Clínica v Salud (2021) xx(x) xx-xx



Clínica y Salud

https://journals.copmadrid.org/clysa



Trait Mindfulness, Rumination, and Well-being in Family Caregivers of People with Acquired Brain Injury

Esther Calvete^a, M^a Angustias Roldan Franco^b, Lucia Oñate^a, Macarena Sánchez-Izquierdo Alonso^b, and Laura Bermejo-Toro^b

^aUniversidad de Deusto, Bilbao, Spain; ^bUniversidad Pontificia Comillas, Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 January 2020 Accepted 15 October 2020 Available online 5 February 2021

Keywords: Acquired brain injury Family caregivers Rumination Quality of life

Palabras clave: Daño cerebral adquirido Cuidadores familiares Rumiación Calidad de vida

ABSTRACT

This study examined the relationship between trait mindfulness, rumination, quality of life, anxiety, and depression in family caregivers of people with Acquired Brain Injury (ABI). Participants were 78 caregivers (75.6% women) aged between 22 and 80 years. The participants completed measures of behavioral and emotional problems in the person with ABI, trait mindfulness, symptoms of anxiety and depression, quality of life, and rumination. The results showed that mindfulness is associated with fewer symptoms of anxiety and depression and better quality of life, and that this is explained through less use of rumination. Likewise, behavioral and emotional problems of the person with ABI were associated with more depression and lower quality of life in the caregiver. Rumination explained part of this association. Finally, behavioral and emotional problems of the person with ABI were more strongly associated with depression in caregivers with low trait mindfulness.

Mindfulness como rasgo, la rumiación y el bienestar en los cuidadores de las personas con daño cerebral adquirido

RESUMEN

Este estudio examinó la relación entre el rasgo de *mindfulness*, rumiación, calidad de vida, ansiedad y depresión en cuidadores de personas con daño cerebral adquirido (DCA). Participaron 78 cuidadores (75.6% mujeres) de edades comprendidas entre 22 y 80 años. Los participantes facilitaron medidas de problemas conductuales y emocionales de la persona con DCA, rasgo de *mindfulness*, síntomas de ansiedad y depresión, calidad de vida y rumiación. Los resultados mostraron que *mindfulness* se asocia a menos síntomas de ansiedad y depresión y más calidad de vida y que esto se explica a través de un menor uso de la rumiación. Asimismo, los problemas conductuales y emocionales de la persona con DCA se asocian a una mayor depresión y menor calidad de vida en el cuidador. La rumiación media parte de esta asociación. Finalmente, los problemas conductuales y emocionales de la persona con DCA se asocian más estrechamente a la depresión en los cuidadores con bajo nivel de *mindfulness*.

Acquired brain injury (ABI) is a major public health problem and one of the main causes of disability and death worldwide (Chan et al., 2009). ABI includes a variety of brain injuries that can happen suddenly and unexpectedly in a person's life as a result of a stroke or traumatic brain injury. As a result of ABI, an individual may experience limitations and loss of functionality in several areas. Family members often have to assume the role of caregivers and the responsibility to support a person living with ABI in numerous physical, cognitive, economic, financial, social, and leisure activities (Verhaeghe et al., 2005). In addition, people with ABI frequently experience emotional and behavioral problems that family caregivers have to deal with

(López de Arroyabe et al., 2013). All in all, caring for a person with ABI can become a source of stress for family members, especially when the care required is extended over time without adequate formal and informal support resources (Calvete & López de Arroyabe, 2012). As a consequence, caregivers often experience symptoms of anxiety and depression and a decline in their own quality of life (Las Hayas et al., 2015). These consequences are negative not only for the caregiver, since they entail suffering and discomfort for the caregiver, but also for the person with ABI, given that the quality of care can be negatively affected (Sander et al., 2012). Based on the stress and coping process, several studies have shown consistently

Cite this article as: Calvete, E., Roldan Franco, M. A., Oñate, L., Sánchez-Izquierdo Alonso, M., & Bermejo-Toro, L. (2021). Trait mindfulness, rumination, and well-being in family caregivers of people with acquired brain injury. Clínica y Salud. Ahead of print. https://doi.org/10.5093/clysa2021a5

Funding: This research was supported by a grant from Aristos Campus Mundus Program and from the Basque Country (Ref. IT982-16). Correspondence: aroldan@comillas.edu (M. A. Roldán Franco).

ISSN:1130-5274/© 2021 Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

that caring for an older family member with chronic health problems and functional limitations or dementia (e.g., Knight & Sayegh, 2010; Losada et al., 2010; Sörensen & Pinquart, 2005) is associated with negative mental and physical health outcomes. Furthermore, theoretical models include psychosocial, physiological, biomedical variables (e.g., Vitaliano et al., 2002), and dysfunctional thoughts (e.g., Losada et al., 2010) as relevant factors to understand the stress of caregiving.

