykjavik, Iceland. ¹⁴Department of Health Sciences, School of Nursing and Physiotherapy, Universidad Pontificia de Comillas, San Juan de Dios, Fundación San Juan de Dios, Alberto Aguilera, 23, 28015 Madrid, Spain. ¹⁵Department

of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Ostrava, 70103 Ostrava, Czech Republic. ¹⁶Diaconia University of Applied Sciences, 00580 Helsinki, Finland.

Received: 20 April 2023 Accepted: 8 August 2023
Published online: 14 August 2023

References

- World Health Organization. State of the World's Nursing 2020: Investing in Education, Jobs and Leadership. 2020. <https://www.who.int/publications/item/9789240003279>. Accessed on 15 April 2023.
- Kaye AD, Okeagu CN, Pham AD, et al. Economic impact of COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare facilities and systems: International perspectives. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2021;35(3):293–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpa.2020.11.009>.
- NaynaSchwerdtle P, Connell CJ, Lee S, et al. Nurse Expertise: A Critical Resource in the COVID-19 Pandemic Response. *Ann Glob Health*. 2020;86(1):49. <https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.2898>.
- Buchan J, Catton H, Shaffer FA. Sustain and retain in 2022 and beyond. The global nursing workforce and the Covid-19 pandemic. 2022. <https://www.icn.ch/node/1463>. Accessed on 15 April 2023.
- Auerbach DI, Buerhaus PJ, Staiger DO. Millennials almost twice as likely to be registered nurses as Baby Boomers were. *Health Aff*. 2017;36:1804–7. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2017.0386>.
- Marć M, Bartosiewicz A, Burzyńska J, Chmiel Z, Januszewicz P. A nursing shortage - a prospect of global and local policies. *Int Nurs Rev*. 2019;66(1):9–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12473>.
- Scheffler RM, Arnold DR. Projecting shortages and surpluses of doctors and nurses in the OECD: what looms ahead. *Health Econ Policy Law*. 2019;14(2):274–90. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S174413311700055X>.
- Labrague LJ, De Los Santos JAA. Transition shock and newly graduated nurses' job outcomes and select patient outcomes: A cross-sectional study. *J Nurs Manag*. 2020;28(5):1070–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13033>.
- Leineweber C, Chungkham HS, Lindqvist R, et al. Nurses' practice environment and satisfaction with schedule flexibility is related to intention to leave due to dissatisfaction: A multi-country, multilevel study. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2016;58:47–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2016.02.003>.
- Ulupinar S, Aydogan Y. New graduate nurses' satisfaction, adaptation and intention to leave in their first year: A descriptive study. *J Nurs Manag*. 2021;29(6):1830–40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13296>.
- Zhang Y, Wu J, Fang Z, Zhang Y, Wong FK. Newly graduated nurses' intention to leave in their first year of practice in Shanghai: A longitudinal study [published correction appears in *Nurs Outlook*. 2018 Apr 19]. *Nurs Outlook*. 2017;65(2):202–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2016.10.007>.
- Boamah SA, Read EA, Spence Laschinger HK. Factors influencing new graduate nurse burnout development, job satisfaction and patient care quality: a time-lagged study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2017;73(5):1182–95. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13215>.
- Kim JH, Shin HS. Exploring barriers and facilitators for successful transition in new graduate nurses: A mixed methods study. *J Prof Nurs*. 2020;36(6):560–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2020.08.006>.
- Liu Y, Aunguroch Y, Yunibhand J. Job satisfaction in nursing: a concept analysis study. *Int Nurs Rev*. 2016;63(1):84–91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12215>.
- De Simone S, Planta A, Cicotto G. The role of job satisfaction, work engagement, self-efficacy and agentic capacities on nurses' turnover intention and patient satisfaction. *Appl Nurs Res*. 2018;39:130–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2017.11.004>.
- Labrague LJ, De Los Santos JAA, Falguera CC, et al. Predictors of nurses' turnover intention at one and five years' time. *Int Nurs Rev*. 2020;67(2):191–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12581>.
- Spence Laschinger HK, Fida R. Linking nurses' perceptions of patient care quality to job satisfaction: the role of authentic leadership and empowering professional practice environments. *J Nurs Adm*. 2015;45(5):276–83. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NNA.0000000000000198>.
- Pahlevan Sharif S, Ahadzadeh AS, Sharif NH. Mediating role of psychological well-being in the relationship between organizational support and nurses' outcomes: A cross-sectional study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2018;74(4):887–99. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13501>.
