

Article

An Improvement of the Upper Bound for the Number of Halving Lines of Planar Sets

Estrella Alonso ¹, Mariló López ² and Javier Rodrigo ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Technical School of Engineering, Comillas Pontifical University, Calle de Alberto Aguilera 25, 28015 Madrid, Spain; ealonso@comillas.edu

² Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Applied to Civil Engineering, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Calle del Profesor Aranguren 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain; marilo.lopez@upm.es

* Correspondence: jrodrigo@comillas.edu

Abstract: In this paper, we provide improvements in the additive constant of the current best asymptotic upper bound for the maximum number of halving lines for planar sets of n points, where n is an even number. We also improve this current best upper bound for small values of n , namely, $106 \leq n \leq 336$. To obtain this enhancements, we provide lower bounds for the sum of the squares of the degrees of the vertices of a graph related to the halving lines.

Keywords: discrete geometry; crossing number; halving lines

1. Introduction

A classical problem in discrete geometry is the rectilinear crossing number problem. It aims to find the minimum number of crossings for planar sets of n points when each two points of the set are connected by a segment.

Attempts to find sets minimizing the number of crossings have resulted in interesting conjectures about the properties of these sets. Two of these properties are 3-decomposability and 3-symmetry. This last property involves invariance of the set with respect to rotations of angles $\frac{2}{3}\pi$, $\frac{4}{3}\pi$. The conjecture linking 3-symmetry with the rectilinear crossing number problem is that there are 3-symmetric sets of n points that attain the rectilinear crossing number for every n multiple of 3; see [1,2] for more details. A problem related to the rectilinear crossing number problem is the halving line problem. The objective is to find the maximum number of halving lines for subsets of the plane with n points.

The search for upper and lower bounds on the maximum number of halving lines over sets of n points in the plane (h_n) is a challenging task due to the large gap between the best lower and upper asymptotic bounds.

The current best lower bound is $h_n \geq \frac{n}{2} e^{0.744\sqrt{\log(\frac{n}{2})} - 2.7}$ (see [3]) and the best upper bound is $O\left(n^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)$ (see [4]).

In addition, efforts have been made to find the exact value of h_n for small values of n . The exact value of h_n is known for $n \leq 27$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and there are small gaps between the current best lower bound and the current best upper bound of h_n for $28 \leq n \leq 32$. As an example, in Table 2 of [5] we have $73 \leq h_{32} \leq 79$, improved to $74 \leq h_{32} \leq 79$ by [6]. An improvement of the upper bound of h_n yields an improvement of the lower bound of the rectilinear crossing number for complete graphs of n vertices.

The current best multiplicative constant for the bound of [4] and even values of n is $\left(\frac{29}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, namely, $h_n \leq \left(\frac{29}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} n (n-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

The motivation of this paper is to improve the former upper bound for the maximum number of halving lines. Concretely, we obtain $h_n \leq \left(\frac{29}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} n (n-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} - k n$ for every $k < \frac{29}{6} \simeq 4.83$ and large enough n where n is an even number. To achieve this, we obtain a



Citation: Alonso, E.; López, M.; Rodrigo, J. An Improvement of the Upper Bound for the Number of Halving Lines of Planar Sets. *Symmetry* **2024**, *16*, 936. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16070936>

Academic Editors: Natanael Karjanto and Calogero Vetro

Received: 31 May 2024

Revised: 10 July 2024

Accepted: 11 July 2024

Published: 22 July 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

lower bound for $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(x_i)$, where $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is the set of vertices of the halving lines graph (see definition below) of a set P for which h_n is attained. We have the lower bound $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(x_i) \geq \frac{4h_n^2}{n}$ as a direct consequence of Cauchy–Schwartz inequality. This bound is refined in Section 3 based on an analysis of the patterns of the halving line graphs. This lower bound yields an improvement in the upper bound of $\text{cr}(G)$ (see notation below) for the halving line graphs, resulting in the desired improvement for the upper bound of h_n .

We also sharpen the upper bound of h_n for even values of n in the range $106 \leq n \leq 336$ through a refinement of the achieved lower bound for $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(x_i)$.

The management of this error term in the upper bound of $\text{cr}(G)$ (see Equation (1)) is the main novelty of the presented work. This term was not bounded in [7], where only the principal term was considered. Despite the improvement being in the additive constant rather than the multiplicative constant, the aforementioned results contribute to significant reductions in the upper bound of h_n for small values of n .

The outline of the rest of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we provide some notation and definitions; in Section 3 we improve the asymptotic upper bound of h_n in the additive constant; in Sections 4 and 5 we obtain improvements of the upper bound for small values of n ; and in Section 6 we provide some concluding remarks.

2. Basic Definitions

Definition 1. For a set $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, a k -edge of P is a line that joins two points of P and leaves k points of P in one of the half planes, which we call the k -half plane.

Definition 2. For a set $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, a halving line of P is a $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$ -edge of P . We denote $p_i - p_j$ as the line joining points p_i and p_j .

Definition 3. The halving lines graph of a set P is a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V = P$ and $\{p_i, p_j\} \in E$ if $p_i - p_j$ is a halving line of P . The degree of a vertex $v \in V$ is the number of edges $e \in E$ containing v .

Definition 4. A $(\leq k)$ -edge of P is a t -edge of P with $t \leq k$.

Notation: $\text{cr}(G)$ is the number of crossings of the graph G (number of intersections out of the vertices of the edges of G in a geometric representation of the graph).