Due to the above issues, numerous studies have focused on caregivers' distress and the identification of protective factors that can contribute to resilience and the reduction of their psychological symptoms and improve their quality of life (Baker et al., 2017; Las Hayas et al., 2015). In addition to social factors, such as the formal and informal support, among the protective factors are those individual characteristics of caregivers that can be modified through intervention. One of these characteristics is trait mindfulness, which is the subject of enormous interest in current research. Mindfulness has been described as "the consciousness that emerges through paying attention intentionally in the present moment, and in a nonevaluative way, to things as they are" (Williams et al., 2007, p. 47). The concept of mindfulness has been used in different ways over the last years. In this way, mindfulness can be considered a state, a process that involves self-regulation of attention, or a trait that everyone has to a greater or lesser extent (Bishop et al., 2004; Brown & Ryan, 2003; Hervás et al., 2016; Kabat-Zinn, 1990). In addition, we also call mindfulness the meditative practice that involves activating mindfulness as a state and process and whose purpose is to increase mindfulness as a trait (Hervás et al., 2016).

Trait mindfulness is a complex construct and includes several facets (Baer et al., 2006). One of these facets, acting with awareness, is considered a central component of trait mindfulness (Bishop et al., 2004; Brown & Ryan, 2003) and is the focus of the current study. Acting with awareness consists of a tendency to attend to the present moment, as opposed to acting mechanically or in pilot mode (Baer et al., 2006). In general, studies indicate that trait mindfulness is associated with better psychological health and protect against the development of depression and other pathological symptoms (see for a review Tomlinson et al., 2018).

The benefits of mindfulness have also been found in studies with family caregivers. In this way, mindfulness-based interventions have been shown to be beneficial for the relatives of people with neurological and chronic problems, reducing the perceived burden of the caregiver, as well as psychological symptoms of anxiety and depression, and improving their quality of life (see for a review Piersol et al., 2017). On the other hand, the studies that have examined trait mindfulness in caregivers have found its association with fewer psychological symptoms of anxiety and depression, as well as a better

quality of life (Pagnini et al., 2015; Weisman de Mamani et al., 2018). In addition, studies with family caregivers have shown that acting with the awareness facet of mindfulness is especially beneficial in this group (Oñate & Calvete, 2019).

An unresolved question refers to the mechanisms through which trait mindfulness is associated with fewer psychological symptoms and better quality of life in caregivers. A potential explanation is that trait mindfulness would reduce the negative impact of the stress experienced by caregivers. Different studies have shown that trait mindfulness moderates the relationship between stressors and mental health (e.g., Conner & White, 2014; de Frias & Whine, 2014; Vara-García et al., 2019). This protective role of trait mindfulness has been also reported in different studies with caregivers, where mindfulness moderates the negative impact of stressors (e.g., Conner & White, 2014; Vara-García et al., 2019).

Previous research has indicated that rumination is a mediational mechanism for the beneficial role of mindfulness (van der Velden et al., 2015). Thus, rumination would be less frequent in people characterized by a high mindfulness trait (Jury & Jose, 2018). Rumination has been described as a response to negative mood, which includes automatic behaviors and thoughts about symptoms of psychological distress and their implications (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991). People with a ruminative style tend to wallow in their symptoms, wondering about causes and regretting their situation, which would contribute to the duration and increase in the severity of the symptoms (Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008). Rumination includes two components (Treynor et al., 2003): brooding and reflection. Brooding consists of a passive and contemplative attitude that compares the current situation with a standard unachieved situation with an attitude of regret and has been considered the more harmful component of rumination. Reflection is focused to find a cognitive solution to the problem (Treynor et al., 2003). Although initially the rumination construct was developed to explain the onset and perpetuation of depression, it has been found to also be a risk factor for anxiety and a poorer quality of life (Parola et al., 2017). The role of rumination has also been examined in different samples of family caregivers, being associated with higher levels of distress, such as anxiety and depression, experienced by a caregiver (Perlick et al., 2012). In addition, Romero-Moreno et al. (2016) found that rumination mediated the association between stressors and anxiety in family caregivers of people with dementia.

Therefore, previous research indicates that trait mindfulness is associated with better health indicators (Tomlinson et al., 2018) and can reduce the negative impact of stress in mental health (Cortazar & Calvete, 2019; de Frias & Whine, 2014). Moreover, rumination has been proposed as a mediational mechanism to explain the role of mindfulness (van der Velden et al., 2015). Grounded in these previous findings, the present study aimed to explore some of the mechanisms




Figure 1. Hypothetical Model between Problems of the Person with ABI, Trait Mindfulness, Rumination, and Well-being of the Caregiver.

through which trait mindfulness is beneficial for caregivers of people with ABI. We hypothesized the following: (1) higher levels of trait mindfulness will be associated with higher levels of quality of life and lower levels of anxious and depressive symptoms; (2) the association between the presence of emotional and behavioral problems in a person with ABI, and the quality of life and symptoms of anxiety and depression in caregivers would be lower when trait mindfulness is high; (3) rumination would act as a mediating variable of the association between mindfulness trait, emotional and behavioral problems of a person with ABI, and interaction between these variables, on the one hand, and a caregiver's quality of life and distress symptoms, on the other. Figure 1 displays the hypothetical model.

Method

Participants

Characteristics of family caregivers. In order to participate in this study, relatives had to be the primary caregiver of a person with ABI. That is, they should: (1) carry out care and support tasks (on daily life tasks, such as eating, bathing, and walking) for a person with ABI, and make decisions on different aspects of life (health, occupations, economy) that concern a person with ABI, regardless of whether they live with him/her or not, and/or (2) be the family member who accompanies or cares for the affected person for the most hours, both daily and weekly. Caregivers were asked for these questions in the first contact with them to check if they met these inclusion criteria.