- Han RM, Carter P, Champion JD. Relationships among factors affecting advanced practice registered nurses' job satisfaction and intent to leave: A systematic review. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract*. 2018;30(2):101–13. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JXX.0000000000000006>.
- Laschinger HK. Job and career satisfaction and turnover intentions of newly graduated nurses. *J Nurs Manag*. 2012;20(4):472–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2834.2011.01293.x>.
- Li A, Early SF, Mahrer NE, Klaristenfeld JL, Gold JJ. Group cohesion and organizational commitment: protective factors for nurse residents' job satisfaction, compassion fatigue, compassion satisfaction, and burnout. *J Prof Nurs*. 2014;30(1):89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2013.04.004>.
- Numminen O, Leino-Kilpi H, Isoaho H, Meretoja R. Newly Graduated Nurses' Competence and Individual and Organizational Factors: A Multivariate Analysis. *J Nurs Scholarsh*. 2015;47(5):446–57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12153>.
- Cheng CY, Liou SR, Tsai HM, Chang CH. Job stress and job satisfaction among new graduate nurses during the first year of employment in Taiwan. *Int J Nurs Pract*. 2015;21(4):410–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijn.12281>.
- Spence Laschinger HK, Zhu J, Read E. New nurses' perceptions of professional practice behaviours, quality of care, job satisfaction and career retention. *J Nurs Manag*. 2016;24(5):656–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12370>.
- Numminen O, Ruoppa E, Leino-Kilpi H, Isoaho H, Huupli M, Meretoja R. Practice environment and its association with professional competence and work-related factors: perception of newly graduated nurses. *J Nurs Manag*. 2016;24(1):E1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12280>.
- Kim EY, Yeo JH. Transition shock and job satisfaction changes among newly graduated nurses in their first year of work: A prospective longitudinal study. *J Nurs Manag*. 2021;29(3):451–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13164>.
- PineauStam LM, Spence Laschinger HK, Regan S, Wong CA. The influence of personal and workplace resources on new graduate nurses' job satisfaction. *J Nurs Manag*. 2015;23(2):190–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12113>.
- Jarden RJ, Jarden A, Weiland TJ, et al. New graduate nurse wellbeing, work wellbeing and mental health: A quantitative systematic review. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2021;121:103997. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.103997>.
- Duchscher JB. A process of becoming: the stages of new nursing graduate professional role transition. *J Contin Educ Nurs*. 2008;39(10):441–80. <https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20081001-03>.
- Kaihlainen AM, Gluschkoff K, Koskinen S, et al. Final clinical practicum shapes the transition experience and occupational commitment of newly graduated nurses in Europe—A longitudinal study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2021;77(12):4782–92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.15060>.
- van Rooyen DRM, Jordan PJ, Ten Ham-Baloyi W, Caka EM. A comprehensive literature review of guidelines facilitating transition of newly graduated nurses to professional nurses. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2018;30:35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2018.02.010>.
- Aydogan Y, Ulupinar S. Determining the learning needs of new graduated nurses working in inpatient care institutions. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2020;92:104510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104510>.
- Ortiz J. New graduate nurses' experiences about lack of professional confidence. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2016;19:19–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2016.04.001>.
- Walton JA, Lindsay N, Hales C, Rook G. Glimpses into the transition world: New graduate nurses' written reflections. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2018;60:62–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.09.022>.
- See ECW, Koh SSL, Baladram S, Shorey S. Role transition of newly graduated nurses from nursing students to registered nurses: A qualitative systematic review. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2023;121:105702. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105702>.
- Kajander-Unkuri S, Koskinen S, Bruognoli A, et al. The level of competence of graduating nursing students in 10 European countries—Comparison between countries. *Nurs Open*. 2021;8(3):1048–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.712>.
- Kaihlainen AM, Haavisto E, Strandell-Laine C, Salminen L. Facilitating the transition from a nursing student to a Registered Nurse in the final clinical