Throughout this paper, we assume that sets are in general position (i.e., no instances of three points in a line).

3. Asymptotic Improvement of the Upper Bound of h_n

Let us see the improvement in the additive constant for the to-date best asymptotic upper bound of h_n . First, we need some preliminary results. The fourth result (Proposition 2) improves the multiplicative constant of the condition of Theorem 6 of [7].

Lemma 1. For even n , $n > 6$, there is a set P attaining h_n such that the graph of the halving lines of P has at least the following: six vertices of degree 1, or five vertices of degree 1 and one vertex of degree 3, or four vertices of degree 1 and two vertices of degree 3, or three vertices of degree 1 and three vertices of degree 3, or five vertices of degree 1 and one vertex of degree 5.

Proof. There exists a set P attaining h_n with three points of P , say p_1, p_2, p_3 , in the boundary of its convex hull (see [8]); thus, they have degree 1 in the graph of halving lines.

We also have the following: for fixed k , the points of $Q := P - \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ in the boundary of the convex hull of Q , say, p_4, \dots, p_i , with $i \geq 6$ belonging to two k -edges of Q . The halving lines of P with a point included in $\{p_4, \dots, p_i\}$ and the other one in

Q are equal to the halving lines of Q , leaving exactly two points of $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ in the $\frac{(n-3)-3}{2}$ -half plane; thus, there are at most two halving lines of P with these properties.

Hence, if the halving lines of P that contains one point from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ do not contain a point from p_4, \dots, p_6 , then these vertices have a degree of at most 2 in the halving lines graph of P ; thus, because they must have an odd degree, we find that the vertices p_4, \dots, p_6 have degree 1, meaning that the halving lines graph of P has at least six vertices of degree 1.

If there is only a halving line of P that contains one point from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ and another point from $\{p_4, p_5, p_6\}$, say, p_4 , and if the two halving lines of Q containing p_4 leave two points from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ in the $\frac{n-6}{2}$ -half plane, then p_4 has degree 3 in the halving lines graph of P and p_5, p_6 has degree 1 in said graph, just as we have seen in the previous case. Thus, the graph of halving lines of P has at least five vertices of degree 1 and one vertex of degree 3.

If there are two halving lines of P that contain one point from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ and another point from $\{p_4, p_5, p_6\}$, say, p_4 and p_5 , and if the two halving lines of Q containing p_4 or p_5 leave two points from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ in the $\frac{n-6}{2}$ -half plane, then p_4, p_5 have degree 3 in the graph of halving lines of P and p_6 has degree 1 in said graph, the same as in the previous cases. Thus, the graph of halving lines of P has at least four vertices of degree 1 and two vertices of degree 3 (p_4, p_5).

If there are three halving lines of P that contain one point from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ and the same point from $\{p_4, p_5, p_6\}$, say, p_4 , and if the two halving lines of Q containing p_4 leave two points from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ in the $\frac{n-6}{2}$ -half plane, then p_4 has degree 5 in the graph of halving lines of P , while p_5, p_6 have degree 1 in said graph, as we have seen in the previous cases. Thus, the graph of the halving lines of P has at least five vertices of degree 1 and one vertex of degree 5 (p_4).

If there are three halving lines of P that contains one point from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ and one point from $\{p_4, p_5, p_6\}$ different from each other, say, $p_1 - p_4, p_2 - p_5, p_3 - p_6$, and if these three halving lines of Q leave two points from $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ in the $\frac{n-6}{2}$ -half plane, then p_4, p_5, p_6 have degree 3 in the graph of the halving lines of P . Thus, the graph of the halving lines of P has at least three vertices of degree 1 and three vertices of degree 3 (p_4, p_5, p_6), as desired. \square

Lemma 2. Let G be the graph of the halving lines of a set P in which h_n is attained for even n , $n > 6$. Then, it is satisfied that

$$cr(G) \leq \frac{n^2 - n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \min \left\{ 6 + \frac{(2h_n - 6)^2}{n - 6}, 14 + \frac{(2h_n - 8)^2}{n - 6}, 22 + \frac{(2h_n - 10)^2}{n - 6}, 30 + \frac{(2h_n - 12)^2}{n - 6} \right\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$cr(G) \leq \frac{n^2 - n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^n deg^2(x_i) \tag{1}$$

for the graph G of the halving lines of a set $P = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, where n is an even number; see [7]. If we are in the first case of Lemma 1 (six vertices of degree one), then, applying Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, for a set $P = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in which h_n is attained we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n deg^2(x_i) = 6 + \sum_{i=7}^n deg^2(x_i) \geq 6 + \frac{\left(\sum_{i=7}^n deg(x_i)\right)^2}{n - 6} = 6 + \frac{(2h_n - 6)^2}{n - 6}.$$

We obtain the other lower bounds of $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(x_i)$ if we are in the other cases of Lemma 1. Concretely, the bound $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(x_i) \geq 14 + \frac{(2h_n-8)^2}{n-6}$ is obtained in the case with five vertices of degree 1 and one vertex of degree 3. The lower bound $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(x_i) \geq 22 + \frac{(2h_n-10)^2}{n-6}$ is obtained for the case with four vertices of degree 1 and two vertices of degree 3, and so forth. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(x_i) \geq \\ & \min \left\{ 6 + \frac{(2h_n-6)^2}{n-6}, 14 + \frac{(2h_n-8)^2}{n-6}, 22 + \frac{(2h_n-10)^2}{n-6}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. 30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6}, 30 + \frac{(2h_n-10)^2}{n-6} \right\} \\ & = \min \left\{ 6 + \frac{(2h_n-6)^2}{n-6}, 14 + \frac{(2h_n-8)^2}{n-6}, 22 + \frac{(2h_n-10)^2}{n-6}, 30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and we have the desired upper bound of $\text{cr}(G)$ by substituting (2) in (1). \square