Table 1 presents the main characteristics of family caregivers; 76.6% of participants were women and 24.4% were men. Mean age was 57.42 years (SD = 12.2), ranging from 22 to 80. Most of the caregivers were spouses (66.7%) or parents (19.3%). Regarding the employment situation, the most frequent reported categories were "retired" (32.1%) and "homemakers" (24.4%)

Table 1. Characteristics of Family Caregivers (N = 78)

Age 57.42 ± 12.199 Time of evolution from the ABI (months) 25.25 ± 34.511 Sex Women 59 75.6% Men 19 24.4% Type of ABI 64 82.1% Cranioencephalic trauma 64 51.% Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI 10 12.8% Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% No 51 65.4% No 51 65.4% <th>Table 1: characteristics of raining caregivers (17</th> <th>0)</th> <th></th>	Table 1: characteristics of raining caregivers (17	0)	
Sex Women 59 75.6% Men 19 24.4% Type of ABI 1 24.4% Ictus 64 82.1% Cranioencephalic trauma 4 5.1% Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI 10 12.8% Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% Yes 21 26.9%<	Age	57.42	± 12.199
Women 59 75.6% Men 19 24.4% Type of ABI 11 24.4% Ictus 64 82.1% Cranioencephalic trauma 4 5.1% Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI 3 16.7% Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 2 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Time of evolution from the ABI (months)	25.25	± 34.511
Men 19 24.4% Type of ABI Ictus 64 82.1% Cranioencephalic trauma 4 5.1% Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI 3 16.7% Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Sex		
Type of ABI Ictus 64 82.1% Cranioencephalic trauma 4 5.1% Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Women	59	75.6%
Ictus 64 82.1% Cranioencephalic trauma 4 5.1% Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Men	19	24.4%
Cranioencephalic trauma 4 5.1% Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 2 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Type of ABI		
Others 10 12.8% Relationship with the person with ABI 3 16.7% Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 2 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%		64	
Relationship with the person with ABI Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%		-	
Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 2 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Others	10	12.8%
Mother 13 16.7% Father 2 2.6% Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 2 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Relationship with the person with ABI		
Son 7 9.0% Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 4 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%		13	16.7%
Sister/brother 4 5.1% Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 2 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Father	2	2.6%
Spouse/Couple 52 66.7% Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 13 16.7% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 2 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Son	7	9.0%
Employment situation 11 14.1% Full-time work 13 16.7% Part-time work 19 24.4% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Sister/brother	4	5.1%
Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Spouse/Couple	52	66.7%
Full-time work 11 14.1% Part-time work 13 16.7% Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Employment situation		
Homemaker 19 24.4% Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%		11	14.1%
Actively looking for work 3 3.8% Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Part-time work	13	16.7%
Retired 25 32.1% Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Homemaker	19	24.4%
Student 1 1.3% Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? 21 26.9% Yes 21 65.4% No 51 65.4%	Actively looking for work	3	3.8%
Others 6 7.7% Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Retired	25	32.1%
Have you had the need to reduce the working day to care? Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%		-	
day to care? Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Others	6	7.7%
Yes 21 26.9% No 51 65.4%	Have you had the need to reduce the working		
No 51 65.4%	3		
Missing values 2 2.6%	- 1.0		
	Missing values	2	2.6%

Table 2 shows the main characteristics of people with ABI who were supported by participants; 37.2% were women, 62.8% were men, with an average age of 55.86 years (SD = 16.37). Stroke was the

prevailing type of brain injury (82%). Mean time elapsed since the injury was 25.3 months (SD = 34.51), ranging from 2 months to 14 years in total. In relation to the degree of dependence reported by the caregiver, 16.7% of people with ABI had total dependence, 7.7% severe dependence, 10.3% moderate dependence, and 2.6% mild dependence.

Table 2. Characteristics of People with ABI (N = 78)

Age	55.86	± 16.376
Sex		
Women	29	37.2%
Men	49	62.8%
Type of ABI		
Ictus	64	82.1%
Cranioencephalic trauma	4	5.1%
Others	10	12.8%
Degree of dependency		
Slight dependency	2	2.6%
Moderate dependency	8	10.3%
Severe dependency	6	7.7%
Total dependency	13	16.7%
Missing values	2	2.6%
Time of evolution of the injury $(N = 67)$		
0-6 months	28	41.8%
7-12 months	16	23.9%
13-24 months	4	5.9%
More than two years	19	28.4%

Measures

Mindfulness. The Spanish version (Soler et al., 2011) of the Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS; Brown & Ryan, 2003) was used to evaluate the acting with awareness trait of mindfulness. The MAAS is a 15-item instrument to measure mindfulness as a single factor (for example, reverse scoring elements "I eat a snack without being aware that I am eating", "I find myself doing things without paying attention"). The response format varies from 1 (*almost never*) to 6 (*almost always*). In this study, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was .87.

Anxiety and depression. The Spanish version (Tejero et al., 1986) of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983) was used to evaluate the symptoms of anxiety and depression. The HADS consists of two subscales of seven items that provide a score for anxiety and depression. Each item has four response options ranging from 0 (*never or none*) to 3 (*always or often*). Despite HADS was initially built in hospital settings, this measure has been widely used in community samples and in family caregivers (Beer et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2014; Lloyd & Hastings, 2008) maintaining good reliability. Alpha coefficients were .82 and .76 for anxiety and depression, respectively.