- practicum: a scoping literature review. *Scand J Caring Sci.* 2018;32(2):466–77. <https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.12494>.
38. Kaihlanen AM, Elovainio M, Haavisto E, Salminen L, Sinervo T. Final clinical practicum, transition experience and turnover intentions among newly graduated nurses: A cross sectional study. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2020;84:104245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.104245>.
 39. Visiers-Jiménez L, Suikkala A, Salminen L, et al. Clinical learning environment and graduating nursing students' competence: A multi-country cross-sectional study. *Nurs Health Sci.* 2021;23(2):398–410. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12819>.
 40. Kenny P, Reeve R, Hall J. Satisfaction with nursing education, job satisfaction, and work intentions of new graduate nurses. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2016;36:230–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2015.10.023>.
 41. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2008;61(4):344–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008>.
 42. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform.* 2019;95:103208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208>.
 43. Kelley K, Clark B, Brown V, Sitzia J. Good practice in the conduct and reporting of survey research. *Int J Qual Health Care.* 2003;15(3):261–6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzg031>.
 44. Polit DF, Beck CT. *Essentials of Nursing Research: Appraising Evidence for Nursing Practice.* 9th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2018.
 45. World Medical Association: The World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. 2013. <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/>. Accessed on 15 April 2023.
 46. All European Academies: The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity. 2017. <https://allea.org/code-of-conduct/>. Accessed on 15 April 2023.
 47. Meretoja R, Isoaho H, Leino-Kilpi H. Nurse competence scale: development and psychometric testing. *J Adv Nurs.* 2004;47(2):124–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.03071.x>.
 48. Flinkman M, Leino-Kilpi H, Numminen O, Jeon Y, Kuokkanen L, Meretoja R. Nurse Competence Scale: a systematic and psychometric review. *J Adv Nurs.* 2017;73(5):1035–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13183>.
 49. Tognoni G. Per una visibilità concreta dei soggetti della salute come diritto umano/bene comune [For a visibility of the subjects of health as a human right/common good]. *Assist Inferm Ric.* 2021;40(1):39–43. <https://doi.org/10.1702/3599.35805>.
 50. Mertler CA. *Introduction to Educational Research.* 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications; 2020.
 51. Hussein S, Moriarty J, Stevens M, Sharpe E, Manthorpe J. Organisational Factors, job satisfaction and intention to leave among newly qualified social workers in England. *Soc Work Educ.* 2014;33:381–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2013.806467>.
 52. ten Hoeve Y, Jansen G, Roodbol P. The nursing profession: public image, self-concept and professional identity. A discussion paper *J Adv Nurs.* 2014;70(2):295–309. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12177>.
 53. Yarbrough S, Martin P, Alfred D, McNeill C. Professional values, job satisfaction, career development, and intent to stay. *Nurs Ethics.* 2017;24(6):675–85. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0969733015623098>.
 54. Waddell J, Spalding K, Navarro J, Jancar S, Canizares G. Integrating a career planning and development program into the baccalaureate nursing curriculum. Part II. Outcomes for new graduate nurses 12 months post-graduation. *Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh.* 2015;12:175–82. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijnes-2015-0028>.
 55. Coburn AS, Hall SJ. Generational differences in nurses' characteristics, job satisfaction, quality of work life, and psychological empowerment. *J Hosp Adm.* 2014;3:124–34. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jha.v3n5p124>.
 56. Gordon PA. Exploring generational cohort work satisfaction in hospital nurses. *Leadersh Health Serv.* 2017;30(3):233–48. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHS-02-2016-0008>.
 57. Sherman RO. Keeping an Eye on Generation Z Nurses. *Nurse Lead.* 2021;19(1):6–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mnl.2020.11.001>.
 58. Chicca J, Shellenbarger T. Connecting with Generation Z: Approaches in Nursing Education. *Teach Learn Nurs.* 2018;13:180–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2018.03.008>.
 59. Fuchs C, Diamantopoulos A. Using single-item measures for construct measurement in management research: Conceptual issues and application guidelines. *Die Betriebswirtschaft.* 2009;69(2):195–210.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