Proposition 1. Let G be the halving lines graph of a set P in which h_n is attained (for even n , $n > 6$). Then, it is satisfied that

$$\text{cr}(G) \leq \frac{n^2 - n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n - 12)^2}{n - 6} \right).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2, it is enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left\{ 6 + \frac{(2h_n-6)^2}{n-6}, 14 + \frac{(2h_n-8)^2}{n-6}, 22 + \frac{(2h_n-10)^2}{n-6}, 30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right\} \\ & = 30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$14 + \frac{(2h_n-8)^2}{n-6} \geq 30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \Leftrightarrow \frac{16h_n}{n-6} \geq 16 + \frac{80}{n-6} \Leftrightarrow h_n \geq n-1,$$

which is true due to the known lower bounds of h_n . We can check the other inequalities in the same way. \square

Proposition 2. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|E| = m$, $|V| = n$, if $m > 6.85058n$, then we have $\text{cr}(G) \geq \frac{1}{29} \frac{m^3}{n^2}$.

Proof. We will show that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $m \geq \beta_k n$, then $\text{cr}(G) \geq \frac{1}{29} \frac{m^3}{n^2}$, where β_k is the sequence defined as $\beta_1 = 6.95$, $\beta_k = \frac{29}{5} \beta_{k-1}^3 + \frac{139}{6}$. For $k = 1$, the result is Theorem 6 of [7].

Assuming that the result is true for k , if $\text{cr}(G) < \frac{1}{29} \frac{m^3}{n^2}$, then we necessarily have $m < \beta_k n$, meaning that $\text{cr}(G) < \frac{1}{29} \frac{m^3}{n^2} < \frac{1}{29} \frac{\beta_k^3 n^3}{n^2} = \frac{1}{29} \beta_k^3 n$. However, we have $\text{cr}(G) \geq 5m - \frac{139}{6}(n-2)$ (see [7]), meaning that $5m - \frac{139}{6}(n-2) < \frac{1}{29} \beta_k^3 n$. This implies that

$$m < \frac{\frac{1}{29} \beta_k^3 n + \frac{139}{6}(n-2)}{5} < \frac{\frac{1}{29} \beta_k^3 + \frac{139}{6}}{5} n = \beta_{k+1} n.$$

In this way, if $m \geq \beta_{k+1} n$, then $\text{cr}(G) \geq \frac{1}{29} \frac{m^3}{n^2}$, as desired.
Now, we can show that β_k is a decreasing sequence:

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{29} \beta_1^3 + \frac{139}{6}}{5} = \frac{\frac{1}{29} 6.95^3 + \frac{139}{6}}{5} = 6.94852 < \beta_1.$$

Assuming that $\beta_k < \beta_{k-1}$, then $\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\frac{1}{29} \beta_k^3 + \frac{139}{6}}{5} < \frac{\frac{1}{29} \beta_{k-1}^3 + \frac{139}{6}}{5} = \beta_k$.

Thus, β_k has a limit l such that $0 \leq l < 6.95$. Taking limits in the recurrence defining β_k , we can find that l satisfies $l = \frac{\frac{1}{29} l^3 + \frac{139}{6}}{5}$. The only solution l to this equation with $0 \leq l < 6.95$ is $l \approx 6.85058$.

In this way, if $m > 6.85058 n$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq \beta_k n$; then, $\text{cr}(G) \geq \frac{1}{29} \frac{m^3}{n^2}$, as desired. \square

Proposition 3. It is satisfied that $h_n \leq \lfloor a_n \rfloor$ for n that is an even number, $n \geq 282$, where a_n is the largest real root of $\frac{1}{29} \frac{x^3}{n^2} + \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2x-12)^2}{n-6} \right) - \frac{n^2-n}{8}$.

Proof. Combining the lower and upper bounds of Propositions 1 and 2 for $\text{cr}(G)$, for $h_n \geq 6.85058 n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{29} \frac{h_n^3}{n^2} &\leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right) \Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{1}{29} \frac{h_n^3}{n^2} - \frac{n^2-n}{8} + \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right) &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $h_n \leq a_n$; as h_n is an integer number, we obtain $h_n \leq \lfloor a_n \rfloor$. For $h_n < 6.85058 n$, we also have $h_n \leq \lfloor a_n \rfloor$ if $n \geq 282$, as $6.85058 n \leq a_n$ for $n \geq 282$, meaning that $h_n \leq \lfloor a_n \rfloor$ for $n \geq 282$, as desired. \square

Remark 1. Comparing with Dey's bound, it is satisfied that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n - \left(\frac{29}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} n (n-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{n} = -\frac{29}{6} (\approx -4.83);$$

thus, for every $k < \frac{29}{6}$ we obtain

$$h_n \leq a_n \leq \left(\frac{29}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} n (n-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} - kn$$

for large enough n .

Remark 2. This bound is the best upper bound of h_n for $n \geq 338$ where n is an even number.