Quality of life. The Spanish version (Espinoza et al., 2011) of the World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF; Skevington et al., 2004) was used to evaluate the quality of life. It consists of 26 items that measure various dimensions of quality of life (physical health, psychological health, social relations, and environmental quality of life) The items have a Likert scale of five points, ranging from 1 (*no*, *never*) to 5 (*always*, *totally*) In this study, the scale total obtained an alpha of .89.

Rumination. A version of the Spanish adaptation (Hervás, 2008) of the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS; Nolen-Hoeksema & Morrow, 1991) was used to assess the tendency of family caregivers to present a ruminative thinking style when experiencing negative emotions. The RSS version used in this study is made up of 17 items that assess the brooding component of rumination and were adapted to care (e.g., you think "I will not be able to do my work/homework if I am not able to get rid of this"). The items are answered on a scale between 1 (*almost never*) and 4 (*almost always*). Alfa coefficient was .92.

Emotional and behavioral problems of the person with ABI.

The Spanish-Relative Version (López de Arroyabe et al., 2013) of the Head Injury Behavior Scale (HIBS; Godfrey et al., 2003) was used to assess emotional and behavioral problems. The Spanish version is an extension of the original HIBS, where seven additional elements were added to complete some of the subscales. Therefore, the HIBS consists of 28 elements that evaluate the common psychological problems that occur after a brain injury. These elements refer to difficulties in emotional regulation (for example, sudden/rapid changes in mood, depression, discouragement) and regulation of behavior (for example, lack of control over behavior, inappropriate behavior in social situations, lack of motivation, or lack of interest in doing things). Caregivers should indicate in a dichotomous way (yes/no) if each of the problem behaviors occurs or not. The sum of the emotional and behavioral problems reported by the caregivers is calculated as an indicator of the presence of these problems in the person with ABI.

Procedure

To collect the sample, we contacted different centers and associations ($Federaci\'{o}n$ $Espa\~{n}ola$ de $Da\~{n}o$ Cerebral-FEDACE, day centers, and hospitals), from different Spanish cities (Madrid, Barcelona, Valencia, and Palma de Mallorca). We sent a summary of the research along with a letter of introduction to inform relatives, requesting their collaboration in the study. Caregivers agreeing to participate in the research signed an informed consent and provided contact information; later the research team contacted on how they would prefer to answer the questionnaire. The application of questionnaires was carried out in three different ways: a telephone interview conducted by a trained psychologist (N = 53), an on-line questionnaire (N = 22), and in writing with subsequent reply by post or email (N = 3). Data provided by participants were treated carefully, preserving anonymity and confidentiality.

Statistical Analysis

We used path analysis with LISREL 10.2 with the robust maximum likelihood method, which requires an estimate of the asymptotic covariance matrix of the sample variances and covariances and includes Satorra-Bentler scaled χ^2 index (S-B χ^2). The hypothesized model included paths from mindfulness, behavioral/emotional

problems in an individual with ABI, and from the mindfulness x behavioral/emotional problems interaction term, to rumination, quality of life, and symptoms of anxiety and depression. The model also included paths from rumination to quality of life and symptoms. As the study was cross-sectional, in order to obtain stronger evidence for the hypothesized model we tested an alternative model in which mindfulness was included as an explanation of associations between rumination, behavioral/emotional problems, and the rumination x behavioral/emotional problems interaction and quality of life and distress symptoms.

Table 3. Correlation Coefficients and General Statistics of the Variables

	1	2.	3	4	5	6
1. Mindfulness	1			-		
2. Rumination	66**	1				
3. Quality of life	.52**	60**	1			
4. Anxiety	60**	.61**	53 ^{**}	1		
5. Depression	47**	.62**	64**	.63**	1	
6. Behavioral and emotional problems of people with ABI	23 [*]	.33°	30°	.40**	.41**	1
7. Age	.30**	*22*	10	35**	.01	06
Mean	67.33	29.47	91.26	7.77	6.63	10.33
SD	14.02	9.86	14.37	4.29	3.87	5.04

^{*}p <.05, **p < .001.

To create interaction terms, variables were transformed into *z* scores, following the standard procedure to examine moderation effects (Frazier et al., 2004). Model's goodness of fit was evaluated using the comparative fit index (CFI), the non-normative fit index (NNFI), and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), which is recommended for small samples (Hu & Bentler, 1999). Generally, CFI, and NNFI values of .95 or higher reflect a good fit. SRMR values lower than .08 indicate an excellent fit. Significance of the indirect effects was tested by means of 5,000 bootstrapping samples.

Results

Table 3 shows correlation coefficients between variables. Trait mindfulness was negatively associated with a caregiver's rumination and distress symptoms, as well as positively with quality of life. Age




Figure 2. Estimated Model between the Behavioral and Emotional Problems of the Person with ABI, Mindfulness, Rumination, and Well-being of the Caregiver.

was positively associated with mindfulness and negatively with rumination and quality of life. Behavioral and emotional problems of the family member were negatively associated with mindfulness and quality of life, and positively with rumination and anxiety and depression.

Next, we tested the hypothesized model. Mindfulness was negatively associated with rumination, whereas the number of behavioral/emotional problems in the individual with ABI was positively associated with rumination undergone by the caregiver. Rumination in turn was associated with less quality of life and higher scores on anxiety and depression. Trait mindfulness was directly associated with less anxiety, and behavioral/emotional problems were associated with higher scores on anxiety and depression. Interaction between mindfulness and behavioral/emotional problems was statistically significant for depression. Fit indexes were excellent, S-B $\chi^2(2, 78) = 0.96$, NNFI = 1.00, CFI = 1.00, SRMR = .03. A more parsimonious model was estimated with only significant paths. This model is displayed in Figure 2. Fit indexes were excellent, S-B $\chi^2(7,$ 78) = 6.19, NNFI = 1.00, CFI = 1.00, SRMR = .05. Figure 3 displays the form of the interaction for caregivers low (1 SD below the mean) and high (1 SD above the mean) in mindfulness and behavioral/emotional problems of the person with ABI. When mindfulness is high, there is not association between problems and depression (B = 0.18, t =0.36, p = .72), whereas, when mindfulness is low, the association is statistically significant (B = 1.30, t = 2.25, p = .027).




Figure 3. Interaction between Caregivers' Trait Mindfulness and Behavioral and Emotional Problems of People with ABI for Depression.

The path analysis model indicates several potential indirect paths between variables. These indirect effects were tested through 5,000 bootstrapping samples (Shrout & Bolger, 2002). Indirect associations between mindfulness and well-being indicators through rumination were statistically significant for quality of life (95% CI [5.23, 5.29]), anxiety (95% CI [-0.91, -0.86]), and depression (95% CI [-1.28, -1.26]). Similarly, indirect associations between behavioral/emotional problems and well-being indicators through rumination were statistically significant for quality of life (95% CI [-1.64,-1.60]), anxiety (95% CI [0.28, 0.29]), and depression (95% CI [0.39, 0.41]).

Finally, we tested the alternative model, in which mindfulness was included as a mechanism explaining the association between rumination, and behavioral/emotional problems, the interaction term between both, and well-being indicators (quality of life, anxiety, and depression). Paths between rumination and mindfulness (B = -0.70, SE = 0.10, p < .001) and between mindfulness and anxiety (B = -1.52, SE = 0.58. p = .005) were statistically significant. However, paths between mindfulness and quality of

life (B = 2.88, SE = 2.01, p = .08) and depression (B = -0.50, SE = 0.44. p = .13) were not statistically significant. The SRMR value for this model was not adequate, S-B $\chi^2(2,78)$ = 0.93, NNFI = .930, CFI = .99, SRMR = .10. Therefore, the hypothesized model was considered a better explanation of the association between variables.

Discussion

Caring a person with ABI can be a major source of stress for family caregivers, especially when a person displays behavioral and emotional problems (López de Arroyabe et al., 2013) and dependence (López de Arroyabe & Calvete, 2013). Therefore, it is important to identify protective and resilience factors that can be developed in family caregivers. The present study aimed to explore the beneficial role of trait mindfulness and some of the mechanisms through which it is beneficial for caregivers.

The results of this study indicate that the acting with awareness trait of mindfulness may be one of those resilience factors. Trait mindfulness was directly associated with less anxiety in caregivers. Moreover, it was indirectly associated with less depression and with a higher quality of life through lower use of ruminative thinking in caregivers. These results are not surprising and are consistent with findings of previous studies that find that trait mindfulness in general and acting with awareness in particular are associated with fewer psychological symptoms (Weisman de Mamani et al., 2018) and better quality of life (Oñate & Calvete, 2019; Weisman de Mamani et al., 2018) in family caregivers of people with different neurological problems.

The results of this study also indicate the importance of behavioral and emotional problems of individuals with ABI as a potential source of stress in caregivers. In our study, the number of problems of this nature was significantly associated with lower quality of life and more symptoms of anxiety and depression in caregivers. Other studies have also found that this type of problem acts as a risk factor for psychological problems in caregivers of people with ABI (López de Arroyabe et al., 2013) as well as people with other disabilities (Chappell & Penning, 1996). We expected to find that trait mindfulness buffered the association between behavioral and emotional problems in a person with ABI and the rest of variables. However, this moderation effect was significant only for depression. The association between behavioral/emotional problems and depression was not statistically significant among caregivers who scored high in trait mindfulness. This finding is consistent with previous studies that found that trait mindfulness reduces the impact of stressors in mental health (Cortazar & Calvete, 2019; de Frias & Whine, 2014; Dixon & Overall, 2016).

Rumination played a relevant role as an explanatory mechanism for the association between mindfulness and well-being in caregivers. This finding is important because it helps to understand why trait mindfulness is a beneficial factor. Non-evaluative awareness of experiences, as they are in the present moment, is in some way incompatible with a ruminative process of focusing on negative moods and unfavorable circumstances. In addition, rumination explained the association between behavioral/emotional problems in a person with ABI and caregivers' symptoms of anxiety and depression. This result is consistent with findings of studies that show that stressors lead to greater use of rumination (Barchia & Bussey, 2010), something found in studies with family caregivers (Romero-Moreno et al., 2016), where caregivers who reported having more stressors also reported a higher level of rumination.