The asymptotic improvement of the upper bound of h_n yields an improvement of the best asymptotic lower bound of $e_{(\leq k)}(n)$ when k is close to $\frac{n}{2}$ and where $e_{(\leq k)}(n)$ is the minimum number of $(\leq k)$ -edges for sets of n points in the plane. Let us now establish the new bound while assuming that $k = \frac{n-8}{2}$ and that n is a large even number.

Proposition 4. For n that is an even number with $n \geq 282$, it is satisfied that

$$e_{(\leq \frac{n-8}{2})}(n) \geq \frac{n^2-n}{2} - \left[\left(\frac{29}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} n (n-4)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] - \left[\left(\frac{29}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} n (n-2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] - \lfloor a_n \rfloor. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let P be a set in which $e_{(\leq \frac{n-8}{2})}(n)$ is attained; then,

$$e_{(\leq \frac{n-8}{2})}(n) = \frac{n^2 - n}{2} - e_{\frac{n-6}{2}}(P) - e_{\frac{n-4}{2}}(P) - h(P),$$

and the lower bound is a consequence of the upper bound of $e_k(P)$, $k < \frac{n-2}{2}$ from Dey and the bound of h_n in Proposition 3. \square

Remark 3. The lower bound of $e_{(\leq \frac{n-8}{2})}(n)$ included in Lemma 1 of [6] is $\frac{n^2-n}{2} - O\left(n^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$, being the bound (3) $\frac{n^2-n}{2} - O\left(n^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)$; thus, (3) is better than the current best lower bound of $e_{(\leq \frac{n-8}{2})}(n)$ for large values of n .

Next, we apply the techniques of [9] to improve the lower bound (3) by one for some large even values of n .

Proposition 5. For even n , $n \geq 282$, it is satisfied that

$$e_{(\leq \frac{n-8}{2})}(n) \geq \frac{n^2 - n}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n+1} \left[\left(\frac{29}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} (n+1) (n-4)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \left(\frac{29}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} n(n-2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\rfloor - \lfloor a_n \rfloor.$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to corollary 4 of [9] while updating the upper bound of h_n . \square

Remark 4. Proposition 5 improves the lower bound of (3) by one unit for some large even values of n .

4. Improvement of the Upper Bound of h_n for Small Values of n

Now, we obtain an improvement of the current best upper bound of h_n for small values of n by applying linear lower bounds to $\text{cr}(G)$.

Proposition 6. It is satisfied that $h_n \leq \lfloor b_n \rfloor$ for even n , $n \geq 8$, where b_n is the largest real root of the polynomial $P(x) = \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2x-12)^2}{n-6} \right) + \frac{7}{3}x - \frac{25}{3}(n-2) - \frac{n^2-n}{8}$.

Proof. Combining the lower and upper bounds of [10] and Proposition 1 for $\text{cr}(G)$, where G is the graph of halving lines for a set P for which h_n is attained, we obtain

$\frac{7}{3}h_n - \frac{25}{3}(n-2) \leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right)$, which implies the desired upper bound of h_n by solving the two-degree inequality in h_n . \square

Remark 5. The former upper bound is equivalent to $\frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{3}{2}}$, meaning that it is asymptotically worse than Dey's bound. Nonetheless, this bound is the current best upper bound of h_n for small values of n ; that is, it is the best upper bound of h_n for even values of n such that $108 \leq n \leq 128$ (the previous best upper bound for these values of n is the bound in [5]).

Remark 6. It is satisfied that $b_n = 20 - \frac{7n}{3} + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{9n^3 + 733n^2 - 8376n + 21,924}$.

We can use another linear lower bound of $\text{cr}(G)$ to obtain another upper bound for h_n .

Proposition 7. If n is an even number and $n \geq 8$, then we have $h_n \leq \lfloor c_n \rfloor$, where c_n is the largest real root of the polynomial $P(x) = \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2x-12)^2}{n-6} \right) + 5x - \frac{139}{6}(n-2) - \frac{n^2-n}{8}$.

Proof. We know that $\text{cr}(G) \geq 5h_n - \frac{139}{6}(n-2)$, where G is the halving lines graph for a set P in which h_n is attained; see [7]. We also have the upper bound $\text{cr}(G) \leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right)$. Putting together the two inequalities, we obtain

$$5h_n - \frac{139}{6}(n-2) \leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$P(h_n) = \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right) + 5h_n - \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{139}{6}(n-2) \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

Because $P(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $h_n \leq c_n$ and then $h_n \leq \lfloor c_n \rfloor$, as h_n is an integer number. \square

Remark 7. $\lfloor c_n \rfloor$ is the best upper bound of h_n for even values of n such that $204 \leq n \leq 336$.

Remark 8. We have $c_n = \frac{1}{6} \left(216 - 30n + \sqrt{9n^3 + 2505n^2 - 26,520n + 66,996} \right)$.

For even n in the range $[158, 202]$, we can obtain another improvement of these bounds.

Proposition 8. For even n , $n \geq 4$, it is satisfied that $h_n \leq \lfloor d_n \rfloor$, where d_n is the largest root of $R(x) = \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2x-12)^2}{n-6} \right) + 4x - \frac{103}{6}(n-2) - \frac{n^2-n}{8}$,

Proof. We have $\text{cr}(G) \geq 4h_n - \frac{103}{6}(n-2)$ for the halving lines graph G of a set P for which h_n is attained (see [10]). On the other hand, $\text{cr}(G) \leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right)$.