This study has important limitations. The main limitation is that it uses a non-probability sampling and the small size of the sample. Recruitment of family caregivers is complex because of their lack of free time as a consequence of their caregiving role. Due to the small size of the sample, in this study we focus on a simple path analysis model with very few variables. Future studies should replicate the results with larger samples and with additional variables, such as coping responses, social support resources, age, and sex. In fact, in this study age was positively associated with mindfulness and negatively with rumination and anxiety. This finding could suggest a better adaptation in oldest caregivers. Thus, future research should examine the role of age in this process. Another limitation is the cross-sectional nature of the study. Although caregivers were followed up over time, attrition was very high, and only a few completed follow-up measures. A strict mediation test would require longitudinal measurements. However, this study can serve as an approximation, giving rise to future longitudinal investigations. Finally, this study used MAAS as a measure of trait mindfulness. Although the acting with awareness trait of mindfulness assessed by this questionnaire is central to the mindfulness construct (Bishop et al., 2004), research has shown the multidimensional nature of trait mindfulness and the existence of different interrelated but clearly differentiated facets (Baer et al., 2006). One of these facets, consisting of observing and being aware of different internal and external experiences, has found different results in non-meditative samples associated with a greater use of rumination (Royuela-Colomer & Calvete, 2016). Therefore, in future studies it would be appropriate to explore the role of different facets of trait mindfulness in family caregivers of people with ABI.

In summary, trait mindfulness seems to play an important role in caregivers' wellbeing since it is associated with fewer symptoms of anxiety and depression and better quality of life. These findings are in line with other studies suggesting that mindfulness interventions are beneficial for caregivers (e.g., Ho et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2014; Whitebird et al., 2013). Moreover, mindfulness-based interventions have been found to reduce negative rumination (Heeren & Philippot, 2011). Therefore, these interventions should also explicitly encourage the reduced use of ruminative responses to the difficulties experienced in caring for a person with ABI.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Baer, R. A., Smith, G. T., Hopkins, J., Krietemeyer, J., & Toney, L. (2006). Using self-report assessment methods to explore facets of mindfulness. Assessment, 13(1), 27-45. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191105283504
- Baker, A., Barker, S., Sampson, A., & Martin, C. (2017). Caregiver outcomes and interventions: A systematic scoping review of the traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury literature. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, *31*(1), 45-60. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215516639357
- Barchia, K., & Bussey, K. (2010). The psychological impact of peer victimization: Exploring social-cognitive mediators of depression. *Journal of Adolescence*, 33(5), 615-623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2009.12.002
- Beer, M., Ward, L., & Moar, K. (2013). The relationship between mindful parenting and distress in parents of children with an autism spectrum disorder. *Mindfulness*, 4(2), 102-112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-012-0192-4
- Bishop, S. R, Lau, M., Shapiro, S., Carlson, L., Anderson, N. D., Carmody, J., Segal, Z. V., Abbey, S., Speca, M., Velting, D., & Devins, G. (2004). Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 11(3), 230-241. https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bph077
- Brown, K. W., & Ryan, R. M. (2003). The benefits of being present: mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(4), 822-848. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.4.822
- Calvete, E., & López de Arroyabe, E. (2012). Depression and grief in Spanish family caregivers of people with traumatic brain injury: The roles of social support and coping. *Brain Injury*, 26(6), 834-843. https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2012.655363
- Chan, J., Parmenter, T., & Stancliffe, R. (2009). The impact of traumatic brain injury on the mental health outcomes of individuals and their family

- carers. Australian e-Journal for the Advancement of Mental Health, 8(2), 155-164. https://doi.org/10.5172/jamh.8.2.155
- Chappell, N. L., & Penning, M. (1996). Behavioural problems and distress among caregivers of people with dementia. *Ageing and Society, 16*(1), 57-73. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0144686x00003135
- Conner, C. M., & White, S. W. (2014). Stress in mothers of children with autism: Trait mindfulness as a protective factor. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 8(6), 617-624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2014.02.001
- Cortazar, N., & Calvete, E. (2019). Dispositional mindfulness and its moderating role in the predictive association between stressors and psychological symptoms in adolescents. *Mindfulness*, *10*(10), 2046-2059. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-019-01175-x
- de Frias, C. M., & Whyne, E. (2014). Stress on health-related quality of life in older adults: The protective nature of mindfulness. Aging & Mental Health, 19(3), 201-206. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2014.924090
- Dixon, H. C., & Overall, N. C. (2016). Dispositional mindfulness attenuates the link between daily stress and depressed mood. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 35(3), 255-268. https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2016.35.3.255
- Espinoza, I., Osorio, P., Torrejón, M. J., Lucas-Carrasco, R., & Bunout, D. (2011). Validación del Cuestionario de Calidad de Vida (WHOQOL-BREF) en adultos mayores chilenos. *Revista Médica de Chile, 139*(5), 579-586. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0034-98872011000500003
- Frazier, P. A., Tix, A. P., & Barron, K. E. (2004). Testing moderator and mediator effects in counseling psychology research. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 51(1), 115-134. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.51.1.115
- Godfrey, H. P., Harnett, M. A., Knight, R. G., Marsh, N. V., Kesel, D. A., Partridge, F. M., & Robertson, R. H. (2003). Assessing distress in caregivers of people with a traumatic brain injury (TBI): A psychometric study of the Head Injury Behaviour Scale. *Brain Injury*, 17(5), 427-435. https://doi.org/10.1080/0269905031000066201
- Heeren, A., & Philippot, P. (2011). Changes in ruminative thinking mediate the clinical benefits of mindfulness: Preliminary findings. *Mindfulness*, 2(1), 8-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-010-0037-y
- Hervás, G. (2008). Adaptación al castellano de un instrumento para evaluar el estilo rumiativo. Revista de Psicopatología y Psicología Clínica, 13(2), 111-121. https://doi.org/10.5944/rppc.vol.13.num.2.2008.4054
- Hervás, G., Cebolla, A., & Soler, J. (2016). Intervenciones psicológicas basadas en mindfulness y sus beneficios: estado actual de la cuestión. *Clínica y Salud.* 27(3), 115-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clysa.2016.09.002
- Salud, 27(3), 115-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clysa.2016.09.002

 Ho, L., Bloom, P. A., Vega, J. G., Yemul, S., Zhao, W., Ward, L., Savage, E., Rooney, R., Patel, D. H., & Pasinetti, G. M. (2016). Biomarkers of resilience in stress reduction for caregivers of Alzheimer's patients. Neuromolecular Medicine, 18(2), 177-189. https://.doi.org.proxyoceano.deusto.es/10.1007/s12017-016-8388-8
- Hou, R. J., Wong, S. Y.-S., Yip, B. H.-K., Hung, A. T. F., Lo, H. H.-M., Chan, P. H. S., Kwoc, T. C.-Y., Tang, W. K., Mak, W. W. S., Mercer, S. W., & Ma, S. H. (2014). The effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction program on the mental health of family caregivers: A randomized controlled trial. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 83(1), 45-53. https://doi.org/10.1159/000353278
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Structural Equation Modeling: a Multidisciplinary Journal, 6(1), 1-55. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118
- Jones, L., Hastings, R. P., Totsika, V., Keane, L., & Rhule, N. (2014). Child behavior problems and parental well-being in families of children with autism: The mediating role of mindfulness and acceptance. American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 119(2), 171-185. https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-119.2.171
- Jury, T. K., & Jose, P. E. (2018). Does rumination function as a longitudinal mediator between mindfulness and depression? *Mindfulness*, 10(6), 1091-1104. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-1031-z
- Knight, B. G., & Sayegh, P. (2010). Cultural values and caregiving: The updated sociocultural stress and coping model. *The Journals of Gerontology*, 65B(1), 5-13. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbp096
- Las Hayas, C., López de Arroyabe, E., & Calvete, E. (2015). Resilience in family caregivers of persons with acquired brain injury. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 60(3), 295-302. https://doi.org/10.1037/rep0000040
- Lloyd, T., & Hastings, R. P. (2008). Psychological variables as correlates of adjustment in mothers of children with intellectual disabilities: Cross-sectional and longitudinal relationships. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 52(1), 37-48. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2007.00974.x
- López de Arroyabe, E., & Calvete, E. (2013). Daño cerebral adquirido: percepción del familiar de las secuelas y su malestar psicológico. *Cínica y Salud*, 24(1), 27-35. https://doi.org/10.5093/cl2013a4
- López de Arroyabe, E., Calvete, E., Hayas, C. L., & Zubizarreta, A. (2013). Distress of the caregiver in acquired brain injury: Positive aspects of care to moderate the effects of psychological problems. *The Australian Journal of Rehabilitation Counselling*, 19(2), 84-99. https://doi.org/10.1017/jrc.2013.13
- Losada, A., Márquez-González, M., Knight, B. G., Yanguas, J., Sayegh, P., & Romero-Moreno, R. (2010). Psychosocial factors and caregivers' distress: Effects of familism and dysfunctional thoughts. *Aging & Mental Health*, 14(2), 193-202. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860903167838

- Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (1991). Responses to depression and their effects on the duration of depressive episodes. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 100(4), 569-582. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.100.4.569
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Morrow, J. (1991). A prospective study of depression and posttraumatic stress symptoms after a natural disaster: The 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 61(1), 115-121. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.61.1.115
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Wisco, B. E., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). Rethinking rumination. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 3*(5), 400-424. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2008.00088.x
- Oñate, L., & Calvete, E. (2019). The beneficial role of mindfulness facets in relatives of people with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities.
- Mindfulness, 10(9), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-019-01140-8
 Pagnini, F., Phillips, D., Bosma, C. M., Reece, A., & Langer, E. (2015).
 Mindfulness as a protective factor for the burden of caregivers of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 72(1), 101-111. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22235
- Parola, N., Zendjidjian, X. Y., Alessandrini, M., Baumstarck, K., Loundou, A., Fond, G., Berna, F., Lançon, C., Auquier, P., & Boyer, L. (2017). Psychometric properties of the Ruminative Response Scale-short form in a clinical sample of patients with major depressive disorder. *Patient Preference and Adherence*. 11. 929-935. https://doi.org/10.2147/ppa.s125730
- and Adherence, 11, 929-935. https://doi.org/10.2147/ppa.s125730