Connecting the two inequalities, we obtain

$$4h_n - \frac{103}{6}(n-2) \leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right),$$

and then

$$R(h_n) = \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6} \right) + 4h_n - \frac{103}{6}(n-2) \leq 0.$$

This inequality, together with

$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R(x) = \infty$, implies that $h_n \leq d_n$. Because h_n is an integer number, we obtain $h_n \leq \lfloor d_n \rfloor$, as desired. \square

Remark 9. This bound is better than the aforementioned upper bounds of h_n for even values of n such that $158 \leq n \leq 202$.

Remark 10. We have $d_n = \frac{1}{6} \left(180 - 24n + \sqrt{9n^3 + 1749n^2 - 18,744n + 47,556} \right)$.

This bound (and the previous ones) can be improved for some values of n .

Proposition 9. For even n such that $128 \leq n \leq 156$, it is satisfied that $h_n \leq \lfloor e_n \rfloor$, where e_n is the largest root of $S(x) = \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2x-12)^2}{n-6} \right) + 3x - \frac{35}{3}(n-2) - \frac{n^2-n}{8}$.

Proof. First, we can see that if n is an even number such that $n < 158$, then $h_n \leq 5.5(n-2)$. Supposing that $h_n > 5.5(n-2)$, we have $5.5(n-2) < h_n \leq b_n$, so $5.5(n-2) \leq b_n$, implying that $n \geq 158$, which is a contradiction.

Now, if n is an even number such that $n < 158$ and $h_n \geq 5(n-2)$, because $5(n-2) \leq h_n \leq 5.5(n-2)$, we have $\text{cr}(G) \geq 3h_n - \frac{35}{3}(n-2)$, with G being the halving lines graph of a set P for which h_n is attained (see [10]). Thus, $3h_n - \frac{35}{3}(n-2) \leq \text{cr}(G) \leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6}\right)$. Connecting the two inequalities, we obtain the following:

$$3h_n - \frac{35}{3}(n-2) \leq \frac{n^2-n}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6}\right) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$S(h_n) = \frac{1}{8} \left(30 + \frac{(2h_n-12)^2}{n-6}\right) + 3h_n - \frac{35}{3}(n-2) - \frac{n^2-n}{8} \leq 0.$$

Because $S(x) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, this implies that $h_n \leq e_n$.

If n is an even number such that $n < 158$ and $h_n \leq 5(n-2)$, because $5(n-2) \leq e_n$ for $n \geq 128$, we have $h_n \leq e_n$ if $128 \leq n < 158$ in any case. As we have $h_n \in \mathbb{N}$, this implies that $h_n \leq \lfloor e_n \rfloor$, as desired. \square

Remark 11. This bound is better than the aforementioned upper bounds of h_n for even values of n such that $130 \leq n \leq 156$.

Remark 12. Here, we have $e_n = \frac{1}{6} \left(144 - 18n + \sqrt{9n^3 + 1101n^2 - 12,120n + 31,140}\right)$.

In addition, the improvement of the upper bound of h_n yields an improvement of the current best lower bound of $\text{cr}(n)$. We illustrate said improvement below with an example.

Example 1. For $n = 132$, $\lfloor e_n \rfloor$ improves the current best upper bound of h_n by 10. Applying the lower bound of [5,11] for $\text{cr}(n)$, we obtain an improvement of 10 in the to-date best lower bound of $\text{cr}(132)$. Concretely, we have $\text{cr}(132) \geq 4525247$. This reduces the gap with the best current upper bound of $\text{cr}(132)$ (see Theorem 4 of [1]): $\text{cr}(132) \leq 4534047$.

5. Better Improvements of the Upper Bound of h_n

In the previous sections, we have improved the upper bound of h_n for $n \geq 108$ when n is an even number. We can improve this upper bound for a smaller even value of n , namely, $n = 106$, by refining the lower bound of $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{deg}^2(x_i)$. For this purpose, we next obtain $\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \text{deg}^2(x_i) \right\}$ for graphs where h_n is attained.

Proposition 10. Let n be an even number, $n \geq 8$, $t_n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let m_n be defined by $m_n = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=7}^n y_i^2 / y_7 \leq \dots \leq y_n, y_i \text{ are odd numbers, } y_7 + \dots + y_n = t_n \right\}$:

- If $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$ is an odd number and $n-6$ does not divide to t_n , then m_n is attained when y_i is one of the two odd numbers that are closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$ for $i = 7, \dots, n$.
- If $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$ is an odd number and $n-6$ divides to t_n , then m_n is attained when $y_i = \frac{t_n}{n-6}$ for $i = 7, \dots, n$.

Proof. Obtaining m_n is equivalent to minimizing $d^2 \left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right)$ for y_7, \dots, y_n , satisfying the given conditions. Indeed,

$$d^2 \left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right) = \left(y_7 - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2 + \dots + \left(y_n - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2$$

$$= y_7^2 + \dots + y_n^2 - 2 \frac{t_n}{n-6} (y_7 + \dots + y_n) + (n-6) \frac{t_n^2}{(n-6)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= y_7^2 + \dots + y_n^2 - 2 \frac{t_n}{n-6} t_n + \frac{t_n^2}{n-6} \\
 &= y_7^2 + \dots + y_n^2 - \frac{t_n^2}{n-6}.
 \end{aligned}$$

First, we note that if $n - 6$ does not divide to t_n and if $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$ is an odd number, then there is always a unique solution of $y_7 + \dots + y_n = t_n$ with $y_i = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$ or $y_i = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2$ for $i = 7, \dots, n$ (the odd numbers that are closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$). We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + (n-6-a) \left(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2 \right) &= t_n \iff \\
 a &= \frac{(n-6) \left(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2 \right) - t_n}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because n is an even number and y_i are odd numbers, $(n-6) \left(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2 \right)$, t_n are even numbers, meaning that a is an integer number. We also have

$$\frac{(n-6) \left(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2 \right) - t_n}{2} \geq \frac{(n-6) \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6} + 1 \right) - t_n}{2} = \frac{n-6}{2} > 0 \text{ for } n > 6,$$

meaning that $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$a = \frac{(n-6) \left(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2 \right) - t_n}{2} \leq \frac{(n-6) \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6} + 2 \right) - t_n}{2} = n-6,$$

meaning that $n - 6 - a$ is a non-negative integer number, as desired.