 Perlick, D. A., Gonzalez, J., Michael, L., Huth, M., Culver, J., Kaczynski, R., Calabrese, J., & Miklowitz, D. J. (2012). Rumination, gender, and depressive symptoms associated with caregiving strain in bipolar disorder. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 126(5), 356-362. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2012.01874.x
- Piersol, C. V., Canton, K., Connor, S. E., Giller, I., Lipman, S., & Sager, S. (2017). Effectiveness of interventions for caregivers of people with alzheimer's disease and related major neurocognitive disorders: A systematic review. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 71*(5), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2017.027581
- Romero-Moreno, R., Losada, A., Márquez-González, M., & Mausbach, B. T. (2016). Stressors and anxiety in dementia caregiving: Multiple mediation analysis of rumination, experiential avoidance, and leisure. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 28(11), 1835-1844. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610216001009
- Royuela-Colomer, E., & Calvete, E. (2016). Mindfulness facets and depression in adolescents: Rumination as a mediator. *Mindfulness*, 7(5), 1092-1102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-016-0547-3
 Sander, A. M., Maestas, K. L., Sherer, M., Malec, J. F., & Nakase-Richardson, R.
- Sander, A. M., Maestas, K. L., Sherer, M., Malec, J. F., & Nakase-Richardson, R. (2012) Relationship of caregiver and family functioning to participation outcomes after postacute rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury: A multicenter investigation. Archives of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation, 93(5), 842-848. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2011.11.031
- Shrout, P. E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, 7(4), 422-445. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.7.4.422
- Skevington, S. M., Lotfy, M., & O'Connell, K. A. (2004). The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: Psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. Quality of Life Research, 13(2), 299-310. https://doi. org/10.1023/B:QURE.0000018486.91360.00

- Soler, J., Tejedor, R., Feliu-Soler, A., Pascual, J.C., Cebolla, A., Soriano, J., Álvarez, E., & Pérez, V. (2012). Propiedades psicométricas de la versión española de la escala Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS). Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría. 40(1). 18-25.
- Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría, 40(1), 18-25.

 Sörensen, S., & Pinquart, M. (2005) Racial and ethnic differences in the relationship of caregiving stressors, resources, and sociodemographic variables to caregiver depression and perceived physical health. Aging and Mental Health, 9(5), 482-495. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860500142796
- Tejero, A., Guimerá, E. M., Farré, J. M., & Peri, J. M. (1986). Uso clínico del HADS (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) en población psiquiátrica: un estudio de sensibilidad, fiabilidad y validez. Revista Departamento Psiquiatría Facultad de Medicina de Barcelona, 13, 233-238.
- Tomlinson, E. R., Yousaf, O., Vittersø, A. D., & Jones, L. (2018). Dispositional mindfulness and psychological health: A systematic review. *Mindfulness*, 9(1), 23-43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0762-6
- Treynor, W., Gonzalez, R., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2003). Rumination reconsidered: A psychometric analysis. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 27(3), 247-259. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023910315561 van der Velden, A. M., Kuyken, W., Wattar, U., Crane, C., Pallesen, K. J.,
- van der Velden, A. M., Kuyken, W., Wattar, U., Crane, C., Pallesen, K. J., Dahlgaard, J., Fjorback L. O., & Piet, J. (2015). A systematic review of mechanisms of change in mindfulness-based cognitive therapy in the treatment of recurrent major depressive disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review, 37*, 26-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.02.001

 Vara-García, C., Romero-Moreno, R., Márquez-González, M., Mausbach, B.
- Vara-García, C., Romero-Moreno, R., Márquez-González, M., Mausbach, B. T., von Känel, R., Gallego-Alberto, L., Olmos, R., & Losada, A. (2019). Stress and blood pressure in dementia caregivers: The moderator role of mindfulness. Clinical Gerontologist, 42(5), 512-520. https://doi.org/10.1080/07317115.2018.1554611
- Verhaeghe, S., Defloor, T., & Grypdonck, M. (2005) Stress and coping among families of patients with traumatic brain injury: A review of the literature. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 14(8), 1004-1012. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2005.01126.x
- Vitaliano, P. P., Scanlan, J. M., Zhang, J., Savage, M. V., Hirsch, I. B., & Siegler, I. C. (2002). A path model of chronic stress, the metabolic syndrome, and coronary heart disease. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *64*(3), 418-435. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-200205000-00006
- Weisman de Mamani, A. G., Weintraub, M. J., Maura, J., Martinez, D. A., & Brown, C. A. (2018). The interplay among mindfulness, caregiver burden, and mental health in family members of individuals with dementia. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 49*(2), 116-123. https://doi.org/10.1037/pro0000181
- Whitebird, R. R, Kreitzer, M., Crain, A. L., Lewis, B.A., Hanson, L. R., & Enstad, C. J. (2013).Mindfulness-based stress reduction for family caregivers: A randomized controlled trial. *The Gerontologist*, 53(4), 676-686. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gns126
- Williams, M., Teasdale, J., Segal, A., & Kabat Zinn, J. (2007). The mindful way through depression. The Guilford Press.
- Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 67(6), 361-370. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x