Now, if $n - 6$ divides to t_n , then $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor = \frac{t_n}{n-6}$ is an odd number, meaning that $(y_7, \dots, y_n) = \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)$ satisfies $y_7 + \dots + y_n = t_n$ and minimizes

$$d^2 \left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right),$$

as desired, since $d^2 \left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right) = 0$.

If $n - 6$ does not divide to t_n and if we have a solution (x_7, \dots, x_n) with less than a values $x_i = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$, we can see that

$$d^2 \left((x_7, \dots, x_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right) > d^2 \left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right).$$

If there are j values of i such that $x_i = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$ with $j < a$, then the other $a - j$ values x_i satisfy $\frac{t_n}{n-6} - x_i > \frac{t_n}{n-6} - \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$, as $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$ is the odd integer closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$. The other $n - 6 - a$ values x_i are not equal to $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor$, meaning that they satisfy $x_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \geq \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2 - \frac{t_n}{n-6}$, as $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2$ is the second integer that is closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d^2 \left(\left(x_7, \dots, x_{a-j}, \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor, x_{a+1}, \dots, x_n \right), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=7}^{a-j} \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6} - x_k \right)^2 + j \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6} - \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor \right)^2 + \sum_{k=a+1}^n \left(x_k - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2 \\
&> a \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6} - \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor \right)^2 + (n-3-a) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 2 - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2 \\
&= d^2 \left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

If there are j values of i such that $x_i = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor$ with $j > a$, then the $j - a$ values $x_i = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor$ with $i \in \{a+1, \dots, n\}$ satisfy

$\frac{t_n}{n-6} - x_i = \frac{t_n}{n-6} - \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor < \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 2 - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \leq y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6}$, as the strict inequality is equivalent to $\frac{t_n}{n-6} < \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1$. However, because $x_7 + \dots + x_n = y_7 + \dots + y_n = t_n$, there must be $s \leq j - a$ values of i among the last $n - 6 - j$ indices such that $x_i \geq \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 4$, which is to say that $x_{j+1} = y_{j+1} + a_{j+1}, \dots, x_{j+s} = y_{j+s} + a_{j+s}$ with $a_{j+1} \geq 2, \dots, a_{j+s} \geq 2$ and $a_{j+1} + \dots + a_{j+s} = 2(j - a)$. We also have $x_{a+1} = y_{a+1} - 2, \dots, x_j = y_j - 2$.

Now, for the values of i such that $x_i \neq y_i$ ($i = a+1, \dots, j+s$), it is satisfied that

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_{a+1}^2 + \dots + x_{j+s}^2 \\
&= (y_{a+1} - 2)^2 + \dots + (y_j - 2)^2 + (y_{j+1} + a_{j+1})^2 + \dots + (y_{j+s} + a_{j+s})^2 \\
&= y_{a+1}^2 + \dots + y_{j+s}^2 - 4(y_{a+1} + \dots + y_j) \\
&\quad + 4(j - a) + 2a_{j+1}y_{j+1} + \dots + 2a_{j+s}y_{j+s} + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \\
&\geq y_{a+1}^2 + \dots + y_{j+s}^2 \Leftrightarrow \\
&-4(y_{a+1} + \dots + y_j) + 4(j - a) + 2a_{j+1}y_{j+1} + \dots + 2a_{j+s}y_{j+s} + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

However, as we have $y_{a+1} = \dots = y_{j+s} = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 2$, we need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4(j - a)y_{a+1} + 4(j - a) + 2y_{a+1}(a_{j+1} + \dots + a_{j+s}) + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \\
&= -4(j - a)y_{a+1} + 4(j - a) + 4(j - a)y_{a+1} + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \\
&= 4(j - a) + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and this inequality is trivially true. This implies that $x_7^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \geq y_7^2 + \dots + y_n^2$ in this case as well.

If there are j values of i such that $x_i = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor$ with $j = a$, then because $(x_7, \dots, x_n) \neq (y_7, \dots, y_n)$, there is at least one value of $i > a$ such that

$$\left(x_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2 > \left(y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2,$$

being $\left(x_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2 \geq \left(y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2$ for the rest of values $i > a$, as $\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 2$ is the second odd integer closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$. Thus, we also have

$$d^2 \left((x_7, \dots, x_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right) > d^2 \left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right)$$

in this case, as desired. \square

Corollary 1. *In the assumptions of Proposition 10, it is satisfied that if $n - 6$ does not divide to t_n , then*

$$m_n = \frac{(n - 6) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 2 \right) - t_n}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor^2 + \left(n - 6 - \frac{(n - 6) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 2 \right) - t_n}{2} \right) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 2 \right)^2.$$

Proposition 11. *Let n be an even number, $n > 6$, $t_n \in \mathbb{N}$, and m_n defined as in Proposition 10. Then, if $\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor$ is an even number, m_n is attained when*

$y_i = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor - 1$ or $y_i = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1$ for $i = 7, \dots, n$ (m_n is attained when y_i is one of the two odd numbers that are closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$ for $i = 7, \dots, n$).

Proof. As in Proposition 10, there is a unique vector (y_7, \dots, y_n) satisfying the conditions of Proposition 11:

$$a \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) + (n - 6 - a) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) = -2a + (n - 6) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) = t_n \iff a = \frac{(n - 6) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - t_n}{2}.$$

Because n is an even number, $(n - 6) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)$, t_n are even numbers as well; thus, a is an integer number. We also have

$$\frac{(n - 6) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - t_n}{2} > \frac{(n - 6) \frac{t_n}{n-6} - t_n}{2} = 0,$$

meaning that $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$a = \frac{(n - 6) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - t_n}{2} \leq \frac{(n - 6) \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6} + 1 \right) - t_n}{2} = \frac{n - 6}{2},$$

meaning that $n - 6 - a$ is a positive integer number, as desired.

For solutions (x_7, \dots, x_n) with $j < n - 6 - a$ values of i such that $x_i = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1$, we assume without loss of generality that these values of i are in $\{a + 1, \dots, n - 6\}$; as $\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1$ is the odd number closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$ ($\left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor$ being an even number), for the other $n - 6 - a - j$ values of i in $\{a + 1, \dots, n - 6\}$ we have

$$x_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} > \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 - \frac{t_n}{n-6} = y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6},$$

while for the rest of the values i in $\{a + 1, \dots, n - 6\}$ we have

$$x_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} = \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 1 - \frac{t_n}{n-6} = y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6}.$$

Moreover, for the a first values of i , because $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor - 1$ attains the second minimum distance of an odd integer to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$, we have

$$\frac{t_n}{n-6} - x_i \geq \frac{t_n}{n-6} - \left(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor - 1 \right) = \frac{t_n}{n-6} - y_i,$$

then

$$d\left((x_7, \dots, x_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right) > d\left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right),$$

as desired.

If there are j values of i such that $x_i = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 1$ with $j = n - 6 - a$, then, because $(x_7, \dots, x_n) \neq (y_7, \dots, y_n)$, there is at least one value of $i < a$ such that

$$\left(x_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2 > \left(y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2,$$

with $\left(x_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2 \geq \left(y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right)^2$ for the rest of values $i > a$, as $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor - 1$ is the second odd integer closest to $\frac{t_n}{n-6}$. Thus, we have

$$d^2\left((x_7, \dots, x_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right) > d^2\left((y_7, \dots, y_n), \left(\frac{t_n}{n-6}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right) \right)$$

in this case as well, as desired.

If there are j values of i such that $x_i = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 1$ with $j > n - 6 - a$, then the $j - (n - 6 - a)$ values x_i with $x_i = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 1, i \in \{1, \dots, a\}$ satisfy

$$\frac{t_n}{n-6} - x_i = \frac{t_n}{n-6} - \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor < \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2 - \frac{t_n}{n-6} \leq y_i - \frac{t_n}{n-6},$$

as the inequality is equivalent to $\frac{t_n}{n-6} < \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 1$. However, because

$$x_7 + \dots + x_n = y_7 + \dots + y_n = t_n,$$

there must be $s \leq j - a$ values of i among the last $n - 6 - j$ indices such that

$$x_i \geq \left\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \right\rfloor + 4,$$

that is to say,

$$x_{j+1} = y_{j+1} + a_{j+1}, \dots, x_{j+s} = y_{j+s} + a_{j+s}$$

with

$$a_{j+1} \geq 2, \dots, a_{j+s} \geq 2$$

and

$$a_{j+1} + \dots + a_{j+s} = 2(j - a).$$

We also have

$$x_{a+1} = y_{a+1} - 2, \dots, x_j = y_j - 2.$$

Now, for the values of i such that $x_i \neq y_i$ ($i = a + 1, \dots, j + s$), it is satisfied that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{a+1}^2 + \dots + x_{j+s}^2 \\ &= (y_{a+1} - 2)^2 + \dots + (y_j - 2)^2 + (y_{j+1} + a_{j+1})^2 + \dots + (y_{j+s} + a_{j+s})^2 \\ &= y_{a+1}^2 + \dots + y_{j+s}^2 - 4(y_{a+1} + \dots + y_j) + 4(j - a) \\ &\quad + 2a_{j+1}y_{j+1} + \dots + 2a_{j+s}y_{j+s} + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \\ &\geq y_{a+1}^2 + \dots + y_{j+s}^2 \Leftrightarrow \\ &-4(y_{a+1} + \dots + y_j) + 4(j - a) + 2a_{j+1}y_{j+1} + \dots + 2a_{j+s}y_{j+s} + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, as we have $y_{a+1} = \dots = y_{j+s} = \lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 2$, we need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & -4(j - a)y_{a+1} + 4(j - a) + 2y_{a+1}(a_{j+1} + \dots + a_{j+s}) + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 = \\ & -4(j - a)y_{a+1} + 4(j - a) + 4(j - a)y_{a+1} + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 = \\ & 4(j - a) + a_{j+1}^2 + \dots + a_{j+s}^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and this inequality is trivially true. This implies that $x_7^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \geq y_7^2 + \dots + y_n^2$ in this case as well. Then, the minimum is attained in the vector with $\frac{(n-6)(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 1) - t_n}{2}$ coordinates with value $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor - 1$ and $n - 6 - \frac{(n-6)(\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 1) - t_n}{2}$ coordinates with value $\lfloor \frac{t_n}{n-6} \rfloor + 1$, as desired. \square

Now, we are ready to improve the upper bound.

Proposition 12. *It is satisfied that $h_{106} \leq 480$*

Proof. The bound of [5] provides $h_{106} \leq 481$. Assuming that $h_{106} = 481$ and that $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_{106}\}$ is a set attaining h_{106} such that the graph G of the halving lines of P has three vertices of degree 1 (p_1, \dots, p_3) and three vertices of degree 3 (p_4, \dots, p_6), p_7, \dots, p_{106} satisfy $deg(p_7) + \dots + deg(p_{106}) = 2 \times 481 - 12$, meaning we are in the case of Proposition 10 with $n = 106$, $t_{106} = 2 \times 481 - 12$, where 9 and 11 are the two odd numbers closest to $\frac{t_{106}}{106-6} = 9.5$. Following Proposition 10, this implies that

$$deg^2(p_7) + \dots + deg^2(p_{106}) \geq m_{106} = 75 \times 9^2 + (100 - 75)11^2 = 9100,$$

and then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{106} deg^2(p_i) \geq 9130.$$

Thus, from (1) we have $cr(G) \leq 250$.

However, the lower bound of the proof of Proposition 6 provides

$$cr(G) \geq \frac{7}{3}h_n - \frac{25}{3}(n - 2) = \frac{7}{3}481 - \frac{25}{3}(106 - 2) = 255.667,$$

which is a contradiction. For the other possible combinations of degrees of the six vertices provided by Lemma 1, we arrive at contradictions in a similar way. In this way, $h_{106} \leq 480$, as desired. \square

Remark 13. *The argument of the proof of Proposition 12 is not sufficient to obtain the reduction $h_{106} \leq 479$.*

6. Conclusions

In the additive constant, we have improved the asymptotic upper bound on the maximum number of halving lines for sets of points in the plane with an even number of points. To accomplish this, we have applied known lower and upper bounds for the rectilinear crossing number of a graph combined with obtained lower bounds on the degrees of the vertices of the halving line graphs. In addition, for sets with a small number of points, we have improved the to-date best upper bounds for the maximum number of halving lines. The improvement was achieved for sets of n points with $n \geq 106$. This yields an improvement of the rectilinear crossing number for complete graphs with n vertices. A future challenge is to expand the developed techniques for sets with $n \leq 104$ points, for which the upper bound of [5] for the maximum number of halving lines remains the best upper bound. For this purpose, it is necessary to obtain lower bounds for $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg^2(p_i)$ adapted to these small values of n . For these values, the achieved lower bound is insufficient to obtain a contradiction.

Author Contributions: All three authors have made significant contributions to the creation of this paper. Conceptualization, M.L. and J.R.; methodology, M.L. and J.R.; formal analysis, all authors; investigation, all authors; writing—original draft preparation, all authors; writing—review and editing, J.R. and E.A.; supervision, all authors. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Authors L.M. and R.J. have been partially supported by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain under grant PID2019-104735RB-C42. Author A.E. has been partially supported by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación of Spain under grant PID2020-116884GB-I00.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Ábrego, B.M.; Cetina, M.; Fernández-Merchant, S.; Leaños, J.; Salazar, G. 3-symmetric and 3-decomposable geometric drawings of K_n . *Discret. Appl. Math.* **2010**, *158*, 1240–1258. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Espinoza-Valdez, A.; Leaños, J.; Ndjatchi, C.; Ríos-Castro, L.M. An Upper Bound Asymptotically Tight for the Connectivity of the Disjointness Graph of Segments in the Plane. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 1050. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Tóth, G. Point sets with many k -sets. *Discret. Comput. Geom.* **2001**, *26*, 187–194. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Dey, T.K. Improved bounds for planar k -sets and related problems. *Discret. Comput. Geom.* **1998**, *19*, 373–382. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Ábrego, B.M.; Cetina, M.; Fernández-Merchant, S.; Leaños, J.; Salazar, G. On $(\leq k)$ -edges, crossings, and halving lines of geometric drawings of K_n . *Discret. Comput. Geom.* **2012**, *48*, 192–215. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Rodrigo, J.; López, M.D. An improvement of the lower bound on the maximum number of halving lines in planar sets with 32 points. *Electron. Notes Discret. Math.* **2018**, *68*, 305–310 [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Ackerman, E. On topological graphs with at most four crossings per edge. *Comput. Geom.* **2019**, *85*, 101574. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Aichholzer, O.; García, J.; Orden, D.; Ramos, P. New lower bounds for the number of $\leq k$ -edges and the rectilinear crossing number of K_n . *Discret. Comput. Geom.* **2007**, *38*, 1–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Rodrigo, J.; Merchán, S.; Magistrali, D.; López, M.D. An Improvement of the Lower Bound on the Minimum Number of $\leq k$ -Edges. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 525. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Pach, J.; Radoicic, R.; Tardos, G.; Toth, G. Improving the Crossing Lemma by Finding More Crossings in Sparse Graphs. *Discret. Comput. Geom.* **2006**, *36*, 527–552. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Lovasz, L.; Vesztergombi, K.; Warner, U.; Welzl, E. Convex Quadrilaterals and k -sets. *Contemp. Math.* **2004**, *342*, 139–148.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.